# CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS 

## COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT



FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED

## SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

MARTHA GALARZA, CPA
COUNTY AUDITOR


CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS<br>Comprehensive Annual Financial Report<br>For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2016

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE
INTRODUCTORY SECTION
Letter of Transmittal ..... 1
GFOA Certificate of Achievement ..... 17
Organization Chart ..... 18
Elected Officials ..... 19
FINANCIAL SECTION ..... 20
Independent Auditors' Report ..... 21
Management's Discussion and Analysis ..... 25
Basic Financial Statements: ..... 39
Government-wide Financial Statements:
Statement of Net Position ..... 40
Statement of Activities ..... 42
Fund Financial Statements:
Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds ..... 43
Reconciliation of Balance Sheet of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Position ..... 44
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in
Fund Balances - Governmental Funds ..... 45
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in
Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities ..... 46
Statement of Net Position - Proprietary Funds ..... 47
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position - Proprietary Funds ..... 49
Statement of Cash Flows - Proprietary Funds ..... 50
Statement of Fiduciary Net Position - Fiduciary Funds ..... 51
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position - Fiduciary Funds ..... 52
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements ..... 53
Required Supplementary Information: ..... 105
Schedule of Funding Progress -OPEB ..... 106
Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios ..... 107
Schedule of Employer Contributions ..... 108
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in
Fund Balances - Budget and Actual - General Fund ..... 109
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in
Fund Balances - Budget and Actual - Special Road and Bridge Fund ..... 110
Notes to Required Supplementary Information ..... 111
Supplementary Information: ..... 112
Combining and Individual Funds Statements and Schedules:
Combining Balance Sheet - Non-major Governmental Funds ..... 113

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS<br>Comprehensive Annual Financial Report<br>For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2016

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE
Supplementary Information (Continued):
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Non-major Governmental Funds ..... 121
Major Governmental Funds ..... 129
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in
Fund Balance
General Fund ..... 130
Road and Bridge Fund ..... 138
2016 Certificates of Obligation Projects Fund ..... 140
Schedules of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances Budget to Actual
Non-major Special Revenue Funds ..... 141
Non-major Capital Project Funds ..... 179
Non-major Debt Service Fund ..... 183
Non-Major Enterprise Funds ..... 186
Combining Statement of Net Position - Non-major Enterprise Funds ..... 187
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position- Non-major Enterprise Funds ..... 188
Combining Statement of Cash Flows - Non-major Enterprise Funds ..... 189
Trust and Agency Funds ..... 190
Combining Statement of Fiduciary Net Position - Private Purpose Trust Funds ..... 192
Combining Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position - Private Purpose Trust Funds ..... 193
Combining Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities - Agency Funds ..... 194
Combining Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities - Agency Funds ..... 197
Capital Assets Used in the Operation of Governmental Funds: ..... 202
Comparative Schedule by Source ..... 203
Schedule of Changes in Capital Assets by Function and Activity ..... 204
Schedule of Changes in Capital Assets by Function ..... 205
Component Units ..... 206
Combining Statements of Net Position-Governmental Funds-Component Units ..... 207
Statement of Activities-Component Units ..... 208
Balance Sheet-Component Units ..... 209
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Net Position:-Governmental Funds- Component Units ..... 210
STATISTICAL SECTION: ..... 211
Governmental-Wide information:
Net position by Component-For the Last Ten Fiscal Years ..... 212
Governmental-Wide Revenues-For the Last Ten Fiscal Years ..... 213
Governmental-Wide Expenses by Function-For the Last Ten Fiscal Years ..... 213
Fund Information:
General Governmental Revenues by Source - Last Ten Fiscal Years ..... 213
General Governmental Expenditures by Function - Last Ten Fiscal Years ..... 213
Fund Balances, Governmental Funds-Last Ten Fiscal Years ..... 214
Assessed and Estimated Actual Value of Taxable Property - Last Ten Fiscal Years ..... 216
Ratio of Net General Bonded Debt to Assessed Value and Net Bonded Debt Per
Capita - Last Ten Fiscal Years ..... 216
Property Tax Levies and Collections - Last Ten Fiscal Years ..... 217
Property Tax Rates - Direct and Overlapping Governments - Last Ten Fiscal Years ..... 218
Computation of Direct and Estimated Overlapping Debt ..... 219

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS<br>Comprehensive Annual Financial Report<br>For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2016

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## PAGE

## STATISTICAL SECTION (Continued):

Ten Principal Taxpayers - Fiscal Year 2016 ..... 219
Ten Principal Employers - Fiscal Year 2016 ..... 219
Computation of Legal Debt Margin ..... 220
Ratio of Annual Debt Service Expenditures for General Bonded Debt To Total General Expenditures - Last Ten Fiscal Years ..... 220
Ratio of Outstanding Debt by Type - Last Ten Fiscal Years ..... 221
Ratio of General Bonded Debt Outstanding-Last Ten Fiscal Years ..... 221
Revenue Bond Coverage - Park System and International Toll Bridge System - Last Ten Fiscal Years ..... 222
Demographic Statistics - Last Ten Fiscal Years ..... 223
Construction, Bank Deposits and Property Value - Last Ten Fiscal Years ..... 223
Full-time Equivalent County Government Employees by Function ..... 224
Operating indicators ..... 225
SINGLE AUDIT: ..... 226
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards ..... 227
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance required by the Uniform Guidance and the State of Texas Single Audit Circular ..... 229
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards ..... 231
Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards ..... 234
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal / State Awards ..... 235
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs ..... 236


# COUNTY AUDITOR CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS <br> P.O. Box 3846 <br> Brownsville, Texas 78523 <br> (956) 544-0822 

## Martha Galarza <br> COUNTY AUDITOR

March 28, 2017

Honorable District Judges of Cameron County and
Honorable Members of the Cameron County Commissioners' Court
The County Auditor's Office (the "Auditor's Office") is pleased to present the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report ("CAFR") of Cameron County, Texas (the "County") for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016. This report is submitted in accordance with Section 114.025 of the Texas Local Government Code and has been prepared by the County Auditor's staff.

Responsibility for the accuracy of the data and completeness and fairness of the presentation, including all disclosures, rests with the County. We believe the data presented is accurate in all material respects and presents fairly the financial position and results of operations of the County in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). All disclosures necessary to enable the reader to gain an understanding of the County's financial activities have been included.

The County is required to provide a narrative introduction, overview, and analysis to accompany the basic financial statements in the form of a MD\&A. This letter of transmittal is designed to compliment the MD\&A and should be read in conjunction with it. The MD\&A can be found immediately following the report of independent auditors.

The accounting and reporting policies of the County reflected in the accompanying financial statements conform to accounting principles generally acceptable in the United States of America applicable to state and local governments. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for local governments are those promulgated by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) in Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards. The financial report has been prepared in accordance with GASB Statement No. 34, "Basic Financial Statements - and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments", issued in June 1999 and implemented by the County in FY2003. The effect of implementing all of the above-mentioned accounting standards are discussed in Note 1 to the basic financial statements.

## THE REPORTING ENTITY AND IT SERVICES

The combined financial statements of Cameron County, Texas as a financial reporting entity report all activities, organizations, and functions of Cameron County, both as a primary government and its legally separate component units for which (1) the elected officials of the County are financially accountable and/or (2) exclusion of component units activities would cause the County's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. The County's component units have been reported as blended with the County as the primary government or discrete (separate) component unit, as appropriate. Criteria used by the County for including activities in preparing these financial statements are in conformity with Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 14-The Financial Reporting Entity. Based on the foregoing criteria, the operations of the following component units have been included in this year's report:

- Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority (C.C.R.M.A.)
- Cameron County Emergency Services District \#1 (E.S.D.)
- Cameron County Health Care Funding District (C.C.H.F.D.)
- Cameron County Spaceport Development Corporation (C.C.S.D.C.)

The County, the primary government, and its component units solely or in cooperation with other local governmental entities provide a full range of services that include construction and maintenance of roads and bridges, health and housing services, assistance to indigents, law enforcement and public safety, juvenile and adult justice programs, economic development, recreation and cultural development, and general administration.

## ECONOMIC OVERVIEW AND OUTLOOK

Cameron County, Texas was created in 1848, and is the southernmost county in Texas in the Rio Grande Plains region of South Texas. The County is approximately 906 square miles of land and 371 square miles of water, including rivers, estuaries, lagoons, bays and ocean water. Mexico is at its southern border, and it is bordered to the east by the Gulf of Mexico. Cameron County's estimated population is 426,897 inhabitants. ${ }^{1}$ It is the eleventh largest county in the State, with its population estimated to grow over three percent a year since the last U.S. Census. Brownsville, the County Seat, serves as the terminus of U.S. Highways 77, 83, and 281 as well as the Missouri and Southern Pacific Railroads. It is the largest city with population estimated to be over 200,000. The County has an average temperature of $74^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and a growing season of 320 days. Humidity averages between 50 and $75 \%$. The climate is subtropical, semi-arid, and the weather is greatly influenced by the Gulf of Mexico. Average rainfall is 25.49 and wind velocity is generally 14 mph from the southeast. Its governmental organization, as graphically displayed on Page 18 consists of thirty seven elected officials, as well as a number of appointed officials and department directors.

Cameron County has long been a gateway for U.S./Mexico business. Commerce, job creation, and construction growth in the County are greatly influenced by trade with Mexico. The County's main highway has been widened from four lanes to six lanes and is a part of Interstate 69. This is one of the few places in the country that offers highway connections to deep water ports, airports providing international air connections, cargo service and railways all with direct connection to international crossings. Cameron County owns and operates three (3) international bridges which, despite increased border violence on the Mexico side, continue to serve as a main corridor for pedestrian, vehicular traffic and commercial traffic.

Property values continue to grow modestly in comparison to the national market and construction of homes and businesses continues to grow along the major corridors of the County. Taxable values in tax year 2015 grew $2.82 \%$ in comparison to the prior year and new construction in the county has provided $\$ 287,115,979$ in new property values, new construction growth increased by $4.9 \%$ from the prior year. Residential construction represents $58.6 \%$ of new properties and commercial construction represents $15.8 \%$ of all new construction. New construction would generate $\$ 1,146,428$ at $100 \%$ collection. The Housing market in South Texas remains strong as the State of Texas continues to attract residents seeking job opportunities and lower cost of living. Supply and demand of rental housing has continued to be strong in comparison surrounding states.

The low-cost of the labor force of Northern Mexico creates an industry of twin manufacturing plants known as "Maquiladoras". The Maquiladora Programs in the state of Tamaulipas has approximately 339 Maquilas and is a source of employment for over 100,000 persons, thus this industry accounts for a large number of Mexico's gross domestic product. The Maquila Industry has given U.S. businesses the ability to remain competitive with other foreign markets offering the same goods for a lesser price. U.S. companies have shifted production to Mexico due to the lower cost of labor ${ }^{2}$. Products are partially assembled in Mexico, then transported to United States where final assembly is completed in the "sister plant".

[^0]Hon. District Judges
Hon. Members of the Commissioners' Court
March 28, 2017

The Cameron County, Texas economic information is reported nationally as the Brownsville-San BenitoHarlingen Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA). The following table presents the County's key demographic/economic indicators:

|  | FY 2016 | FY 2015 | Percent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population ${ }^{3}$ (Dec. 15 vs.Dec. 14) | 422,156 | 420,392 | 0.42\% |
| Civilian Labor Force (Oct '16 vs Oct '15) | 166,357 | 162,632 | 2.29\% |
| Total Employment (Oct '16 vs Oct '15) | 155,385 | 151,659 | 2.46\% |
| Total Unemployment (Oct. '16 vs Oct. '15) | 10,972 | 10,973 | 0.00\% |
| Percent Unemployment ${ }^{4}$ Oct '16 vs Oct '15) | 6.6\% | 6.7\% | -1.49\% |
| Non Farm Employment (Oct '16 vs Oct '15) | 143,400 | 139,400 | 2.87\% |
| Manufacturing Employment (Oct '16 vs Oct '15) | ) 6,100 | 5,700 | 7.02\% |
| Bank Deposits (county) in millions | \$ 4.43 | \$ 4.28 | 3.50\% |
| Taxable Property Valuation ${ }^{5}$ in millions | \$ 16,101 | \$ 15,529 | 3.68\% |
| Taxable Valuation Per Capita | \$ 38,141 | \$ 36,939 | 3.25\% |
| Port of Brownsville Cargo tonnage (metric tons) ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |
| Waterborne | 7,154,125 | 6,247,894 | 14.50\% |
| Non-Waterborne | 1,596,385 | 1,380,799 | 15.61\% |
| Southbound Border Crossings* (FY'16vs.FY15) |  |  |  |
| Autos \& Other | 3,238,160 | 3,034,134 | 6.72\% |
| Commercial Trucks | 224,857 | 208,747 | 7.72\% |
| Pedestrians | 2,099,493 | 2,203,191 | -4.70\% |
| Total | 5,562,510 | 5,446,072 | 2.14\% |
| Airline Boardings: (FY Sept. 2016) |  |  |  |
| Harlingen | 262,813 | 269,100 | - $2.34 \%$ |
| Brownsville | 103,473 | 104,747 | -1.22\% |
| Total | 366,286 | 373,847 | -2.02\% |
|  | Brownsville MSA ${ }^{7}$ | Texas | U.S. |
| Per Capita Income (2015) | \$26,826 | \$46,947 | \$48,112 |

In addition to manufacturing and its related transportation trade, tourism is a strong component of the local economy. Eco-tourism has become a major economic force in this region. Bird watching is a very popular activity here for many visitors to the County. The Rio Grande Valley (RGV) is recognized as one of the top birding destinations in the United States. The RGV Birding Festival, based in Harlingen, is held annually and has become one of the largest and most informative birding festivals in the country. Each year, thousands of people from states north of Texas spend their winters in Cameron County's warmer climate. Many of the winter Texans who visited here have now become permanent, year-round residents. During Spring Break, it is estimated that over 140,000 college students come to South Padre Island and infuse more than a million dollars into the County's economy.

