

THE STATE OF TEXAS §

COUNTY OF CAMERON §

BE IT REMEMBERED on the 28th day of SEPTEMBER, 1992 there was conducted a REGULAR Session of the Honorable Commissioners' Court of Cameron County, Texas, at the Courthouse thereof, in the City of Brownsville, Texas, for the purpose of transacting any and all business that may lawfully be brought before the same.

THE COURT MET AT:

PRESENT:

1:30 P. M.

ANTONIO O. GARZA, JR.  
COUNTY JUDGE

LUCINO ROSENBAUM, JR.  
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT NO. 1

CARLOS H. CASCOS  
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT NO. 2

ADOLPH THOMAE, JR.  
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT NO. 3

NATIVIDAD VALENCIA  
COMMISSIONER, PRECINCT NO. 4

JOE G. RIVERA  
COUNTY CLERK

ABSENT:

----- 0 -----

The meeting was called to order by Judge Antonio O. Garza, Jr. He then asked Judge Robert Garza, 138th District Court, to lead the Court and the Audience in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

The Court considered the following matters as posted and filed for Record in the Office of the County Clerk on September 24, 1992, at 2:10 P. M.

(4) **PRESENTATION OF DESIGN DEVELOPMENT PHASE RESULTS FOR THE PROPOSED JUVENILE DETENTION FACILITY BY THE FIRM OF COX/CROSLIN**

Mr. Amador Rodriguez, Chief Officer Juvenile Detention, introduced Mr. Chuck Croslin, Architect with the Firm of Cox/Croslin and Associates, Austin, Texas, who then presented the Conceptual Plans for the proposed Juvenile Detention Facility.

Mr. Croslin explained that a feasibility study was conducted in April 1990, which determined that the current facility was in non-compliance, and that the Court authorized an up-dated study in July 1992, with the challenge that the facility be built at \$2.9 million as illustrated in the following Plans:

Commissioner Thomae questioned whether a site had been chosen and Ms. Rosemary Martinez, Administrative Assistant to the County Judge, responded that they had an inventory of sites; however, the options had not been narrowed for consideration by the Court.

There was some discussion regarding funding, site costs and completion dates and Ms. Martinez suggested that the funding matter be discussed with the County's Bond Counsel.

There were other concerns discussed and the need to evaluate the Conceptual Plans before a decision was made.

Judge Garza remarked that the current site was evaluated for potential expansion and it was found not to be feasible, since there were at least thirty (30) specific instances of non-compliance.

Commissioner Thomae moved that the Design Development Phase results for the proposed Juvenile Detention Facility by the Firm of Cox/Croslin and Associates, Austin, Texas, be acknowledged.

The motion was seconded by Commissioner Rosenbaum and carried unanimously.

----- 0 -----

(5) **AUTHORIZATION TO REVIEW THE RECOMMENDED SALARIES AS SET FORTH BY THE 1993 SALARY GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE FOR: JOE G. RIVERA, COUNTY CLERK; JUDGE ADOLPH BETANCOURT, COUNTY COURT-AT-LAW NO. 2 AND JUDGE EVERARDO GARCIA, COUNTY COURT-AT-LAW NO. 1. FUNDING FOR MR. RIVERA'S INCREASE WILL COME FROM THE RECORDS MANAGEMENT FEE; FUNDING FOR THE COUNTY COURT-AT-LAW JUDGES TO BE DETERMINED.**

Ms. Rosemary Martinez, Administrative Assistant to the County Judge, reported that the Elected Officials' Salary Grievance Committee, composed of six (6) Elected Officials and three (3) members from the General Public, met last Tuesday, September 22, 1992, to hear the Salary Grievances of Judge Everardo Garcia, County Court-at-Law No. 1, Judge Adolph Betancourt, County Court-at-Law No. 2 and Mr. Joe G. Rivera, County Clerk.

She stated that the Statutes required that if between six to eight (6-8) votes are cast in favor of a salary recommendation, then the written recommendations are submitted for Court consideration. She described the salary increase recommendations for the three (3) Elected Officials as follows:

Ms. Martinez noted that the salary increase in the amount of \$5,000.00 for Mr. Rivera would be allocated from the Records Management Fees.

Commissioner Valencia questioned whether there was a problem with the salary increase being allocated from the Records Management Fee and Mr. Doug Wright, Cameron County Counsel, stated that the Statutes indicated that the "fee may be used only to provide funds for specific Records Preservation".

Mr. Wright stated that an Attorney General's opinion dated April 10, 1992, did not specifically address whether or not "supplemental salary increases" could be part of the "Preservation and Records Management Project". He stated that it was his best guess, since there was no legal authority to support or reject it, that salaries, from the stand point of "administration" of the Preservation and Records Management, would probably be considered "legitimate" expenses. He stated that the Attorney General's opinion addressed the fact that the County Clerk was not the "Comptroller" of the Records Management Fee and recommended that the fees be "ear-marked". He added that the matter was discussed with the Auditor's Office and that the procedures for the Fees had been established by that Office.

Mr. Wright emphasized that this was a new Statute and that he had no legal authority in order to give a definite "yes" as to whether "salaries" were an appropriate use of those Fees. He added that, using common sense, "administration" was a part of the Records Management Project and a "legitimate" expense, with the proper documentation.

Commissioner Thomae remarked that the two (2) County Court-at-Law Judges were doing a fine job and were keeping the County from creating a third County Court-at-Law Court which would cost over \$100,000.00. He stated that he supported the Committee's recommendations and suggested that their increases be allocated from the Surplus Fund and that Mr. Rivera's increase be funded by the Records Management Fees Fund.

Commissioner Thomae moved that the Salary for Mr Joe G. Rivera, County Clerk, be augmented from the Records Management Fee Fund Account, as noted and commented by County Counsel, and that the recommendations of the Salary Grievance Committee, with respect to County Court-at-Law Judge No. 1 and County Court-At-Law Judge No. 2 be adopted.

The motion was seconded by Commissioner Rosenbaum and carried the following vote:

AYE: Commissioners Rosenbaum and Thomae

NAY: Commissioner Valencia - with respect to the County Clerk's recommendation only,

ABSTAIN: Commissioner Cascos.

At this time, Judge Garza noted that the motion did carry without a vote from the Chair, since he filed the original Budget, and explained that had he been forced to vote, that he would have abstained on the vote for the Court-at-Law Judges' increase but supported the County Clerk's increase because those funds would derive from the Records Management Fees and not the General Fund.

Commissioner Valencia stated that he did not support the salary increase for the County Clerk because the statements from the County Counsel did not "clearly" state whether the Records Management Fees could be used for salaries.

**(1) APPROVAL OF COUNTY CLAIMS**

Commissioner Thomae moved that all the County Claims be approved as presented and on the recommendation by the County Auditor's Office.

The motion was seconded by Commissioner Valencia and carried the following vote:

AYE: Commissioners Rosenbaum, Thomae and Valencia

NAY: None

ABSTAIN: Judge Garza as to the claims of E. de la Garza, Warrant No. 65628 in the amount of \$9,692.32, Warrant No. 65629 in the amount of \$7,183.84, Warrant No. 65630 in the amount of \$7,755.26, Warrant No. 65631 in the amount of \$2,134.57, and Warrant No. 65710 in the amount of \$100.53; and Commissioner Cascos as to the claims of Waters Implement Co. Inc., Warrant No. 65639 in the amount of \$2.08 and Warrant No. 65987 in the amount of \$63.53.

**Affidavits are as follows:**

(2) **APPROVAL OF BUDGET AMENDMENT AND/OR  
SALARY SCHEDULE**

Commissioner Valencia moved that the 1991/1992 Budget Amendment No. 44 be approved, as recommended by the County Auditor's Office, noting that there were no Salary Schedules.

The motion was seconded by Commissioner Thomae and carried unanimously.

**The Budget Amendment is as follows:**

(3) **IN THE MATTER OF MINUTES' OF SEPTEMBER  
8, 1992 AND SEPTEMBER 14, 1992, AND  
SEPTEMBER 21, 1992 (TABLED)**

Upon motion by Commissioner Thomae, seconded by Commissioner Rosenbaum and carried unanimously, this

Item was TABLED for one (1) week.