[^1]Senate Bill 24 (SB24), which was passed by the Texas Legislature in May 2013, created a new Valley-spanning university comprised of the University of Texas at Brownsville, the University of Texas - Pan American and the UT Health Science Center San Antonio Regional Academic Health Center in Harlingen. This new university was named "The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley" (UTRGV) reflecting the pride, place and history of the South Texas region. UTRGV has provided the southernmost tip of Texas RGV inhabitants with access to unparalleled educational and healthcare opportunities and serves as a beacon of light, hope, opportunity and education for this region. This development is a major academic and economic asset to all of Texas. Its mission is one of global excellence and the goal is to transform the Rio Grande Valley into a hub for research and world class education and healthcare. SB24, by creating a new university and medical school in South Texas through the merger of University of Texas-Pan American and University of Texas-Brownsville, authorizes this new university to tap into the multibillion-dollar "Permanent University Fund, (PUF) which is comprised of oil and gas revenue, for construction costs and to equip the facilities. PUF is a public endowment that provides financial support to institutions in the University of Texas and Texas A\&M University systems. Principal of this fund includes all proceeds from oil, gas, sulfur, and water royalties, gains on investments, rentals on mineral leases and amounts received from sale of university lands. This new medical school will enable future doctors of the Valley to remain in the region increasing availability of medical care to an area that has one of the largest pockets of uninsured in the country. University of Texas RGV is a historic consolidation of the resources of UT Brownsville, UT Pan American and the Regional Academic Health Center. The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley accepted its first class of students in August 2015.

Funding of $\$ 54$ million was been approved by the UT Board of Regents for the construction of the valley's first medical school which will be built in Edinburg. In February 2015, the University of Texas Rio Grande Valley School Of Medicine cleared its first step toward accreditation. The school's candidacy for accreditation was approved by the Liaison Committee on Medical Education. In April 2015, the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board approved a Doctor of Medicine (M.D.) degree for the school. Medical education programs will be held at various sites throughout Cameron and Hidalgo counties, including the existing Regional Academic Health Center in Harlingen. Construction on a $\$ 54$ million Medical Education Building was completed over the summer in Hidalgo County. The medical school accepted its first charter class of 55 first year medical students in July 2016. This educational facility is a big step for the Valley and is projected to provide access to health care to one of the most medically underserved regions in the nation.

Space Exploration Technologies (Space X), a private space exploration company, broke ground on the construction site of a rocket launch pad and command center to be located east of Brownsville near Boca Chica Beach on the eastern end of Texas Highway 4, about 3 miles north of the Mexican border and 5 miles south of Port Isabel and South Padre Island. Space X is building the world's first commercial, vertical and orbital rocket launch facility in Cameron County and is committed to have 12 commercial launches per year. SpaceX will bring in two ground station antennas to this site that will be used to track the Dragon spacecraft. This spacecraft will the transport mode carrying astronauts to and from the International Space Station. The Texas legislature approved legislative changes and incentives in support of SpaceX enhancing the development of the Texas site in Cameron County. Space X secured a $\$ 15$ million commitment from the state of Texas for infrastructure development. Federal Aviation Administration issued the Environmental Impact Statement paving the way for SpaceX at the Cameron County site. Selection of Cameron County as a launching site is an economic boon to the county as it will bring hundreds of jobs to the Lower Rio Grande Valley. SpaceX currently has $\$ 3$ billion in launch contracts. In February 2015, SpaceX announced its first confirmed launches of two satellites from the Brownsville site in 2017. Presently the site has two years of construction remaining and the first launch could be as early as 2018. The site selection of Cameron County will impact Cameron County economically by bringing in approximately 600 direct jobs, 400 indirect and induced jobs and an annual economic impact of $\$ 70$ million plus. Every launch is expected to draw 30,000 visitors to this region. Cameron County has begun paving a road that would stretch from Highway 4 to the main entrance of the command center.

UTRGV is home to STARGATE, the first of its kind as a space exploration research center. The STARGATE facility will be located adjacent to SpaceX and the launch command center will be available for usage by STARGATE students and faculty researchers for training, technology development and scientific research. STARGATE has received funding from Texas Emerging Technology Fund and the University of Texas System and with the arrival of SpaceX - it will thrust UTRGV to the leading edge of astrophysics. STARGATE is a
collaboration of SpaceX and the Center for Advanced Radio Astronomy. Faculty and students of UTRGV will be exposed to real space launches and research offering endless possibilities.

History was made in South Texas with the arrival of Interstate 69 (I69) in July 2013. The designation of 67 new interstate miles in South Texas signals the economic growth, mobility and international trade in our booming South Texas region. The Texas Department of Transportation officially designated 67 miles of regional roadways as part of the new Interstate 69. US77 through Cameron and Willacy counties were designated I-69E and 13 miles of US281 in Pharr and Edinburg were designated I-69C. Through development on existing roadways, I69 will eventually become part of a 1,600 mile long highway stretching from Michigan to Texas. Plans are for I69 to follow the existing roadways of US 59, US 77, US 84 and US 281. Rio Grande Valley has continued to grow through the last three decades and this designation is an additional step toward safely mobilizing the growing population and nurturing the economic prosperity of this South Texas region for international trade. With more than 1 million residents living in South Texas and expanding every day, this I-69 corridor will accommodate the region's growing population.

Mexico has completed construction of the Mazatlan-Matamoros corridor, a 1,242 kilometer project, which provides a direct links between Mexico's western growing regions and South Texas. This superhighway connects Mexico's Pacific coast to the Gulf Coast region and is part of a comprehensive national infrastructure plan in Mexico. It serves to accelerate Mexican produce trucks entering the United States for quicker access to East Coast markets. Usage of this highway saves about six hours travel time for Mexican trucks. This highway has spurred construction of an overhead highway just south of Brownsville on the Mexican side giving commercial traffic a route that avoids traffic jams in the center of Brownsville's sister city, Matamoros, Mexico. On the U.S. side, completion of I-69 to the Valley will provide the necessary infrastructure necessary for commercial traffic. Discussions are ongoing between the regions to facilitate the usage of cold storage facilities to accommodate this commodity.

SH550 Connector Project, the first tolled road in Cameron County, when completed will be a 10 mile long road with four lanes - two in each direction - with direct connectors to I-69. The direct connector to I-69, a $\$ 47.9$ million project with a mix of state, local and federal funding has been completed. Configuration for this Project consists of five segments, of which three have been completed. Texas Department of Transportation has designated the completed sections of SH550 from I69-E to State Highway 48 has been officially named I-169, making this segment a part of the federal interstate system. There are two portions of this 10 mile long toll road pending completion that are anticipated to be bid out in 2016. 550 GAP I Project will allow commercial and high speed traffic to bypass a local public school, power plant and Border Patrol facility. Final bid package has been submitted to TxDOT and advertisement date is anticipated to be early 2017. 550 GAP II Project is the final segment of this project and will be approximately three miles long. Estimated costs for this section are $\$ 15$ million and project is partially funded as of now, construction estimates are preliminarily set for 2018. This tolled road is a boost to the economy as it will facilitate an industrial corridor along the route to the Port of Brownsville, a direct connection to deep sea transport. The Port of Brownsville has recently completed a new entrance to the Port at the intersection of SH550 and SH48. This entrance is the primary vehicular gateway to the Port of Brownsville and is a direct connector for commercial traffic to and from the Port to I-69. The Port of Brownsville is a leading in-transit port and major importer of steel in the United States.

## MAJOR INITIATIVES \& SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

The County continues to employ sound fiscal management over the public resources provided. Invested funds were fully collateralized in compliance with Texas Local Government Code 2256. Based upon this continued sound fiscal management and other underlying financial conditions, the County's debt issues have a strong financial rating. The county's tax supported debt ratings were upgraded from "A1" to "Aa3"by Moody's Investors Service. This upgrade is reflective of the positive financial performance and the continued buildup of fund balance. Standard \& Poors ratings were affirmed "A+", however outlook was upgraded to positive from stable. The County's ratings were affirmed "AA-"by Fitch with rating outlook of stable.

Cameron County issued $\$ 16,260,000$ Certificates of Obligation, Series 2016A dated September 1, 2016 to pay for contractual obligations to be incurred in connection with the design, planning, acquisition, construction, equipping, expansion, repair and/or renovation of 1) Dancy Courthouse, including Terra Cotta; 2) Wells Fargo Building for County offices; 3) County IT Center relocation; 4) Vehicle Maintenance Building; 5) Precincct offices and warehouses; 6) Judicial and Administrative facilities renovation; 7) County Jail; 8) Darrell Hester Facility; 9) County buildings roof repair/replacement; 10) Rural street lights and to pay costs of issuance costs of Certificates. This issuance had a premium of $\$ 2,403,962$. The annual interest rates range from $2.0 \%$ to $5.0 \%$. Interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2036.

Cameron County issued \$21,160,000 Certificates of Obligation, Series 2016B dated September 1, 2016 for the purpose of providing for the payment of contractual obligations to be incurred in connection with the design, planning, acquisition, construction, equipping, expansion, repair, and/or renovation of County Parks, including Isla Blanca Park, Andy Bowie Park, EK Atwood Park, Olmito Community Park and to pay costs of issuance of the Certificates. This is a debt obligation issued by Cameron County utilizing county long term borrowing power that will be paid by Cameron County Parks System, a business-type activity fund. This Obligation was issued by Cameron County and is listed as County debt and in the Enterprise Fund Debt Obligation as County Parks activity will fulfill this obligation. This issuance had a premium of $\$ 3,390,116$. The annual interest rates range from $2.0 \%$ to $5.0 \%$. Interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2036.

Cameron County entered into a commercial - improved property contract for the purchase of real property located on Levee Street in the city of Brownsville, the county seat, in January 2016. This property has existing multi-story building which will be used to house county departments with ample parking area. Funding for this purchase will be temporarily funded by County's General Fund; in addition a "Resolution Declaring Intention to Reimburse Certain Expenditures" was approved by Commissioners Court on January 26, 2016 as authorized by Chapter 21 of the Texas Government Code which will allow the County to use proceeds of obligation to reimburse itself for costs attributable to a "Project" paid incurred before the date of such obligations. Cameron County expects to incur debt in an aggregate amount not to exceed $\$ 4,000,000$ for the purpose of paying the costs for the acquisition of property and related improvements. Restructuring has commenced and some departments are expected to move into this building in 2017. This project is being funded by Certificates of Obligation, Series 2016A.

Preliminary design and site layouts for the County's four new precinct warehouses in addition to the new vehicle maintenance facility were approved in January 2017. Precinct \#1 warehouse will be constructed on a 21 acre tract, Precinct \#2 warehouse will be on 18 acre, part of an existing 47 acre tract, Pct \#3 will be built on the existing 8 acre tract which will be shared with the Vehicle Maintenance facility and Pct \#4 will be built on a 5 acre tract of land which was donated by a local family to Cameron County. This project will be funded by 2016 Certificates of Obligation. Construction is anticipated to begin this summer on some of these sites.

Cameron County entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with the Development Corporation of Harlingen, Inc., cities of Harlingen and San Benito for the construction of a cold storage facility for inspection of foreign produce at Free Trade Bridge. The Development Corporation of Harlingen will serve as the project manager and will oversee the construction in addition to securing proposals for operations and management of the cold storage facility. Construction costs are budgeted at $\$ 536,487$ and Cameron County will contribute $50 \%(\$ 268,253)$ and cities of Harlingen and San Benito will each contribute $25 \%$ ( $\$ 134,122$ ). By giving foreign commercial trucks the ability to store their cargo in this facility, it's expected to boost commercial traffic/tolls for this bridge that has historically lagged behind in comparison to other bridges.