----- 0 -----

(6) **AUTHORIZATION TO ALLOW COUNTY JUDGE  
TO EXECUTE CONTRACT FOR SECURITY FOR  
THE LOS INDIOS BRIDGE**

Upon motion by Commissioner Thomae, seconded by Commissioner Valencia and carried unanimously, the

County Judge was authorized to execute the Contract for Security for the Los Indios International Bridge.

**The Service Contract is as follows:**

(7) **AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS FOR  
CAFE FOOD SERVICE-DANCY BUILDING, AND  
VENDING MACHINE SERVICES - COUNTY  
BUILDINGS**

Commissioner Thomae moved that the advertisement for bids for Cafe Food Services for the Dancy Building and Vending Machine Services for the County Buildings be authorized.

The motion was seconded by Commissioner Valencia and carried unanimously.

----- 0 -----

(8) **AUTHORIZATION FOR COUNTY JUDGE TO  
EXECUTE APPLICATION FOR PARTICIPATION  
IN THE TDHS COMMODITY PROGRAM FOR 1992-  
1993 CONTRACT YEAR**

Upon motion by Commissioner Thomae, seconded by Commissioner Valencia and carried unanimously, the County Judge was authorized to execute the Application to participate in the Texas Department of Human Services (TDHS) Commodity Program for the 1992-1993 Contract Year.

**The Application is as follows:**

(9) **AUTHORIZATION TO ADOPT RESOLUTION FOR PARTICIPATION IN STATE PURCHASING CONTRACTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1992-1993**

Commissioner Valencia moved that the Resolution for participation in the State Purchasing Contracts for Fiscal Year 1992-1993 be adopted.

The motion was seconded by Commissioner Thomae and carried unanimously.

**The Resolution is as follows:**



**(10) AUTHORIZATION TO OPEN AND AWARD BIDS  
FOR VOTING SUPPLIES FOR ELECTIONS  
DEPARTMENT**

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Thomae and carried unanimously, approval was given to open bids as received for the Voting Supplies for the Elections Department.

**The bids received and opened are as follows:**

Upon motion by Commissioner Thomae, seconded by Commissioner Rosenbaum and carried unanimously, the bids were referred to the County Purchasing Agent for evaluation and possible action prior to the close of the Meeting.

----- 0 -----

**(11) AUTHORIZATION TO OPEN AND AWARD BIDS  
FOR ONE (1) SEDAN FOR RISK MANAGEMENT  
COORDINATOR**

Upon motion by Commissioner Thomae, seconded by Commissioner Rosenbaum and carried unanimously, approval was given to open bids as received for one (1) sedan for the Risk Management Coordinator.

**The bids received and opened are as follows:**

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Thomae and carried unanimously, the bids were referred to the County Purchasing Agent for evaluation and possible action prior to the close of the Meeting.

----- 0 -----

**(12) AUTHORIZATION TO OPEN REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS NO. 92-06-02 FOR RURAL ADDRESSING SYSTEM**

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Rosenbaum and carried unanimously, approval was given to open the Request for Proposals as received for the Rural Addressing System.

The Proposals received and opened are as follows:

S.E. Technologies, Inc.  
98 Vanadium Road  
Bridgeville, PA 15017  
(412) 221-1100

Geodetix, Inc.  
1802 N.E. Loop 410, Suite 500  
San Antonio, Texas 78217  
(512) 829-8337

United Aerial Mapping, Inc.  
5411 Jackwood Drive  
San Antonio, Texas 78238

Miller Management Services, Inc.  
1390 Boone Industrial Drive  
Columbia, MO 65202  
(314) 875-1055

ETJ. Graphics Software  
8400 W. 110th Street, Suite 600  
Overland Park, Kansas 66210

Clough, Harbour & Associates  
111 Winners Circle  
Albany, New York 12205

Address Conversion Tech., Inc.  
P.O. Box 260  
Roopville, Georgia 30170

Upon motion by Commissioner Thomae, seconded by Commissioner Valencia and carried unanimously, the Proposals were referred to the Sheriff's Department, the County Engineer and the Purchasing Agent for tabulation and recommendation to the Court of the best proposal.

----- 0 -----

(19) **APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 TO THE REVENUE MARKET INC., CONTRACT FOR TOLL EQUIPMENT AT LOS INDIOS AND GATEWAY BRIDGES**

(20) **APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 2 TO SOUTH TEXAS UTILITY CONTRACTORS CONTRACT FOR WORK AT THE LOS INDIOS INTERNATIONAL BRIDGE**

Mr. Jack Brown, Project Manager, explained that Change Order No. 1, to the Revenue Market Incorporated, would delete the Truck Scale at Los Indios International Bridge and would result in a credit of \$46,414.60. He stated that Change Order No. 2, to South Texas Utility Contractors, would add a portion of the paving and fencing costs that were not considered by the Texas Department of Transportation, for an increase to the Contract in the amount of \$54,034.00.

Commissioner Valencia moved that the Change Order No. 1, to the Revenue Market Incorporated Contract, for toll equipment at Los Indios and Gateway International Bridges, and the Change Order No. 2, to South Texas Utility Contractors Contract, for work at the Los Indios International Bridge be approved.

The motion was seconded by Commissioner Thomae and carried unanimously.

Judge Garza stated that the County, in all respects, was the final authority on these matters, but noted that these Change Orders were discussed with the respective partners, that being the Cities of Harlingen and San Benito, Texas, and that they were in agreement.

----- 0 -----

**(14) APPROVAL FOR CONTRACT CHANGE ORDER  
NO. 2 TDH DOCUMENT NO. C3000282 TO  
INCREASE DUE TO ANNUALIZED SALARIES FOR  
POSITIONS ADDED IN APRIL 1992**

Commissioner Thomae moved that Contract Change Order No. 2, Texas Department of Health (TDH) Document No. C300282 be approved, to increase the amount of the Grant due to the annualized salaries for the positions added in April 1992.

The motion was seconded by Commissioner Cascos and carried unanimously.

**The Change Order No. 2 is as follows:**

**(15) AUTHORIZATION FOR PARKS SYSTEM TO SELL 90-DAY PARK/BEACH USE PASSES IN BULK QUANTITIES, AS REQUESTED BY A PRIVATE RV PARK OPERATOR**

Mr. Kenneth Conway, Parks Director, recommended that the Ninety (90) Day Park Passes, in the amount of \$7.50, be available in bulk quantities at the following discounted rates in order to encourage sales:

25 Passes for \$6.00 each (\$150.00)

50 Passes for \$5.00 each (\$250.00).

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Thoma and carried unanimously, the Parks System was authorized to sell Ninety (90) - Day Park/Beach Use Passes in bulk quantities, as requested by a private Recreation Vehicle (RV) Park Operator, and recommended by the Parks Director as follows:

25 Passes for \$6.00 each (\$150.00)

50 Passes for \$5.00 each (\$250.00).

----- o -----

**(16) AUTHORIZATION FOR PARKS SYSTEM DIRECTOR TO SOLICIT PROPOSALS FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES (ENGINEER-ING) ON AN HOURLY BASIS, FOR VARIOUS PARKS SYSTEM CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/REPAIR PROJECTS**

Mr. Kenneth Conway, Parks Director, stated that there was need to retain an architect or engineer to assist and develop specifications for a number of upgrading, renovating and repairing Projects at Isla Blanca Park and to review proposals. He explained that the Projects would be funded through the Capital Improvement/Repair Projects Line Item with a budgeted amount of \$153,000.00.

At this time, Commissioner Cascos stated that Item No. 22 was requesting the same type of Services and questioned if there was any reason why these two (2) Items could not be consolidated since they seemed compatible. Mr. Conway stated that he had not discussed the Projects with the County Engineer and would have no problems with that suggestion.

Commissioner Thomae moved that the Parks System Director be authorized to solicit proposals for Professional Engineering Services, on an hourly basis, for various Parks System Capital Improvement/Repair Projects.

The motion was seconded by Commissioner Valencia and carried unanimously.