In November 2016, Cameron County Commissioners Court voted to grant a "Freeport Tax" exemption on warehoused inventory effective 2018. This exemption has been long sought after by municipalities, economic development corporations and businesses. Cameron County now joins its neighboring county, (Hidalgo) as a triple freeport exemption county. Cameron County's tax rate is lower than Hidalgo County's; giving Cameron County an edge to attract new businesses and industries. Expectations are that this exemption will spur new economic warehouse development and manufacturing, much like Hidalgo County has experienced. Freeport tax is paid by companies based on inventory that is warehoused in the County and generates annually about $\$ 1.6$
million of tax revenue. With a 2018 effective date, this will allow the County to address this tax revenue reduction through the budget process.

Cameron County voted to place on the November 2016 election ballot some financing venue projects as allowed per Local Government Code, Chapter 334 to construct and improve county amenities and resources by undertaking and financing "venue" projects for recreational, cultural, civic and tourism purposes. This is a funding tool for counties and cities to develop certain legally defined venue projects per proposition which require voter approval. Proposition 1 project was a Sea and Air Amphitheater designed to accommodate visitors gathering to witness rocket launches across the ship channel at the Boca Chica SpaceX site or to enjoy musical or national entertainment concerts. Dolphin Cove located on the southernmost tip of South Padre Island will be the location site, cost is anticipated at $\$ 1.5$ million. This proposition was approved by voters in the November General Election.
Proposition 2 project is an ecological nature center to be built on a 23 acre site provided by the town of Laguna Vista which is just south of the Laguna Madre Bay and the Bahia Grande wetlands. This area is home to ocelots (endangered species), plants and marine life not found elsewhere. The nature center would feature wildlife exhibits informing visitors about the natural beauty of the region and visitors information about the coastal area. Projected costs for this nature center are preliminarily about $\$ 10$ million. This proposition was approved by voters in the November General Election.

On December $29^{\text {th }}$. 2015 Cameron County entered into an interlocal agreement with Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority (CCRMA) to participate in Transportation Reinvestment Zone \#6. This zone establishes a "County-Wide Transportation Reinvestment Zone" projected to support $\$ 1.6$ billion in transportation projects that will promote safety, support development and facilitate the movement of traffic throughout Cameron County. The Tax Increment Base year is all real property in the County as of January 1, 2015 and the maximum transfer amount is the cumulative total of $\$ 1,625,954,462$. Cameron County's participation will be $25 \%$ of the Tax Increment excluding amounts levied and collected for Interest and Sinking Funds and commitments of existing TIRZ agreements. The termination date of the Zone is whichever of the following events occurs first: A) date upon which all outstanding Project Obligations have been satisfied and no additional Project Obligations are anticipated; B) the Maximum Transfer Amount has been paid (\$1,625,954,462); C) December 29, 2055, unless such date is extended by agreement of parties.

In December 2016, Cameron County issued Cameron County Revenue and Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2016 (State Highway 550 Project), $\$ 15,215,000$ to refund and restructure certain of the Prior Bonds, for debt service and cash flow savings and the for the payment of costs of issuance related to the Bonds. Prior Bonds issued by Cameron County to finance the State Highway 550 Project were $\$ 40,000,000$ Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2012; $\$ 5,000,000$ Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2014; and $\$ 4,500,000$ Revenue and Tax Bonds Series 2015. State Highway 550 Project is a toll project administered by Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority (CCRMA), a component unit of Cameron County. As part of the 550 Agreement and as security for the repayment of this Project Funding, CCRMA is obligated to repay the Project Funding debt issuances at the stated rates of interest the County would pay on the Bonds and the Prior Bonds. Bonds Series 2016 have maturity dates of February 2035 to 2042 and carry interest rates of $3.75 \%$ to $5 \%$ payable every February and August.

Cameron County issued \$8,435,000 Limited Tax Refunding Bonds Series 2014 dated October 15, 2014 for the purpose of refunding outstanding obligations of the County, for debt service savings and to pay costs of issuance of the Bonds. Refunded outstanding obligations were Certificates of Obligation Series 2005 \$590,000, Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2005 \$8,075,000 and Unlimited Tax Road Bonds, Series 2005 \$425,000. The 2014 Refunded Bonds carried an initial principal amount of $\$ 9,090,000$ but were sold at a net premium amount of $\$ 921,295$. Cameron County's Issuer contribution was $\$ 128,924$ and after paying issuance cost, underwriter's fees and escrow agent fees, the net proceeds were $\$ 9,304,497$. Net present value savings of $\$ 711,199$ were realized as a result of this Refunding.

The net proceeds from the issuance of the Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2014 have been deposited into the "Escrow Fund", as defined in the Escrow Agreement between the Issuer and and Escrow Agent. Investments are authorized for purchase of obligations of the United States and obligations of agencies or instrumentalities of
the United States. By the deposit of the Federal Securities and cash with Escrow Agent pursuant to Escrow Agreement, the Refunded Obligations are deemed to be paid in full and considered as a defeasance in accordance with law.

On March 3, 2015 Cameron County issued $\$ 18,100,000$ Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2015 for the purpose of refunding certain obligations of the county. Obligations refunded were Unlimited Tax Road Bonds, Series $2005 \$ 525,000$, Certificates of Obligation, Series $2007 \$ 5,645,000$, Certificates of Obligations, Series 2008 \$10,490,000 and Unlimited Tax Road Bonds, Series 2008 \$1,675,000 with interest rates ranging from $3.00 \%$ to $5.00 \%$. The initial 2015 Refunded Bonds carried an initial principal amount of $\$ 18,335,000$ but were sold at a premium amount of $\$ 2,338,519$. County's contribution of $\$ 177,529$ and issuance costs, paying and escrow agent fees accounted for a total of $\$ 20,332,410$ being deposited with Escrow Agent into the Escrow Fund in accordance with Escrow Agreement. Net present value savings of $\$ 1,587,781$ were realized as a result of this Refunding.

Cameron County has issued Certificates of Obligation Series 2014, \$16,350,000 in March 2014 for the purpose of providing funding for the design, planning, acquisition, construction, equipping, expansion, repair, renovation and/or rehabilitation of public property in the County. Funded projects as listed on the issuance are 1) improvements to the Dancy Courthouse including roofing, windows, and terra cotta; 2) improvements and courtrooms at the Carrizalez Rucker Detention Center; 3) improvements to the judicial courthouse including elevators; improvement and rehabilitation of the Sheriff Building, and the BISD building; 4) improvements to the existing or construction of a new County Animal Shelter; 5) replacement of Old County Jail cell doors; and 6) improvements to the San Benito County annex including roofing and improvements of County roads.

## CCRMA - COMPONENT UNIT OF CAMERON COUNTY

On February 26, 2015, Cameron County Commissioners Court adopted a "CERTIFICATE FOR ORDER" authorizing the issuance of "Cameron County, Texas Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2015 (State Highway 550 Project)", levying an annual ad valorem tax; entering into a second amendment to Trust Agreement, second amendment to SH550 Funding and Development Agreement, and Bond Purchase Agreement; delegating authority to approve terms; and other matters related thereto. On April 1, 2015, Cameron County issued $\$ 4,500,000$ Cameron County, Texas Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2015 (State Highway 550 Project) as completion obligations for the payment of obligations to be incurred in connection with the final design, planning, construction, and equipping of the SH550 Direct Connector Transportation Project and to pay costs of issuance. These Bonds are parity obligations with the County's outstanding $\$ 40,000,000$ "Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2012 (State Highway 550 Project) and the County's \$5,000,000 outstanding "Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2014 (State Highway 550 Project) together with the 2012 Bonds (the "Prior Bonds"). This project will be designed, constructed, operated and maintained by CCRMA. CCRMA has pledged and assigned to the County certain toll revenues to be derived from the Project, Pass Through Payments and a subordinated pledge of Vehicle Fee Revenues for the payment of the bonds.

Concurrently, Cameron County has issued Cameron County, Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2014 (State Highway 550 Project), $\$ 5,000,000$ in March 2014 for Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority (CCRMA), a component unit of Cameron County. Cameron County has entered into an agreement " 550 Agreement" with CCRMA in which CCRMA pledged and assigned to the County certain toll revenues to be derived from the Project, Pass-Through Payments, and a subordinated pledge of Vehicle Fee Revenues for the payment of the Bonds. These Bonds are issued as Completion Obligations for the purpose of the payment of costs to be incurred in connection with the final design, planning, construction and equipping of the SH550 Direct Connector Transportation Project. This project will be designed, constructed, operated, and maintained by the CCRMA. These Bonds are parity obligations with the County's $\$ 40,000,000$ outstanding (Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2012 (State Highway 550 Project)" (the "2012 Bonds").

Cameron County reports CCRMA as a component unit. On August 8, 2012, Cameron County issued $\$ 40,000,000$ Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2012 (State Highway 550 Project) to provide funding for this CCRMA "State Highway 550 Project." This "Project" is the construction of the SH550 Direct Connector Ramps
and associated roadway from U.S.77/83 at SH550 to 2,800 feet east of Old Alice Road. This "Project" will include a westbound direct connector ramp from SH50 to northbound U.S.77/83 and a southbound direct connector ramp from U.S. 77/83 to eastbound SH550. CCRMA will be the owner of the "Project" and will be responsible for the maintenance of the "Project." CCRMA, per the "550 Agreement", is obligated to repay the funding together with interest on the unpaid principal balance of the Project funding at the same stated rates of interest the county will pay on the Bonds. Completion date for this project is 2014.

## COUNTY CAPITAL PROJECTS

The County has a number of capital projects either in the planning phase, land acquisition phase, or construction phase. They are as follows:

- Cameron County issued Certificates of Obligation Series 2014, $\$ 16,500,000$ for a number of countywide capital improvement projects. Projects funded through this issuance were improvements to the Dancy Courthouse Building, courtroom improvements at Carrizalez Rucker Detention Facility, Judicial Courthouse Elevator improvements, improvements to Sheriff;s Office, BISD buildings, jail cell door replacement, roofing improvements to San Benito Annex, animal shelter improvement and County road improvements. Project status is as follows:
- Jail Cell door replacement is complete at a cost of $\$ 1.4$ million
- Judicial elevator improvements/construction has been completed, $\$ 1.1$ million
- Sheriff building improvements are complete pending furniture delivery, $\$ 1.2$ million
- Magistrate courtroom construction is complete pending furniture delivery, $\$ .6$ million
- Dancy Building window restoration is complete, $\$ 2.0$ million
- Judicial Courthouse improvements are ongoing
- Animal shelter and roofing renovation have commenced
- Road projects are ongoing
- Cameron County issued Certificates of Obligation Series 2011, $\$ 23,570,000$ for a number of county-wide capital improvement projects. Projects funded through this issuance were road construction projects for Primera Road, US 77 Parallel Corridor, San Jose Ranch Road, Old Alice Road, Vermillion Road; Odyssey Judicial Software System completion; Judicial and Dancy Courthouse improvements; Jail Detention Facilities and Sheriff's Office improvements; International Bridge construction and repairs; community social centers building and improvements; and Los Fresnos Annex buildings. Project status are as follow:
- Construction of road improvements \$10,000,000 to Primera Road, San Jose Ranch Road and Vermillion Road. Presently county funding progress is complete on Primera Road and Vermillion Road. Bridge span work is complete is complete on San Jose Road and road work is pending.
- $\$ 2,000,000$ for Judicial Software project completion which is now in Phase III is now complete.
- $\$ 7,070,000$ for county annex buildings, property acquisition, renovations of public property which are approximately $94 \%$ complete, pending are repairs to Lucio Clinic.
- International Toll Bridge improvements $\$ 4,500,000$ : the expansion project is complete, toll booth renovations are complete, awning construction at Gateway Bridge is $80 \%$ complete. Two metal clad maintenance buildings have been purchased for the Bridge System for the storage of maintenance equipment needed at Veterans and Free Trade Bridge.
- SH 32East Loop Road in Brownsville will improve access for international commercial traffic between the Port of Brownsville and Veterans Bridge at Los Tomates. It will enhance connectivity to US 77/83/I69 E and reduce the short term need for an additional international bridge crossing, eliminate hazardous and overweight commercial traffic from six public schools, improve air quality and quality of life for residents, relieve congestion, improve safety and provide greater access to Port Isabel and South Padre Island. This
road also serves as an excellent connector to Gateway Bridge and Veterans International Bridge. This project is a collaborative effort between Texas Department of Transportation, the City of Brownsville, Port of Brownsville, Cameron County and the Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority, all working on expanding East Loop from Veterans International Bridge to the Port of Brownsville. Due to changes required by TxDOT Environmental Division in the Environmental Assessment document, a combined environmental assessment will be required along with re-procurement of engineering services, procurement has been delayed until 2017. This is a unique project in that a portion of the International Boundary and Water Commission levee will have to relocated as well as a portion of the DHS border wall. This new corridor will also serve as the overweight corridor connecting the Veterans International Bridge to the Port of Brownsville and will connect with SH550 for direct access to U.S.77/83/I69-E.
- Flor de Mayo is the project name for the County's future international toll bridge to be located in west Brownsville at the southernmost section of FM 3248. The engineering phase will most likely commence within the next four to six years. Land acquisition for this project has been completed. The County has entered into a proposal to have a feasibility study done for the Flor de Mayo International Bridge. Cameron County has acquired the necessary right of way for the General Services Administration as well.