----- 0 -----

(17) **APPROVAL FOR PRECINCT NO. 3 TO PAVE EL PRESEÑO ROAD ADJACENT TO BLOCKS 28 AND 29, ARROYO GARDENS SUBDIVISION, UNIT 1, WITH DONATION OF MATERIALS BY THE ADJACENT LANDOWNER TO THE SOUTH**

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Thomae and carried unanimously, the paving of El Preseño Road, adjacent to Blocks 28 and 29, Arroyo Gardens Subdivision, Unit 1, within Precinct No. 3, was authorized, with the donation of materials by the adjacent landowner to the South.

----- 0 -----

(18) **APPROVAL OF INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN PRECINCT NO. 3 AND LAGUNA VISTA FOR ROAD REPAIRS**

Upon motion by Commissioner Thomae, seconded by Commissioner Rosenbaum and carried unanimously, the Interlocal Agreement between Precinct No. 3 and Laguna Vista for Road Repairs was authorized.

----- 0 -----

(13) **AUTHORIZATION TO AWARD ANNUAL BIDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1992-1993 FOR VARIOUS ITEMS**

**a) STOCK PAPER**

Mr. Michael Forbes, County Purchasing Agent, explained that Legislation now required the use of recycled paper whenever possible and added that the State would be seeking bids in November for Bond Paper, Cotton Paper and Envelopes; therefore, he recommended that the bids be rejected and re-bid in November.

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Rosenbaum and carried unanimously, the bids for the following Items were REJECTED:

**Bond Paper (Category A)**  
**Cotton Paper (Category A)**  
**Envelopes (Category B)**

Upon motion by Commissioner Thomae, seconded by Commissioner Valencia and carried unanimously, the low bid on Stock Paper - Category C, was awarded to the following bidder:

**N.C.R. Paper (Category C)-**  
**Gulf Coast Paper, Brownsville, Texas**

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Thomae and carried unanimously, the low bid on Stock Paper - Category D, was awarded to the following bidder:

**Index Cards Category D)-**  
**Butler Paper, Corpus Christi, Texas**

Upon motion by Commissioner Thomae, seconded by Commissioner Cascos and carried unanimously, the bids for Stock Paper - Category E, were REJECTED, based on the "recycled" paper requirements.

**b) EMULSION OIL MATERIALS**

Upon motion by Commissioner Thomaе, seconded by Commissioner Valencia and carried unanimously, the low bid for Emulsion Oil Materials for various Departments was awarded as outlined and on the recommendation of the County Engineer:

**b) EMULSION OIL MATERIAL - ELF ASPHALT, AUSTIN, TEXAS**

Type RS-2	\$ .4572/Gallon
Type MS-2	.4572/Gallon
Type MS-1	.4572/Gallon
Type SS-1	.4572/Gallon
Type AES-300	.5247/Gallon

**c) DRAINAGE MATERIALS**

**A. Corrugated Plastic Pipe**

\*\*\*\*\* NO BIDS RECEIVED \*\*\*\*\*

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Cascos and carried unanimously, the low bid on Drainage Materials -Category B, was awarded to the following bidder as outlined and on the recommendation of the County Engineer:

**B. Corrugated Metal Pipe -**

**CONTECH CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTS, HOUSTON, TEXAS**

18" dia	\$ 8.15/L. F.
24" dia	10.78/L. F.
30" dia	13.45/L. F.
36" dia	19.35/L. F.
48" dia	25.87/L. F.
60" dia	42.57/L. F.
72" dia	59.76/L. F.
84" dia	68.58/L. F.
96" dia	90.99/L. F.



Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Cascos and carried unanimously, the low bid on Drainage Materials -Category B - No. 10, was awarded to the following bidder as outlined and on the recommendation of the County Engineer:

**B. No. 10. Band Coupler Complete -**

CONTECH CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTS, HOUSTON, TEXAS

18" dia	\$ 14.31/L. F.
24" dia	18.45/L. F.
30" dia	22.68/L. F.
35" dia	33.12/L. F.
48" dia	44.19/L. F.
60" dia	73.94/L. F.
72" dia	111.15/L. F.
84" dia	124.88/L. F.
96" dia	168.03/L. F.

Upon motion by Commissioner Cascos, seconded by Commissioner Thomae and carried unanimously, the low bid on Drainage Materials -Category C, was awarded to the following bidder as outlined and on the recommendation of the County Engineer:

**C. Concrete Pipe With Jointing Material - C 14**

W. T. LISTON, HARLINGEN, TEXAS

18" dia	\$ 7.10/L. F.
24" dia	10.75/L. F.
30" dia	14.70/L. F.

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Thomae and carried unanimously, the low bid "package" on Drainage Materials -Category D, was awarded to the following bidder as outlined and on the recommendation of the County Engineer:

**D. Concrete Pipe With Jointing Material - C 76**

W. T. Liston, Harlingen, Texas

18" dia	\$ 8.90/L. F.
24" dia	14.00/L. F.
30" dia	19.10/L. F.
36" dia	26.70/L. F.
48" dia	43.06/L. F.
54" dia	51.45/L. F.
60" dia	63.50/L. F.
72" dia	86.50/L. F.

**d) FLEXIBLE BASE MATERIALS**

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Rosenbaum and carried unanimously, the low bid "package" on Flexible Base Materials - Category A, was awarded to the following bidder as outlined and on the recommendation of the County Engineer:

**A. Crushed Caliche, Type "F" Grade 4 -**

Superior Materials, Weslaco, Texas

Zones	1	\$ 6.83/Ton
	2	7.77/Ton
	3	8.02/Ton
	4	9.03/Ton
	5	9.19/Ton

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Rosenbaum and carried unanimously, the low bid "package" on Flexible Base Materials - Category B, was awarded to the following bidder as outlined and on the recommendation of the County Engineer:

**B. Screened Caliche Gravel -**

Triple R. Trucking, Edingburg, Texas

Zones	1	\$ 7.64/Ton
	2	8.27/Ton
	3	9.28/Ton
	4	9.84/Ton
	5	10.82/Ton

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Rosenbaum and carried unanimously, the low bid on Flexible Base Materials - Category C, was awarded to the following bidder as outlined and on the recommendation of the County Engineer:

**C. Caliche Pit Run -**

Superior Materials, Weslaco, Texas

Zones	1	\$ 7.97/Ton
	2	8.68/Ton
	3	9.37/Ton
	4	9.77/Ton
	5	10.13/Ton

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Thomae and carried unanimously, the low bid "package" on Flexible Base Materials - Category D, was awarded to the following bidder as outlined and on the recommendation of the County Engineer:

**D. Crushed Caliche Gravel -**

Triple R. Trucking, Edingburg, Texas

Zones	1	\$ 7.89/Ton
	2	8.52/Ton
	3	9.53/Ton
	4	10.09/Ton
	5	11.07/Ton

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Rosenbaum and carried unanimously, the low bid on Flexible Base Materials - Category E, was awarded to the following bidder as outlined and on the recommendation of the County Engineer:

**E. Caliche Gravel, Pit Run -**

Superior Materials, Weslaco, Texas

Zones	1	\$ 7.97/Ton
	2	8.68/Ton
	3	9.37/Ton
	4	9.77/Ton
	5	10.13/Ton

**F. Select Fill**

\*\*\*\*\* NO BIDS RECEIVED \*\*\*\*\*

**d) ROAD SURFACING MATERIALS**

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Thomae and carried unanimously, the low bid on Road Surfacing Materials - Category A, was awarded to the following bidder as outlined and on the recommendation of the County Engineer:

**A. Cold Mix Limestone Asphalt (Class "A")**  
Vulcan Materials, San Antonio, Texas

Type "CC" \$ 13.25/Ton  
Type "D" 13.25/Ton

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Thomae and carried unanimously, the low bid on Road Surfacing Materials - Category B, was awarded to the following bidder as outlined and on the recommendation of the County Engineer:

**B. Aggregate for Surface Treatments -**  
Vulcan Materials, San Antonio, Texas

Type P. E. # 3 \$ 10.25/Ton  
Type P. E. # 4 10.95/Ton  
Type P. E. # 5 10.95/Ton

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Thomae and carried unanimously, the low bid on Road Surfacing Materials - Category C, awarded to the following bidder as outlined and on the recommendation of the County Engineer:

**C. Aggregate for Surface Treatment, Crushed Gravel -**  
Key Materials, Mercedes, Texas

**Type "D", Grades 2, 3, 4, and 5**

Zones	1	\$ 15.95/Ton
	2	16.45/Ton
	3	17.65/Ton
	4	18.85/Ton
	5	19.95/Ton

Upon motion by Commissioner Thomae, seconded by Commissioner Valencia and carried unanimously, the low bid on Road Surfacing Materials - Category D, was awarded to the following bidder as outlined and on the recommendation of the County Engineer:

**D. Hot Mix-Cold Laid Asphaltic Concrete -**  
Triple R. Trucking, Edingburg, Texas

**Type "D"**

Zones	1	\$30.40/Ton
	2	31.00/Ton
	3	31.40/Ton
	4	31.90/Ton
	5	35.07/Ton

Upon motion by Commissioner Rosenbaum, seconded by Commissioner Cascos and carried unanimously, the low bid on Road Surfacing Materials - Category E, was awarded to the following bidder as outlined and on the recommendation of the County Engineer:

**E. Hot-Mix Asphaltic Concrete -**  
Triple R. Trucking, Edingburg, Texas"

**Type "D"**

Zones	1	\$24.40/Ton
	2	25.00/Ton
	3	25.40/Ton
	4	25.90/Ton
	5	29.07/Ton

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Cascos and carried unanimously, the low bid on Road Surfacing Materials - Category F, was awarded to the following bidder as outlined and on the recommendation of the County Engineer:

**F. Cold Mix Limestone Asphalt (Class A)-**  
Vulcan Materials, San Antonio, Texas

**Type "CC" or "D"**

Pct. Nos. 1 & 2 Whse	\$44.30/Ton	
Pct. No. 3 Whse	42.63/Ton	
Pct. No. 4 Whse	41.37/Ton	
Zones	1	\$41.37/Ton
	2	42.63/Ton
	3	42.63/Ton
	4	44.30/Ton
	5	46.39/Ton

Upon motion by Commissioner Thomaе, seconded by Commissioner Valencia and carried unanimously, the low bid on Road Surfacing Materials - Category G, was awarded to the following bidder as outlined and on the **recommendation of the County Engineer:**

**G. Aggregate For Surface Treatments**

Vulcan Materials, San Antonio, Texas

**Type P.E. # 3**

Zones	1	\$38.37/Ton
	2	39.63/Ton
	3	39.63/Ton
	4	41.30/Ton
	5	43.39/Ton

**Type P.E. # 4**

Zones	1	\$39.07/Ton
	2	40.33/Ton
	3	40.33/Ton
	4	42.00/Ton
	5	44.09/Ton

**Type P.E. # 5**

Zones	1	\$39.07/Ton
	2	40.33/Ton
	3	40.33/Ton
	4	42.00/Ton
	5	44.09/Ton

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Rosenbaum and carried unanimously, the low bid on Road Surfacing Materials - Category H, was awarded to the following bidder as outlined and on the recommendation of the County Engineer:

**H. Aggregate For Surface Treatments -**

Triple R. Trucking, Edingburg, Texas

**Type P.B., Grades 3, 4, and 5**

Zones	1	\$19.40/Ton
	2	20.00/Ton
	3	20.40/Ton
	4	20.90/Ton
	5	24.07/Ton

**I. Select Fill Material**

\*\*\*\*\* NO BIDS RECEIVED \*\*\*\*\*

----- 0 -----

**(21) AUTHORIZATION TO OPEN BIDS AS RECEIVED FOR THE OLMITO OVERLAY PHASE III (LAKESIDE BOULEVARD WEST)**

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Thomae and carried unanimously, approval was given to open bids as received for the Olmito Overlay, Phase III Project, Lakeside Boulevard West.

**The bids received and opened are as follows:**

Upon motion by Commissioner Thomae, seconded by Commissioner Valencia and carried unanimously, the bids were referred to the County Engineer and Precinct No. 2 Commissioner for tabulation and recommendation to the Court of the best bid in one (1) week.

----- 0 -----

(22) **IN THE MATTER OF THE ENGINEER'S OFFICE  
TO ADVERTISE FOR GENERAL ENGINEERING  
SERVICES, SURVEYING SERVICES AND  
CONSTRUCTION INSPECTION SERVICES  
(TABLED)**

Upon motion by Commissioner Thomae, seconded by Commissioner Rosenbaum and carried unanimously, this Item was TABLED.