## PROGRAM INITIATIVES

- In 2004 the county created a Regional Mobility Authority to improve the county's access to state highway construction funds. A Regional Mobility Authority (RMA) is a political subdivision formed by one or more counties to finance, acquire, design, construct, operate, maintain, expand or extend transportation projects. Projects may be tolled or non-tolled. The authority allows the county to create toll roads, or develop other funding mechanisms to accelerate the development and construction of major transportation projects that could potentially take years for the Texas Department of Transportation to finance and build. The authority has the ability to generate revenue for additional transportation projects, provide local government more control in transportation planning, help build transportation projects sooner, reduce congestion relief faster and improve mobility and increase safety for motorists. The South Padre Island $2^{\text {nd }}$. Acess (Project) will provide a second access route to and from South Padre Island. This Project is a partnership between RMA, TxDOT and Federal Highway Adminstration that will provide infrastructure needed to alleviate traffic congestion and improve safety. This Project will include the mainland roadway, the Laguna Madre crossing bridge and the island roadway. The total length of the Project will be about 17.6 miles and will have 8 miles of tolled roadway; construction is expected to begin in 2017 or 2018. In addition, utilizing a $\$ 36.4$ million obligation of funds from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, the authority has completed construction of the SH550 (Port Spur) toll road facility, Cameron County's first toll project, as of September 2015 total costs of $\$ 71.7$ Million were capitalized by CCRMA.
- Another RMA project is the Outer Parkway, a 15 mile span road project that will begin north of Harlingen and connect I69-E to the entrance of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Access. Cameron County RMA and Hidalgo County RMA have joined in a collaborative effort supporting mobility for the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Access Project by developing expansion to the east-west corridor in the northern part of the Valley. This proposed infrastructure improvement would link Hidalgo I-69 East and provide a quicker more direct route to South Padre Island. Tentative plans call for these major projects to be finished simultaneously. Environmental assessment is scheduled to begin in 2017.
- West Rail Project - Cameron County, the City of Brownsville, TxDOT, U.S. TxDOT and the Union Pacific railroad combined their resources to relocate an existing railroad line traversing the downtown area of Brownsville and Matamoros to a more rural location west of both cities. This involved the relocation and construction of a new rail bridge. The relocation of this rail eliminated 17 rail crossings at major thoroughfares. Goal of this project were to enhance auto-train safety, alleviate traffic congestion in

Brownsville, and improve the ability of Union Pacific to cross a greater number of railcars into Mexico without any problems. This 8-mile rail line connects a rural part of Cameron County and the Tamaulipas state of Mexico. The costs of the project on the United States side were projected to cost $\$ 33.0$ million, and approximately $\$ 40.0$ million on the Mexican side. Groundbreaking was held on December 17, 2010 and construction was completed as of FY15. The center span crossing the international boundary was installed on September 2012. This is the first International Rail Bridge built between the United States and Mexico in over 100 years. Commercial rail traffic started using this crossing as of August 7, 2015 bringing an end to traffic headaches that plagued the cities of Brownsville and Matamoros for more than a century. The West Rail Project provides a valuable link to export/import traffic and brings tremendous growth opportunities for suppliers, consumers as well as national and international markets. A few items are pending closeout on this project.

- Cameron County received $\$ 7.6$ million of funding through Community Development Block Grant disaster recovery grant agreement, Round 2 providing financial assistance to facilitate disaster recovery, restoration and economic revitalization and to affirmatively further fair housing in areas affected by Hurricanes Dolly and Ike. During these hurricanes drainage system infrastructure was unable to convey the amount of rain received, thus causing the failure of these systems. Phase 1 of this Recovery Funding Projects of $\$ 10.8$ Million was completed in fiscal year 2014. Projects were funded through Cameron County as the Grantee to oversee all of these recovery projects. Funded projects under this initiative provide for the construction of two drainage improvement projects in Cameron County Irrigation District \#3. These projects are to be finished by December 2016; however an extension has been granted until 2018.


## FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Accounting System, Internal Controls, and Budgetary Control

Management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining an internal control structure designed to ensure that the assets of the County are protected from loss, theft, or misuse and to ensure that adequate accounting data is compiled to allow for the preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The internal control structure is designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute assurance that these objectives are met. The concept of reasonable assurance recognizes that the costs of a control should not exceed the benefits likely to be derived and the valuation of costs and benefits requires estimates and judgments by management.

Budgetary control is exercised over the operating departments of the County. After adoption of the budget by the Commissioners' Court, the County Auditor is responsible for ensuring expenditures are made in compliance with the budgeted appropriations. The level of budgetary control is at the line item level within the Organization (department) within the individual funds. Commissioners' Court may transfer available funds between various line items and between various organizational classifications; however, neither transfer may increase the total appropriation of a fund. Commissioners' Court may adopt supplemental budgets for the limited purposes of spending grant or aid money or for capital projects through the issuance of bonds. Purchase orders and contracts are not valid until the County Auditor certifies the availability of funds for payment of the obligation. Encumbrance accounting is utilized where purchase orders, contracts, or other commitments are recorded in order to reserve a portion of the appropriation for these commitments. Outstanding encumbrances at fiscal year end are not recorded as expenditures or liabilities of the fund. Encumbrances for ongoing capital projects are reserved in the fund balance at fiscal year end.

The combined revenues of Cameron County and its component units, is $\$ 192,561,144$. Revenues are generated from the levy of property tax, investment earnings, intergovernmental transfers, grants and programs, charges for services rendered, internal service charges, and from business-type activities. The County and its related entities expended $\$ 225,506,824$ in providing for operations. Transfers between the funds and business-type activities totaled $\$ 8,797,679$. Capital borrowing proceeds provided from nonoperating resources were $\$ 18,565,752$ and gain on sale of assets of $\$ 184,157$. General Debt Service payments total $\$ 12,250,780$ and Capital Construction Outlay expenditures were $\$ 12,122,764$. The combined fund balance for government-type funds and net assets for business-type funds and the related entities total $\$ 137,315,261$ a decrease of $\$ 13,522,039$ over the previous year-end due to component unit of CCRMA increased project completions and governmental operations.

The 2001 Texas Legislature, under H.B. 2869 approved by the 2001 Legislature, created the Texas County Financial Data Advisory Committee (FDAC), which was asked to "develop and recommend . . . a voluntary uniform chart of accounts for counties." The goal of this reporting is to present county financial information in a manner that allows each county's information to be compared to other counties across the state. The following table is presented in a format that represents the Uniform Chart of Accounts for Texas Counties adopted by the Texas County Financial Data Advisory Committee:
(The rest of this page is intentionally left blank)
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## Fiscal Year 2016

## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS*

A Summary Report of Cameron County, and the Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority, Cameron County Health Care Funding District and the Cameron County Emergency Services Districts, Discretely Presented Component Units



County revenues are fairly balanced with no one source providing over half of the government's funding. The County is also recipient of a number Special Revenue Programs such as Women, Infants \& Children, health block grants, community development grants, wastewater and paving projects, as well as many law enforcement programs that address the special concerns that our proximity to the United States border presents. Also because of our proximity to Mexico and to the Gulf of Mexico, the County receives a large share of charges for service relating to the south-bound crossings through the County's International Toll Bridges and vacationers visiting the County's parks located on South Padre Island.

County Expenses - 2016


The County Park System and the International Toll Bridge System are both operated as business activities. The Park System is reported in the Community \& Economic category and the Toll Bridge System is included in the Infrastructure \& Environment category. To obtain the type of services that fall within each category, please visit the State of Texas Comptroller's website at "http://www.window.state.tx.us/lga/chart/foreword.html."

## OPERATING FUND BALANCE RESERVES / WORKING CAPITAL TARGET

The County's reserve target is sufficient funds available for 90 days of normal operations. Under the continued diligence of commissioner's court, General Fund has exceeded the 90 days reserve in FY2016 by 28 days. The table below reflects the fund balances for the County's primary activities:

| Fund Balance ${ }^{(1)}$ | FYE 16 | FYE 15 | FYE 14 | Reserve Days of Operation ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | FYE 16 | FYE 15 | FYE 14 |
| General Fund | \$26,520,071 | \$24,552,371 | \$20,802,646 | 118 | 110 | 98 |
| Road \& Bridge Fund | \$ 7,873,528 | \$ 6,026,220 | \$ 7,393,277 | 234 | 187 | 255 |
| Working Capital ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internat'1 Toll Bridge System | \$ 7,587,630 | \$ 7,147,117 | \$ 6,617,970 | 339 | 345 | 366 |
| County Park System | \$ 3,763,477 | \$ 4,803,556 | \$ 4,932,591 | 169 | 224 | 253 |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Working Capital is the Unrestricted Current Assets minus the Unrestricted Current Liabilities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Assumes a 24 hour/ 7 Days a Sheriff's Department and th maturities) | week operation su County Jail. | as exists in the perating expens | County Park syst including depr | n, the Intern ciation, inter | nal Toll Br t expense | es, the Cou current |

## INVESTMENT POLICY

The County's investment policy goal is to provide for an effective cash management program to include accurate cash projections, expeditious collection of revenue, control of disbursements, cost-effective banking relations and a short-term borrowing program, when needed. The "prudent person" concept is utilized in managing the portfolio for the County. The objectives of the County's investment programs are as follows:

- Safety: Protection of the principal is a foremost objective.
- Liquidity Investment decisions are based upon meeting the cash requirements of the County.
- Low Risk: Investment decisions should not place unreasonable investment risk on the County in order to enhance investment income.
- Diversification: Through the control of maturities and types of investment, the portfolio is diversified thus lessening the overall risk of the portfolio.


## Distribution of County Investible Funds:

| Demand and Time Deposit Accounts | $99.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Investment Pools | $>0.1 \%$ |

During Fiscal Year 2003, the Commissioners' Court amended its investment policy to include Stand-by Letters of Credit as sufficient credit-worthiness to serve as collateral for depository balances. Previously, the Commissioners' Court restricted collateral to instruments backed by the full faith and credit of the United States Government. September 30, 2016 total funds of the County $\$ 114,145,913^{8}$ were with the County's depository of record. While these investments were not diversified, they were collateralized at 120.0 with a stand-by letter of credit with the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Bank Atlanta.

## RISK MANAGEMENT

The County is exposed to various risks of loss relating to general liability, the accidental risk of loss of real and personal property, damage to County assets, errors and omissions, and personal risks which relate to workers' compensation. The County implements a number of risk strategies such as participating in risk

[^2]pools, purchasing commercial insurance, self-insurance with specific and aggregate stop-loss insurance, and full self insurance to manage those listed risks. Amounts of coverage for these types of risk have not been subject to a significant reduction in the current year. The County Auditor's Office and the Human Resources Departments provide assistance to the Commissioners' Court in assessing the County's exposure to risk and helping them obtain coverage against that risk.

The County minimizes its risk related to worker's compensation claims by providing for these costs through a self-funded county fund that is administered by the Texas Association of Counties (TAC). Claims services are provided by TAC. Interfund premiums for workers' compensation are determined by position class code, at actuarially determined rates. The County is also a member of the TAC risk pool for automobile and general liability. As a member of the pool, the County incurs a liability only if the pool's operations become insolvent. The property and casualty insurance covers buildings, structures, and personal property, including coverage extensions for earth movement, flood, accounts receivable, valuable records, demolition, increased costs of construction, transit, business interruption, mobile equipment, builder's risk, and loss of rents. The County purchases flood insurance through N.F.I.P. The County also insures the international toll bridge structures and revenues to cover the risk of interruption of service. Cameron County has a Limited Risk Management Program for health and life benefits provided to County employees. Premiums are paid into an internal service fund (Health and Life Benefits Fund) by all other funds and are available to pay claims, administrative costs, and claims' reserves. Administrative costs include the cost of individual stop loss insurance ( $\$ 200,000$ per insured) and aggregate stop loss insurance. The County contracts with a Third Party Administrator (TPA) to administer the payment claims and implement a claims management program.

## INDEPENDENT AUDIT

In accordance with state statutes, an annual audit for the fiscal year was conducted on the financial records of the County, the Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority (CCRMA) and the Cameron County Emergency Services District (ESD). Being a recipient of federal and state financial assistance, the county is required to have a Single Audit. The audits of the County, the CCRMA and the E.S.D. were conducted by Carr, Riggs \& Ingram, LLC. Opinions rendered by Carr, Riggs \& Ingram, LLC are included in the appropriate reports.

## AWARDS AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to Cameron County for its comprehensive annual financial report for the year ended September 30, 2015. The Certificate of Achievement is a prestigious national award-recognizing conformance with the highest standards for preparation of state and local government finance reports. In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, a government must publish an easily readable and efficiently organized comprehensive annual financial report, whose contents conform to program standards. This report must satisfy both generally accepted accounting principles and applicable legal requirements. A Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year only. We believe that our current comprehensive annual financial report continues to meet to the Certificate of Achievement Program's requirements, and we are submitting it to the GFOA to determine its eligibility for another certificate.

The timeliness of this report could not have been achieved without the dedicated efforts of the County Auditor's staff, and the professional services provided by our independent auditors, Long Chilton, LLP. We also wish to express our thanks to the Commissioners' Court and the District Judges for their interest and support in planning and conducting the financial affairs of the County in a responsible and professional manner.

Martha Galarza, County Auditor

Government Finance Officers Association
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FINANCIAL SECTION

# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT 

The Honorable County Judge<br>and Commissioners' Court<br>Cameron County, Texas

## Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the businesstype activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Cameron County, Texas (County) as of and for the year ended September 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditors consider internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used
and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

## Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County, as of September 30, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Other Matters

## Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 25 through 38 and schedule of funding progress OPEB, schedule of changes in net pension liability and related ratios, schedule of employer contributions, schedules of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance-budget to actual, on pages 106 through 111 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

## Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's financial statements. The introductory section, combining and individual non-major fund financial statements, the budget and actual schedules, capital assets used in the operations of governmental funds and statistical section, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the financial statements. The schedules of expenditures of federal and state awards are presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance) and the State of Texas Single Audit Circular, and is also not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The combining and individual non-major fund financial statements, capital assets used in the operations of governmental funds, the schedules of expenditures of federal and state awards, and budget and actual schedules are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements, the budget and actual schedules, capital assets used in the operations of governmental funds, and the schedules of expenditures of federal and state awards are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

The introductory and statistical sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them

## Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated March 30, 2016 on our consideration of the County's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the County's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

## Cams Rage E' Ingram, b.L.c

CARR, RIGGS \& INGRAM, LLD
Brownsville, Texas
March 28, 2017


## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This discussion and analysis of the County of Cameron's (County) financial performance provides an overview of the County's financial activities for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016. The MD\&A should be read in conjunction with the accompanying transmittal letter, the basic financial statements and the accompanying notes to those financial statements.

## FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The assets and deferred outflows of the County's Governmental activities exceeded liabilities and deferred inflows at the close of the FY2016 and FY2015 by $\$ 174,085,001$ and $\$ 175,394,184$ before the prior period adjustment of $\$ 691,897$ (net position), respectfully. Of this amount, $\$ 21,417,422$ is restricted for specific purposes; the largest restriction is $60 \%$, or $\$ 12,789,478$, for operating reserve and construction. As required by GASB 34, net position also reflects $\$ 160,249,902$ that is net investment in capital assets. With the presentation of the investment in capital assets, unrestricted net assets are $(\$ 7,582,323)$.

In contrast to the government-wide statements, the fund statements of the governmental funds report a combined fund balance at year-end of $\$ 78,178,441$ of which $\$ 22,806,356$ or $29.17 \%$ represent unassigned fund balances. The more significant components of unassigned fund balance are maintained in the General Fund as unassigned reserves; emergency reserves and committed funds for indigent defense and capital projects; Special Revenue fund balances are restricted by external funding obligations, 2016 Certificates of Obligation for capital improvements and in the Road and Bridge fund for road improvements throughout the County.

The general fund unassigned fund balance of $\$ 22,806,356$ equals $27.95 \%$ of total general fund expenditures. The County's budgetary fund balance target is $24.66 \%$; this fund balance target has been exceeded for the past 4 fiscal years.

## OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the County's basic financial statements. The County's basic financial statements comprise three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other required supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements.

The Government-wide financial statements. The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the County's finances in a manner similar to private sector business. They present the financial picture of the County from an economic resources measurement focus using the accrual basis of accounting. These statements include all assets of the County (including infrastructure) as well as all liabilities (including long-term debt). Additionally, certain eliminations have occurred as prescribed by GASB Statement No. 34 in regards to interfund activity, payable and receivables.

The statement of net position presents information on all the County's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as total net position. Increases or decreases in net position contrasted with budgetary decisions should serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the County is improving or deteriorating.

The statement of activities presents information showing how net assets changed during the most recent fiscal year using full accrual basis of accounting. All changes in net assets are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will result in cash flows in future fiscal periods (e.g., earned but unused vacation leave).

The government-wide financial statements distinguish functions of the County that are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues (governmental activities) from other business functions that are intended to recover all or a significant portion of their costs through user fees and charges. The
governmental activities of the County include general government, public safety, highways and streets, public welfare, health, judicial, and libraries.

Fund financial statements. A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated from specific activities or objectives. The County, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds of the County can be divided into three categories: governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds.

Governmental funds. Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the governmental-wide financial statements. Unlike the government-wide financial statements, however, governmental funds financial statements focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating the County's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate the comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

The County maintains forty-one individual governmental funds (excluding fiduciary funds) 34 special revenue funds, 3 capital project funds, 2 debt service funds and the 1 General Fund. Information is presented separately in the governmental fund balance sheet and in the governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the General Fund, the Road and Bridge Fund and the 2016 Certificates of Obligation Fund which are classified as major funds. Data from the other nonmajor governmental funds are combined into a single, aggregated presentation. Individual fund data for each of these nonmajor governmental funds is provided in the form of combining statements elsewhere in this report.

The County adopts an annual appropriated budget as a management control device during the year for the General Fund and for all Special Revenue Funds. A budgetary comparison schedule (original versus final) has been provided for the General Fund and Road and Bridge Fund to demonstrate compliance with budget.

Proprietary fund. Proprietary funds provide the same type of information as the government-wide financial statements, only in more detail. The Internal Service Fund (a component of proprietary funds) is used to report activities that provide supplies and services for other programs and activities-such as the County's self-insurance program and employee benefits trust. Because these services predominantly benefit governmental rather than business-type functions, the Internal Service Fund is reported with governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements.

Fiduciary funds. Fiduciary funds are used to account for resources held for the benefit of parties outside the government. The County's fiduciary activities are reported in a separate Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities. These activities are excluded from the County's other financial statements since the County cannot use these assets to finance its operations. The accounting used for fiduciary funds is much like that used for proprietary funds. The County is responsible for ensuring that the assets reported in these funds are used for their intended purposes.

Notes to the basic financial statements. The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements.

Other information. In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents certain required supplementary information concerning the County's general fund and major special revenue budgetary schedules. A budgetary comparison schedule, which includes the original and final amended budget and actual figures, has been provided to demonstrate compliance with this budget.

## GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Of the County's governmental activities total assets and deferred outflow of resources of \$338,428,980 the largest components are: 1) cash and investments of $\$ 85,731,574$ or $25.3 \%$; 2) receivables which largely represent the deferred taxes for FY 2016 of $\$ 6,874,879$ (net of allowance) or $2.13 \%$, accounts/trade receivables of $\$ 11,828,855$ or $3.5 \%$, internal balances and due from other governments of $\$ 6,141,391$ or $1.8 \%$; and 3) capital assets net of accumulated depreciation of $\$ 206,275,427$ or $60.9 \%$. Deferred outflows of resources of $\$ 1,071,111$ are deferred charges on refunding in addition to $\$ 18,875,347$ for pensions due to GASB Statement No. 68 implementation. The receivables are offset by deferred revenue since the FY2016 tax revenue is not recognized until FY 2017 even though the levy takes place in FY2016. Capital assets are non-liquid assets and cannot be utilized to satisfy County obligations. Out of the total liabilities of $\$ 161,404,799, \$ 26,143,060$ are current liabilities; however, the current liabilities for compensated absences $\$ 1,398,570$ are not anticipated to result in the draw-down of emergency reserves. The OPEB liability of $\$ 28,566,947$ is not anticipated to cause a fund balance reduction and is presently being funded on a pay as you go basis. The Net Pension Liability of $\$ 21,842,547$ is not expected to cause a fund balance reduction. Deferred inflows of resources of $\$ 2,939,180$ are recognized due to pension reporting requirements.

The County's governmental activities assets and deferred inflows if resources exceeded liabilities and deferred outflows of resources by $\$ 174,085,001$ at the close of the most recent fiscal year.
The County's net assets for fiscal year ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 are summarized as follows:


|  | Business-Type Activities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY2016 | FY2015 | Increase/(Decrease) |
| Current and other assets | \$ 49,988,903 | \$ 24,045,119 | \$ 25,943,784 |
| Capital Assets (net of depreciation) | 42,431,644 | 41,871,289 | 560,355 |
| Total Assets | 92,420,547 | 65,916,408 | 26,504,139 |
| Deferred outflow of resources | 1,641,334 | 214,288 | 1,427,046 |
| Current and other liabilities | 4,839,200 | 3,906,934 | 932,266 |
| Long-term liabilities | 47,720,842 | 23,829,203 | 23,891,639 |
| Total Liabilities | 52,560,042 | 27,736,137 | 24,823,905 |
| Deferred inflow of resources | 255,581 | 26,220 | 229,361 |
| Net position: |  |  |  |
| Net investment in capital assets | 24,026,722 | 22,608,558 | 1,418,164 |
| Restricted | 11,351,146 | 9,740,517 | 1,610,629 |
| Unrestricted | 5,868,390 | 6,019,264 | $(150,874)$ |
| Total net position | \$ 41,246,258 | \$ 38,368,339 | \$ 2,877,919 |

About $12.3 \%$ or $\$ 21,417,422$ of the County's net position represents restricted net position which are resources that are subject to external restrictions on how they may be used. Restrictions include highway and street requirements, debt service, capital projects and operating reserve and construction. The most significant portion, $\$ 160,249,902$ of the County's net position reflects its capital assets (e.g., land, buildings, machinery and equipment) net of related debt.

Governmental activities decreased the County's governmental activities net position by $\$(617,366)$. The key components of difference between fund statement increase and the statement of activities increase are the following:

- A net difference due to the issuance of long term debt and the repayment of these debts in the amount of $(\$ 14,353,317)$.
- An increase in net assets due to the decrease in assets reported in the government activities from the internal service fund that is reported with the governmental activities in the government-wide statements of $\$ 410,941$.
- A decrease in net assets due to depreciation exceeding capital outlay in the amount of ( $\$ 1,310,852$ ).
- A decrease in net assets due to annual OPEB of UAAL of (\$1,475,741) and recognition of pension expense of $(\$ 3,152,334)$.
- The net effect of various miscellaneous transactions involving capital assets is to increase capital assets $\$ 8,663,215$.

The Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds on page 46 further details the increase in net assets. Program revenues and expenses are presented net of interfund eliminations.


Key elements of the analysis of governmental activities revenues and expenses reflect the following:

- Program revenues of $\$ 66,017,154$ equaled $41.6 \%$ of government expenses of $\$ 158,569,285$. General revenues, $\$ 83,137,086$, did not provide the required support and coverage for expenses.
- Miscellaneous revenues increased by $\$ 8,615,671$ from prior year due to recognition of land transfer due to construction completion of the West Rail Project.
- $47 \%$ of the expenses are for Law Enforcement and Public Safety ( $\$ 75,151,900$ ) while this category provided about $17.8 \%(\$ 26,592,996)$ of total program revenues. Total expenses increased by $\$ 1,054,222$ over the prior year and revenues increased $\$ 12,378,777$ due to the increases in program revenues and general revenues. Cameron County's taxable values increased by $2.82 \%$ with new construction representing $\$ 287,115,979$ in new property values. General governmental expenditures increased by $\$ 1,853,611$, highways and streets increased $\$ 3,493,414$ and law enforcement increased by minimally by $\$ 838,369$.
- Capital Grant revenue and contributions comprise about $7.3 \%$ of program revenues. Cameron County continues administering Disaster Recovery Funding Round 2 from impacts suffered by communities from Hurricane Dolly/Ike in July 2008. Completion date is December 2018.
- Key elements of the analysis of the Business-type activities revenues and expenses reflect the following:
- The Bridge System revenues of $\$ 18,428,393$ accounted for $61.8 \%$ of the Business-type activities revenues.
- The total expenses of the Bridge System were $52.1 \%$ or $\$ 9,441,377$ of the Business-type activities.
- The transfers to the Governmental activities, from the Business-type activities, are the result of the difference in the Bridge Systems revenues and expenses.


## Cameron County's Changes in Net Position - Business-Type

Increase

$$
\underline{F Y-2016} \quad \underline{F Y}-2015 \quad \underline{\text { (Decrease) }}
$$

| Revenues: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Program revenues: |  |  |  |
| Charges for services | \$ 28,254,538 | \$ 24,943,693 | \$ 3,310,845 |
| Capital grants and contributions | 1,438,041 | 1,022,688 | 415,353 |
| Gain on sale of asset | 19,725 | 9,702 | 10,023 |
| General revenues: |  |  |  |
| Unrestricted investments earnings | 67,023 | 58,492 | 8,531 |
| Miscellaneous | 29,362 | 14,548 | 14,814 |
| Total revenues | \$29,808,689 | \$ 26,049,123 | \$ 3,759,566 |
| Expenses: |  |  |  |
| Bridge System | \$ 9,441,377 | \$ 8,295,813 | \$ 1,145,564 |
| Parks System | 7,621,994 | 8,060,889 | $(438,895)$ |
| Jail Commissary | 813,572 | 850,783 | ( 37,211) |
| Airport System | 256,148 | 127,853 | 128,295 |
| Total expenses | \$18,133,091 | \$ 17,335,338 | \$ 797,753 |
| Increase (decrease) in net position |  |  |  |
| Transfers In | - | 85,000 | ( 85,000) |
| Transfers Out | ( 8,797,679) | ( 7,873,931) | ( 923,748) |
| Increase (decrease) in net assets | 2,877,919 | 924,854 | 1,953,065 |
| Net assets - beginning | 38,368,339 | 37,284,567 | 1,083,772 |
| Period Adjustment | - | 158,918 | ( 158,918) |
| Net position - ending | \$ 41,246,258 | \$ 38,368,339 | \$ 2,877,919 |

## FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

As noted earlier, the County uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with financerelated legal requirements. Fund accounting and budget controls has been the framework of the County's strong fiscal management and accountability. Moody's Investor Service "A1" rating was upgraded to Aa3and Standard and Poor's rating agency affirmed County's rating of "A+" rating with an outlook upgrade to "positive" as reported in the credit profile dated February, 2015. Fitch (FITCH IBCA, DUFF \& PHELPS) rating of "AA-" was affirmed on the outstanding unlimited tax bonds, limited tax bonds and certificates of obligation.

Governmental funds. The general government functions are reported in the General, Special Revenue, Debt Service and Capital Project Funds. The focus of the County's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflow, outflows and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the County's annual financing and budgeting requirements.

As of the end of the current fiscal year, the County's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of $\$ 78,178,441$, an increase of $\$ 10,711,334$ in comparison with prior year. This reflects a prior period adjustment of $(\$ 18,038)$ due to an overstatement of revenues in the prior year. Approximately $\$ 22,806,356$ of the fund balance represents unassigned fund balance, which is available for spending at the County's discretion. Nonspendable fund balance of $\$ 1,478,433$ is reserved for inventory and prepaid expenditures. The remainder of fund balance is restricted to indicate that it is not available for new spending because it has already been restricted per contractual obligations for: 1) capital projects $(\$ 24,516,079), 2)$ special revenue projects $(\$ 20,520,550)$ and 3$)$ reserve for debt service $(\$ 5,889,323)$;
or committed for County Capital Projects $(\$ 1,967,700)$ and indigent defense $(\$ 500,000)$ as well as $(\$ 500,000)$ for pending litigation in the event funding is required.

The General Fund is the chief operating fund of the County. At the end of the current fiscal year, unassigned fund balance of the General Fund was $\$ 22,806,356$. As a measure of the general fund's liquidity, we compare both unassigned fund balance and total fund balance to total fund expenditures. Unassigned fund balance represents $27.9 \%$ of total fund expenditures, while total fund balance represents $32.5 \%$ of total fund expenditures. General budgetary targets for reserves are $24.7 \%$ of expenditures which compares favorably to GFOA recommended reserves for large counties. Cameron County has exceeded GFOA's recommended reserves by $12.5 \%$.

The fund balance of the County's chief operating fund, General Fund, increased by $\$ 1,967,700$ during the current fiscal year. Key factors for the FY2016 change are as follows:

Current ad valorem property tax for FY16 had a collection of $97.87 \%$ and tax collection met projected revenues.

Licenses and permits, intergovernmental, fines and forfeitures and miscellaneous revenues exceeded budget by $\$ 1,018,809$ or $1.3 \%$ of General Fund revenue.

Charges for services experienced a revenue budget deficit of $(\$ 955,329)$ due to decrease in federal inmate population at County Detention facilities. This deficit served to offset the gain in other categories of revenue.

General Fund expenditures in FY2016 were budgeted with a $1.5 \%$ increase from FY2015 from $\$ 82,866,022$ to $\$ 84,112,832$; actual FY16 expenditures were $\$ 81,582,723$. This decrease in actual expenditures is due to departments not utilizing $4.6 \%$ of their approved departmental budget.

General Fund transferred $\$ 2,286,490$ to the County's Health Insurance Internal Service Fund to provide sufficient funds for increased medical cost. The County's Health Insurance Plan is selffunded by the County.

Road and Bridge fund balance of $\$ 7,873,528$ reflects an increase of $\$ 1,847,308$. Revenues remained consistent with budget as anticipated with a slight increase of $\$ 166,781$, the largest contributor to Road and Bridge fund balance increase was $\$ 1.9$ million of budgeted line item expenditures that were not used.

2016 Series Certificates of Obligation fund balance of $\$ 15,029,549$ is a major governmental fund. These Certificates of Obligation are for a number of capital projects which are ongoing. These projects include the purchase of the Wells Fargo Building that will house county offices, construction of 4 precinct warehouses and a vehicle maintenance warehouse, Judicial and Administrative improvements, and various countywide renovations.

Other Governmental Funds fund balance decreased by $(\$ 8,133,223)$ due to regular operations of these funds and completed projects.

The following table presents the amount of revenues from various sources as well as increases and decreases from the prior year.

## Governmental Funds - Revenues Classified by Source

| Revenues by Source | FY 2016 |  | FY 2015 |  | Increase <br> Decrease |  | Percent of <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | 66,171,333 | \$ | 65,380,479 | \$ | 790,854 | 1.2\% |
| Licenses |  | 4,219,252 |  | 3,835,740 |  | 383,512 | 9.9\% |
| Fines and Forfeitures |  | 5,419,667 |  | 5,188,369 |  | 231,298 | 4.5\% |
| Intergovernmental revenues |  | 32,466,587 |  | 30,877,035 |  | 1,589,552 | 5.2\% |
| Charges for current services |  | 10,713,243 |  | 11,105,186 |  | 391,943) | -3.5\% |
| Miscellaneous |  | 8,045,399 |  | 6,809,742 |  | 1,235,657 | 18.1\% |
| Total | \$ | 127,035,481 | \$ | 123,196,551 |  | 3,838,930 | 3.12\% |

- Taxes - the increase of $\$ 790,854$ was primarily due to an increase in assessed property valuation and tax collections.
- Intergovernmental revenues - the increase of $\$ 1,589,552$ is due to grant funded projects and increased state and federal funding.
- Charges for current services - the decrease in revenues of $(\$ 391,943)$ over the prior year in this category is largely due operational fluctuations of federal inmate population in county detention facilities.
- Fines and forfeitures increased $\$ 231,298$ from prior year collection due to improved case handling and collection.
- Miscellaneous - Revenues increased $\$ 1,235,657$ compared to the prior year due to other governmental funds operations.

The following table presents expenditures by function compared to prior year amounts.

\left.| Expenditures by Function - Governmental Funds |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Increase |  |  |  |$\right) \quad$| Percent of |
| :---: |
| Expenditures by Function |
| Change |

Overall, total expenditures increased $5.08 \%$ but there were some categories that experienced significant change. For example, streets and highway expenditures increased by $33.2 \%$ due to road improvements ongoing throughout the county. Public Welfare expenditures decreased by $19.8 \%$ due to the planning process for capital projects that will start in 2017. Debt service obligations decreased due to debt refunding and debt restructuring.

## COMPONENT UNITS

In compliance with GASB Statement 39, Cameron County is reporting as a discretely presented major component unit the Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority (CCRMA - Authority); regional mobility authorities were created by the State of Texas legislatively through the creation of Chapter 370 of the Texas Transportation Code in 2003. CCRMA is a legally separate organization that is authorized to construct, maintain, repair and operate turnpike projects at locations authorized by the State Department of Transportation. CCRMA is authorized to receive revenues from tolls, fees and rents from the operation of turnpike projects. They may also issue revenue bonds for the purpose of financing the costs of these projects. The Authority is governed by a 7 member board, 6 members are appointed by Cameron County commissioners for a term of 2 years and the Chairman of the board who is appointed by the Governor. Cameron County may influence operations of the CCRMA through the appointment process and for reporting purposes, is treated as a discretely presented component unit.

The Authority and County in June 2012 entered into SH550 Funding and Development Agreement, a project titled "SH550 Director Connector Transportation Project." This project will be a component of a tolled facility and upon completion, traffic using SH550 will have a route free of at-grade intersections from U.S.77/83 to SH48 at the Port of Brownsville.

Cameron County issued Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2012 (State Highway 550 Project) \$40,000,000 dated August 8, 2012 providing funding for this project as per "Funding Agreement." As a condition of funding, the Authority is obligated to repay the funding together with interest on the unpaid principal balance of the Project funding at the same stated rates of interest the County will pay on the Bonds. In addition, the Authority has pledged to the County the Pledged Revenues which have been assigned to the Trustee pursuant to the Order and the Trust Agreement.

On January 29, 2014 Commissioners Court adopted an order authorizing the issuance of " $\$ 5,000,000$ CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS, REVENUE AND TAX BONDS, SERIES 2014 (STATE HIGHWAY 550 PROJECT)" to be issued as "COMPLETION BONDS" on behalf of CCRMA. The bonds were issued to provide for payment obligations incurred in connection with the final design, planning, construction and equipping of the "SH550 Direct Connector Transportation Project. Upon completion of State Highway 550 Project traffic using this roadway will have a route free of at-grade intersections from U.S. 77/83 to SH 48 at the Port of Brownsville.

Cameron County issued \$4,500,000 Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2015 (State Highway 550 Project) dated April 1, 2015 as Completion Obligations. These bonds were issued to provide for the payment of obligations to be incurred in connection with the final design, planning, construction and equipping of the SH5550 Direct Connector Transportation Project and to pay issuance costs. These are parity bonds with the County's $\$ 40,000,000$ outstanding "Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2012 (State Highway 550 Project) and the County's $\$ 5,000,000$ outstanding "Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2014 (State Highway 550 Project). SH550 Project will be designed, constructed, operated and maintained by CCRMA. Cameron County has entered into a funding and development agreement with CCRMA where CCRMA has pledged and assigned to the County certain toll revenues to be derived from the SH550 Project, Pass-Through Payments and a subordinated pledge of Vehicle Fee Revenues for the payment of the Bonds. The County has assigned its right to the Pledged Revenues to the Trustee pursuant to the Order and the Trust Agreement.

In addition, Cameron County has entered into a Transportation Reinvestment Zone No. 1, Cameron County with CCRMA to assist CCRMA in financing for the development of transportation projects. This commitment is contingent on the realization of incremental valuation. In December of 2012, Cameron County through resolution and an interlocal agreement with CCRMA modified the boundaries of TRZ 1 by adding US77 South and added TRZ 2 to fund planned highway and bridge construction. TRZ 2 includes portions of US Highways 83 and 77, the outer Parkway/FM509 and the proposed second access to South Padre Island.

In December 2014, CCRMA and Cameron County entered into interlocal agreements for TRZ \#3, \#4 and \#5. The areas included in these zones respectively are FM1925, FM 803 and West Parkway and were created to promote public safety, facilitate the development or redevelopment of property and facilitate the
movement of traffic. These agreements remain in effect as long as any CCRMA debt is outstanding and unpaid.

In December 2015, CCRMA and Cameron County entered into interlocal agreement TRZ\#6 establishing a County-Wide Transportation Reinvestment Zone." The projections are that this TRZ\#6 will support $\$ 1.6$ Billion in transportation projects promoting safety, support development and facilitate the movement of traffic throughout Cameron County. The base year for this zone is all real property as of January 1, 2015 with a cumulative maximum transfer amount $\$ 1,625,954,462$. County's participation is $25 \%$ of the tax increment M\&O tax rate and excluding amounts committed on existing TIRZ agreements. TRZ\#6 supersedes previous CCRMA TRZs \#1 through \#5.

The ability of Cameron County to impose its will on the CCRMA through the appointment of a majority of the directors and through the participation in the TRZ agreement with CCRMA meets the component unit requirements that the primary government (Cameron County) include CCRMA as part of county's financial reporting entity in conformity with GAAP.

Cameron County under Senate Bill 1623 (SB1623) established "CAMERON COUNTY HEALTH CARE FUNDING DISTRICT" (CCHCFD) in July 2013. SB1623 amended chapter 288 of the Health and Safety Code by making these "districts" components of county government and not separate political subdivisions and designates the commissioners' court as the governing body of the district. The CCHCFD annually holds a public hearing setting the amount of mandatory payments required and how proceeds will be spent. A representative of each paying hospital may appear and be heard on any matter related to the mandatory payments required by the CCHCFD. Funds received under SB1623 are restricted to fund intergovernmental transfers from the district to the state to provide the nonfederal share of a Medicaid supplemental payment program, the Texas Healthcare Transformation and Quality Improvement Program, subsidize indigent programs, district administrative expenses and refunds of mandatory payments from paying hospital and refunding the proportionate share of money received by District from HHSC that is not used to fund the nonfederal share of Medicaid supplemental payment program payments. The intent of the CCHCFD is to assist Safety-Net hospitals in gaining fair access to the Texas Transformational \& Quality Improvement Waiver and improving access, affordability, delivery and funding for healthcare services without expanding Medicaid. Medical providers were assessed a $6.0 \%$ tax mandatory payment based on 2010 net patient revenue; in FY16 funds forwarded to the State for uncompensated care were $\$ 38,904,237$ which was generated by the self-assessed tax on the medical providers.

Non-major component units are the Cameron County Emergency Services District \#1 (ESD\#1). ESD\#1 is a separate political subdivision as adopted by the voters to supply the rural areas with fire and ambulance services. Funding for ESD\#1 is generated through its ability to tax property owners within the District's unincorporated areas and all debt incurred is an obligation of the District. County appoints all members of the board and can influence operations significantly.

In 2013, Cameron County Commissioners Court formed the Cameron County Spaceport Development Corporation under Chapter 22 of the Texas Business Organizations Code, as authorized by Chapter 507 of the Texas Local Government Code to assist in the promotion and development of a spaceport project in Cameron County. In FY16, this component unit received intergovernmental revenue funds of $\$ 15,375$.

For additional financial reporting information, each component unit may be contacted for their independent financial report as listed on page 54 for contact information.

## FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF PROPRIETARY FUNDS

The following table presents revenues of the different proprietary funds as compared to the previous year.
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\text { Revenues by Enterprise } & \underline{F Y} 2016 & & \text { FY 2015 } & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Increase } \\ \text { (Decrease) }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Percent of } \\ \text { Change }\end{array}\right]$

The Bridge System is the biggest generator of revenues with an overall $5.47 \%$ increase in crossings from FY15. Increased Mexican and U.S. security measures to curb border violence have caused a decline in prior years' crossings, however it appears this trend is beginning to subside. Nonetheless, security measures on the U.S. side to stop the flow of illegal drugs and weapons export; the war in the Middle East and several Orange alerts by the Department of Homeland Security continue to impact border crossings. As of September 30, 2016, a total of 5,562,510 vehicles and pedestrians crossed into Mexico through the County's International Toll Bridges as compared to FY15 crossings of 5,273,613. Increases in the revenue stream are due to the slight increase in passenger and pedestrian crossings.

The following table reflects toll increases by fiscal year and by category.
Classification
Pedestrian
Motorcycle
Passenger
Commercial Vehicles

Five Axree Axle Axle
Fix Axle

| September 30, 2016 <br> Rate |
| :---: |
| 1.00 |
| $3.50^{*}$ |
| $3.50^{*}$ |
|  |
| $9.50^{*}$ |
| $13.50^{*}$ |
| $15.75^{*}$ |
| $20.50^{*}$ |
| $23.50^{*}$ |


| September 30, 2015 |
| :---: |
| Rate |

$\$ 1.00$
3.50
3.50

9.50
13.50
15.75
20.50
23.50

| September 30, 2014 <br> Rate |
| :---: |
| $\$ 1.00$ |
| 3.25 |
| 3.25 |
|  |
| 8.50 |
| 12.50 |
| 14.75 |
| 19.50 |
| 22.50 |

*increase in toll
The following table presents expenses of the different proprietary funds as compared to the previous year.

| Expenses by Enterprise | FY 2016 | FY 2015 | Increase (Decrease) | Percent of Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridge System | \$ 9,441,377 | \$ 8,295,813 | \$ 1,145,564 | 13.8\% |
| Park System | 7,621,994 | 8,060,889 | $(438,895)$ | - $5.4 \%$ |
| Non-major enterprises | 256,148 | 127,853 | 128,295 | 100.4\% |
| Jail Commissary | 813,572 | 850,783 | ( 37,211) | -4.4\% |
| Total | \$18,133,091 | \$17,335,338 | \$ 797,753 | 4.60\% |

The Bridge System continues to monitor costs fiscally responsible in all areas and monitor bridge traffic monthly, increases in expenses were attributable to recognition of pension expense and depreciation expense. The Park System's increase in expenses is due to pension expense recognition and contractual obligations. The non-major enterprise funds costs decrease was due to a change in commissary vendor.

## GENERAL FUND BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS

The budget is prepared in accordance with financial policies approved by the Cameron County Auditor and the Commissioners Court following a public hearing. The Cameron County Auditor is required by policy to present Commissioners Court with a balanced budget that contains a no-tax increase assumption as a starting point for budget discussions.

The budget is prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America by the County Auditors Office and the County Administrator and approved by the Commissioners Court following a public hearing. Appropriated budgets are approved and employed as a management control device during the year. The County maintains strict budgetary controls and sets its appropriations at the line item level for each department. Appropriation transfers may be made between line items or departments only with the approval of the Commissioners Court. Reserves are established as a budget line item and may be transferred to other budget line items with approval of Commissioners Court.

The final FY2016 budget was adopted on September 10, 2015 with the total General Fund expenditures and reserves amounting to $\$ 84,112,832$ an increase of $\$ 886,255$ over the FY2015 budgeted expenditures and reserves, an increase of $1.07 \%$. Commissioners Court approved a tax rate of $\$ 0.399291$ per $\$ 100$, the same tax rate as the prior year. County employees receive a $4 \%$ compensation increase and the County contribution to county self funded health insurance fund was increased from $\$ 5,600$ to $\$ 6,000$ per employee.

The actual General Fund expenditures were $\$ 3,971,543$ less than the final amended budget. This decrease was due to funding provided to departments that did not utilize the full approved budgeted appropriations. In addition, Commissioners Court officially adopted an order restricting usage of lapsed salaries. Revenues exceeded the original adopted budget by $\$ 260,978$ due to minimal increases of $4.9 \%$ in intergovernmental revenues and fines and forfeiture increases of $8.6 \%$. An operating transfer to the County's self funded Health Insurance Fund of $\$ 2.3$ million was required due to the rising costs of health care costs.

## DEBT ADMINISTRATION AND CAPITAL ASSETS

Long-term debt. At September 30, 2016, the County has unlimited tax and limited tax general obligation bonds outstanding in the amount of $\$ 113,235,000$. According to Texas statutes, the County has two debt limits. Bonds issued under Article 3, Section 52e of the Texas Constitution total $\$ 113,000,000$ and bonds issued under Article 722 of Vernon's Civil Statutes total $\$ 235,000$. The debt limits for the two authorizations are $\$ 3,627,691,123$ ( $25 \%$ of real property assessed valuation) and $\$ 838,174,334$ (5\% of assessed valuation of all taxable property); therefore, the County has legal debt margins on general obligation debt of $\$ 4,748,121,024$ and $\$ 837,939,334$.

The County's bond rating is "A+" from Standard \& Poor's, "AA-" from Fitch Ratings and "Aa3" rating from Moody's for general obligation debt.

The following represents the activity of the long-term debt of the County for FY2016:

|  | Beginning <br> Balance | $\underline{\text { Additions }}$ |  | Ending <br> Reductions | $\underline{\text { Balance }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Business-Type Activities:

| Revenue bonds | \$ 3,660,000 | \$ | \$ | 970,000 | 2,690,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Certificates of Obligation | 19,248,204 | 21,160,000 |  | 1,130,018 | 39,278,186* |
| Compensated absences | 82,083 | 272,975 |  | 269,949 | 85,109 |
| Total | \$22,990,287 | \$ 21,432,975 |  | 2,369,967 | \$ 42,053,295 |

*Certificates of Obligation is debt financed capital contribution secured by Cameron County and is payable from business-type function and is included in Governmental Activities outstanding obligation bonds.

Other legal obligations include accrued vacation pay. (More detailed information about the County's longterm liabilities is presented in Note 12 to the financial statements.)

Capital assets. The capital assets of the County are those assets (land, buildings, improvements, roads, bridges and machinery \& equipment (M\&E)), which are used in the performance of the County's functions including infrastructure assets. At September 30, 2016 net capital assets of the governmental activities totaled $\$ 206,275,427$. Depreciation on capital assets is recognized in the Government-wide financial statements. Annual depreciation for buildings, improvements and M\&E of the governmental activities totaled \$13,433,616.

Major capital asset events during the current fiscal year include the following:

- In addressing the needs of the County for capital improvements, Cameron County issued $\$ 16,260,000$ Certificates of Obligation Series 2016A in September 2016 for the purpose of contractual obligations in connection with the design, planning, acquisition, construction, equipping, expansion, repair, and/or renovation of the Dancy Courthouse, Wells Fargo Building for County offices, IT Center relocation, Vehicle Maintenance building, precinct offices and warehouses, Judicial and Administrative facilities renovation, County Jail, Rio Hondo tax office, Lucio County Clinic, Detention Centers 1 \& 2, Adult Probation Center, County Streetlight program and for payment of issuance costs. The Wells Fargo Building purchase was finalized in 2016 and renovations are underway to house numerous County offices. Preliminary designs have been approved for the vehicle maintenance and precinct warehouses and land has been donated for the Precinct \#4 construction site. The County Streetlight Program continues to move forward as more urban areas request assistance for their neighborhoods for safety purposes and crime prevention.
- Cameron County issued $\$ 21,160,000$ Certificates of Obligation, Series 2016B for the purpose of providing for the payment of contractual obligations in connection with the design, planning, acquisition, construction, equipping, expansion, repair, and/or renovation of County parks, including Isla Blanca Park, Andy Bowie Park, IK Atwood Park, and Olmito Community Park and to pay issuance costs of the Certificates. This debt is the financing tool for the County South Padre Coastal Parks Master Plan.
- Cameron County Commissioners Court approved the Cameron County South Padre Island Coastal Parks Master Plan to include parks improvements to Isla Blanca Park, Andy Bowie Park, Edward King Atwood Park and the North Beach Access areas. This plan is a roadmap for short term and long term improvements, creates opportunities for new events and will bring much needed improvements to these venues and enhance services available to the general public. Isla Blanca Parks improvements will focus on access improvements, RV site upgrades and identifying developments areas such as a rocket viewing launch site. Potential improvements to Andy Bowie Park are flatlands improvements for major events, daily use and extended stay improvements and improved entry access. E.K.Atwood Park improvements will be overnight stay sites, day use parking, pavilion improvements and entry access redesign. Parks improvements will be phased in to accommodate peak occupancy and usage seasons throughout the year. A construction management team is in place to facilitate and coordinate construction. Debt obligations will be paid by entry fees that were increased in January 2016 in preparation for these major Parks improvements.
- Cameron County Old County Jail has replaced all of the Jail Cell doors expending \$1,412,000. $\$ 1,787,000$ was used to upgrade the plumbing system for this facility and was completed in December 2015; Texas Commission of Jail Standards inspected this facility and modifications to the waiting/visiting section are underway. The facility is being utilized for inmate detention. Improvements to the Old County Jail to date are $\$ 3,199,000$. Cameron County is in the process of upgrading the camera security system at the Carrizalez Rucker Detention Facility.
- Disaster Recovery Program Round 2.1 fund was received thru CDBG General Land Office for $\$ 7.6$ Million for the construction of two (2) drainage improvement projects. To date, $\$ 3,838,612$ has been expended; completion date is anticipated for 2018.
- Canopy Capital improvements for Veterans Bridge and Free Trade Bridge are complete at a cost of $\$ 275,000$. Gateway Bridge canopy improvements are scheduled for completion in mid-FY16. The toll collection booths have been refurbished for Gateway Bridge.
- Buildings purchased from Brownsville Independent School District have been refurbished to house the Veterans Office and County Elections Department. These offices were previously housed in the Administrative Courthouse and adjoining area. Relocation of the election offices has provided space needed for improvements to the Old County Jail visitors area. The Veterans Administration Office is better able to serve the needs of our military veterans.
- Construction of the Magistrate's Courthouse at the Carrizalez Rucker Detention Center has been completed with total expenditures of $\$ 674,449$ and is ready for occupancy, furniture is pending delivery and the Magistration division will occupy prior to Summer 2017. Dancy Historical Courthouse roofing and window refurbishment was completed in 2016; total funds expended were
- $\quad \$ 1,925,474$. Elevators at the Judicial Courthouse have been completely upgraded along with the addition of a new Elevator $\$ 1,141,000$, Sheriff's Office additions and renovations construction is complete pending delivery of furniture $\$ 1,242,000$.

|  | County's Capital Assets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Accumulated Depreciation | Net <br> Capital Assets |
| Governmental Activities: |  |  |  |
| Land | \$ 5,697,617 | \$ | \$ 5,697,617 |
| Buildings and improvements | 121,581,739 | 45,426,877 | 76,154,862 |
| Equipment, Vehicles, Machinery | 43,784,612 | 38,059,395 | 5,725,217 |
| Infrastructure | 305,619,176 | 201,783,877 | 103,835,299 |
| Construction in Progress | 14,862,432 | - | 14,862,432 |
| Total | \$491,545,576 | \$285,270,149 | \$206,275,427 |
| Business-Type Activities: |  |  |  |
| Land | \$ 6,063,852 | \$ | \$ 6,063,852 |
| Buildings and improvements | 33,213,166 | 16,802,547 | 16,410,619 |
| Equipment, Vehicles, Machinery | 8,749,944 | 6,401,580 | 2,348,364 |
| Other structures | 41,424,699 | 28,107,250 | 13,317,449 |
| Construction in Progress | 4,291,360 | - | 4,291,360 |
| Total | \$ 93,743,021 | \$ 51,311,377 | \$ 42,431,644 |

Additional information on the County's capital assets can be found in Note 6 on pages 68-69 of this report.

## ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET AND RATES

The annual budget is developed to provide efficient, effective and economic uses of the County's resources, as well as, a means to accomplish the highest priority objectives. Through the budget, the County Commissioners set the direction of the County, allocate its resources and establish its priorities.

The Commissioners' Court adopted the County's 2015-2016 budget on September 10, 2015. The budget was adopted based on estimated balances that would be available at the end of the fiscal year 2015 and estimated revenues to be received in the fiscal year 2016. The total available resources for all funds for the fiscal year 2016 are $\$ 145,248,164$. For the County's 2015-2016 Budget, the General Fund utilizes $\$ 84,112,832$ of the available funds.

For 2016-2017, the property tax rate is $\$ 0.407743$ per $\$ 100$ assessed taxable valuation. Tax revenues are budgeted to grow by $4.7 \%$ generating an additional $\$ 3,215,022$ at the $100 \%$ property tax collection rate. County appropriations to be expended during Fiscal Year 2016 remained constant compared to FY15 appropriations with the largest appropriations due to General Fund for Law Enforcement and Public Safety. Future projections concerning revenue from all sources will continue to be conservative in nature. The Commissioners' Court has targeted fund balance reserves to represent $24.66 \%$ of appropriations; this year as of fiscal year end $9 / 30 / 16$, actual General Fund fund balance is approximately $30.5 \%$.

## REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

The financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers and investors with a general overview of the County's finances and to show the County's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report, separate reports of the County's component units or need any additional financial information, contact the County Auditor at 1100 E. Monroe, Brownsville, Texas 78520.

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| ASSETS | CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS GOVERNMENT WIDE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GOVERNMENTAL <br> ACTIVITIES |  | BUSINESS-TYPE ACTIVITIES |  | TOTAL |  | COMPONENT UNITS |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CCRMA | CCHCFD |  | NON-MAJOR |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash \& Investments | \$ | 85,731,574 | \$ | 14,150,329 |  |  | \$ | 99,881,903 | \$ | 2,008,726 | \$ | 7,059,967 | \$ | 2,132,830 |
| Receivables: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts or trade(Note 4) |  | 11,828,855 |  | 266,057 |  | 12,094,912 |  | 556,409 |  | 172 |  | - |
| Taxes - net of allowances(Note 4) |  | 6,874,879 |  | - |  | 6,874,879 |  | - |  | - |  | 649,289 |
| Due from other governments(Note 4) |  | 6,141,391 |  | - |  | 6,141,391 |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| Due from other agencies |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,018,887 |  | - |  | - |
| Internal balances |  | $(231,874)$ |  | 231,874 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Other assets |  | 1,902 |  | - |  | 1,902 |  | 46,621,328 |  |  |  | - |
| Inventory |  | 96,272 |  | 1,032 |  | 97,304 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Prepaid expenses |  | 1,524,171 |  | 109,085 |  | 1,633,256 |  | 7,616 |  | - |  | - |
| Unamortized bond insurance costs |  | 239,925 |  | - |  | 239,925 |  | 118,890 |  |  |  | - |
| Restricted Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Fund: 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue Bond Debt Service Fund: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash |  | - |  | 1,571,518 |  | 1,571,518 |  | 463,122 |  | - |  | - |
| Revenue Bond Debt Reserve Fund: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash |  | - |  | 3,801,933 |  | 3,801,933 |  | 2,268,628 |  | - |  | - |
| Revenue Bond Repair and Replacement Fund: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash |  | - |  | 2,235,000 |  | 2,235,000 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Restricted use: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash |  | - |  | 8,405 |  | 8,405 |  | 4,344,730 |  | - |  | - |
| Capital Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings |  | 101,146,152 |  | 15,911,925 |  | 117,058,077 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Improvements other than buildings |  | 6,132,153 |  | 52,672,142 |  | 58,804,295 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Equipment |  | 43,784,612 |  | 6,690,647 |  | 50,475,259 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Other structures |  | 14,303,434 |  | 8,113,095 |  | 22,416,529 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Accumulated depreciation |  | (285,270,149) |  | $(51,311,377)$ |  | $(336,581,526)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Land |  | 5,697,617 |  | 6,063,852 |  | 11,761,469 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Infrastructure |  | 305,619,176 |  | - |  | 305,619,176 |  | 68,187,067 |  | - |  | - |
| Construction work in progress |  | 14,862,432 |  | 4,291,360 |  | 19,153,792 |  | 22,230,768 |  | - |  | - |
| Total capital assets |  | 206,275,427 |  | 42,431,644 |  | 248,707,071 |  | 90,417,835 |  | - |  | - |
| Total Assets |  | 318,482,522 |  | 92,420,547 |  | 410,903,069 |  | 148,826,171 |  | 7,060,139 |  | 2,782,119 |
| DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred charges on refunding |  | 1,071,111 |  | - |  | 1,071,111 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Deferred outflows of resources for Pensions |  | 18,875,347 |  | 1,641,334 |  | 20,516,681 |  | 92,323 |  | - |  | - |
| Total deferred outflows of resources |  | 19,946,458 |  | 1,641,334 |  | 21,587,792 |  | 92,323 |  | - |  | - |
|  |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |  |  |
| Total Assets plus Deferred Outflows of Resources | \$ | 338,428,980 | S | 94,061,881 | S | 432,490,861 | \$ | 148,918,494 | \$ | 7,060,139 | \$ | 2,782,119 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable |  | 19,340,308 |  | 1,136,714 |  | 20,477,022 |  | 718,861 |  | 716,402 |  | - |
| Wages and fringe payable |  | 242,107 |  | 23,844 |  | 265,951 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Compensated absences payable (Note 11) |  | 1,398,570 |  | 85,109 |  | 1,483,679 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Accrued interest payable |  | - |  | 39,128 |  | 39,128 |  | 450,790 |  | - |  | - |
| Deposits |  | - |  | 491,167 |  | 491,167 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due to other governments |  | 5,088,447 |  | 347,856 |  | 5,436,303 |  | 167,500 |  | 2,593,462 |  | 2,164,696 |
| Notes payable |  | 27,020 |  | - |  | 27,020 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Escrows |  | 46,608 |  | - |  | 46,608 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Noncurrent liabilities due within one year: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserve |  | - |  | 82,907 |  | 82,907 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Current bonds payable (Note 12) |  | 5,025,919 |  | 1,439,082 |  | 6,465,001 |  | 1,705,000 |  | - |  | - |
| Current revenue bonds payable |  | - |  | 1,015,000 |  | 1,015,000 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Accrued bond interest payable |  | 338,830 |  | - |  | 338,830 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Current Capital Lease payables (Note 7) |  | 2,405,123 |  | 178,393 |  | 2,583,516 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Noncurrent liabilities due in more than one year: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Lease hold Deposits |  | - |  | 15,317 |  | 15,317 |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| Long-term Capital lease payables (Note 7) |  | 3,615,576 |  | 325,460 |  | 3,941,036 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Long-term bonds payable |  | 68,930,895 |  | 37,839,104 |  | 106,769,999 |  | 77,361,730 |  | - |  | - |
| Due to other agencies |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 14,091,646 |  | - |  | - |
| Liabilities related to redevelopment assets |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 41,395,770 |  | - |  | - |
| Long-term revenue bonds payable |  | - |  | 1,675,000 |  | 1,675,000 |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| Less: Unamortized insurance costs |  | - |  | 53,093 |  | 53,093 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Other |  | 4,535,902 |  | 3,271,773 |  | 7,807,675 |  | 89,758 |  | - |  | - |
| OPEB liability |  | 28,566,947 |  | 2,641,743 |  | 31,208,690 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Pension Liability |  | 21,842,547 |  | 1,899,352 |  | 23,741,899 |  | 3,116 |  | - |  | - |
| Total Liabilities |  | 161,404,799 |  | 52,560,042 |  | 213,964,841 |  | 135,984,171 |  | 3,309,864 |  | 2,164,696 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Continued) |

# CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS <br> GOVERNMENT WIDE 

STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
SEPTEMBER 30, 2016
PRIMARY GOVERNMENT

|  |  |  | COMPONENT UNITS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES | BUSINESS-TYPE ACTIVITIES | TOTAL | CCRMA | CCHCFD | NON-MAJOR |


| DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unavailable Revenue Property Taxes | - | - | - | - | - | 602,048 |
| Deferred inflows of resources | - | - | - | 31,341 | - | - |
| Deferred inflowa of resources for Pensions | 2,939,180 | 255,581 | 3,194,761 | - | - | - |
| Total deferred inflows of resources | 2,939,180 | 255,581 | 3,194,761 | 31,341 | - | 602,048 |
| Total Liabilities plus Deferred Inflows of Resources | 164,343,979 | 52,815,623 | 217,159,602 | 136,015,512 | 3,309,864 | 2,766,744 |

## NET POSITION

| Net investment in capital assets |  | 160,249,902 |  | 24,026,722 |  | 184,276,624 |  | 436,845 |  | - |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Restricted for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Highways and street |  | 7,824,828 |  | - |  | 7,824,828 |  | 10,307,998 |  | - |  | - |
| Debt service |  | 753,535 |  | 5,373,451 |  | 6,126,986 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Capital projects |  | 49,581 |  | - |  | 49,581 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Beach Maintenance |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Health Care |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3,750,275 |  | - |
| Operating reserve and construction |  | 12,789,478 |  | 5,977,695 |  | 18,767,173 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Economic Development and Assistance |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 15,375 |
| Unrestricted |  | $(7,582,323)$ |  | 5,868,390 |  | (1,713,933) |  | 2,158,139 |  | - |  | - |
| Total Net Position | \$ | 174,085,001 | \$ | 41,246,258 | \$ | 215,331,259 | \$ | 12,902,982 | \$ | 3,750,275 | \$ | 15,375 |

[^3]CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016


Total government activities
Business-type activities:
iness-type activities.
Bridge system
Parks system
Parks system
Airport System
Total business-type activities
Total primary government
Component units: Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority
Cameron County Health Care Funding District Emergency Services District \#1
Cameron County Spaceport Developmet Corp.
Total component units
The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement

## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS

BALANCE SHEET
Governmental Funds
SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | General |  | Road \& Bridge Fund |  | 2016 Series Certificates of Obligation |  | Other Governmental Funds |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents (Note 3) | \$ | 25,105,662 | \$ | 4,191,063 | \$ | 18,423,934 | \$ | 36,737,930 | \$ | 84,458,589 |
| Receivables: (Note 4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts or trade |  | 5,607,744 |  | 536,566 |  | 1,749 |  | 5,177,625 |  | 11,323,684 |
| Taxes - net of allowances |  | 5,403,679 |  | 666,104 |  |  |  | 805,096 |  | 6,874,879 |
| Due from other governments |  | 481,070 |  | 1,333,190 |  | - |  | 4,327,131 |  | 6,141,391 |
| Due from other funds (Note 10) |  | 7,258,676 |  | 2,579,419 |  | 25,355 |  | 3,833,826 |  | 13,697,276 |
| Prepaid expenditures (Note 1D) |  | 657,378 |  | 48,700 |  | - |  | 683,718 |  | 1,389,796 |
| Other assets |  | 1,902 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,902 |
| Inventory (Note 1D) |  | 88,637 |  | 7,635 |  | - |  | - - |  | 96,272 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 44,604,748 | \$ | 9,362,677 | \$ | 18,451,038 | \$ | 51,565,326 | \$ | 123,983,789 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 10,140,688 |  | 789,494 | \$ | 3,421,489 | \$ | 3,989,248 | \$ | 18,340,919 |
| Wages and fringe payable |  | 198,839 |  | 23,320 |  | - |  | 19,429 |  | 241,588 |
| Compensated absences payable |  | 1,341,319 |  | 56,462 |  |  |  | 789 |  | 1,398,570 |
| Due to other governments |  | 37,964 |  | - |  |  |  | 4,224,500 |  | 4,262,464 |
| Due to other funds(Note 10) |  | 1,241,908 |  | - |  | - |  | 13,822,532 |  | 15,064,440 |
| Escrows |  | 46,608 |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | 46,608 |
| Notes payable |  | 27,020 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 27,020 |
| Total Liabilities |  | 13,034,346 |  | 869,276 |  | 3,421,489 |  | 22,056,498 |  | 39,381,609 |
| DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unavailable revenue-property taxes |  | 5,050,331 |  | 619,873 |  | - |  | 753,535 |  | 6,423,739 |
| Total deferred inflows of resources |  | 5,050,331 |  | 619,873 |  | - |  | 753,535 |  | 6,423,739 |
| FUND BALANCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inventory |  | 88,637 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 88,637 |
| Prepaid expenditures |  | 657,378 |  | 48,700 |  | - |  | 683,718