----- 0 -----

(23) **APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 FOR  
SOUTH DAKOTA DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS**

Commissioner Rosenbaum moved that Change Order No. 1 for South Dakota Drainage Improvements be approved.

The motion was seconded by Commissioner Thomae and carried unanimously.

**The Change Order is as follows:**

(24)

**APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 FOR  
OLMITO PAVEMENT IMPROVEMENTS PHASE II**

Upon motion by Commissioner Cascos, seconded by Commissioner Rosenbaum and carried unanimously, the

Change Order No. 1 for Olmito Pavement Improvements Phase II was approved.

**The Change Order is as follows:**

**(25) IN THE MATTER TO PREPARE PLANS AND SPECIFICATION TO PAVE MILLS DRIVE CONTINGENT ON DONATION OF EXTRA FIVE FEET (5') OF RIGHT-OF-WAY (TABLED)**

Upon motion by Commissioner Thomae, seconded by Commissioner Cascos and carried unanimously, this Item was TABLED.

----- 0 -----

**(26) REQUEST FOR FINAL APPROVAL (TABLED)**

Upon motion by Commissioner Valencia, seconded by Commissioner Thomae and carried unanimously, this Item was TABLED.

----- 0 -----

**(27) APPROVAL OF TRAVEL EXPENSES**

Upon motion by Commissioner Thomae, seconded by Commissioner Cascos and carried unanimously, the following travel expenses were approved, subject to availability of funds in their budget:

- a) Drug Task Force Director and one (1) Officer to attend TNCP Commanders' Meeting in Laredo, Texas, on October 7, 1992, (Previously scheduled for September 22, 1992);
- b) Drug Task Force Director and HIDTA Supervisor to attend Operation Alliance Meeting in Austin, Texas, on October 19, 1992;
- c) Commissioner Thomae to El Paso, Texas, to attend County Judges and Commissioners Conference on October 13-15, 1992;
- d) Ms. Beatriz Von Ohlen, R.N., Health Department, to Houston, Texas, on October 8-11, 1992, to attend Conference for Advanced Nurse Practitioners;
- e) County Auditor and three (3) Assistants to attend Conference in San Antonio, Texas, on September 27 through October 1, 1992; and
- f) Assistant County Engineer to Houston, Texas, to attend Soil Compaction Seminar on October 15, 1992.

----- 0 -----

**(10) AUTHORIZATION TO OPEN AND AWARD BIDS FOR VOTING SUPPLIES FOR ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT**

Upon motion by Commissioner Rosenbaum, seconded by Commissioner Cascos and carried unanimously, the low bids for Voting Supplies were awarded to the following bidders as outlined and on the recommendation of the Purchasing Agent:



**Texas County Printing and Services - Ft. Worth, Texas:**

A-1. Voting Kits	\$ 954.75	
A-2. Board Kits	36.00	
B. Mail Kits	5,550.00	
C. Ballot Boxes	1,481.25	
D. Voting Booths		870.00
F. Ballot Pencils	298.00	

**Hart Forms and Services - Austin, Texas:**

E-1. Voting Ballots	\$ 5,500.00	
E-2. Support Services	1,350.00.	

----- 0 -----

(11) **AUTHORIZATION TO OPEN AND AWARD BIDS  
FOR ONE (1) SEDAN FOR RISK MANAGEMENT  
COORDINATOR**

Upon motion by Commissioner Thomae, seconded by Commissioner Cascos and carried unanimously, the low bid of Kellogg Chevrolet, San Benito, Texas, in the amount of \$14,290.39, was accepted for the sedan for the Risk Management Coordinator.

----- 0 -----

There being no further business to come before the Court, upon motion by Commissioner Rosenbaum, seconded by Commissioner Thomae and carried unanimously, the meeting was adjourned.

----- 0 -----

APPROVED this **5th** day of October, 1992.

---

**ANTONIO O. GARZA, JR.  
COUNTY JUDGE**

**ATTEST:**

---

**JOE G. RIVERA,  
COUNTY CLERK AND EX-OFFICIO CLERK  
OF THE COMMISSIONERS' COURT OF  
CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS**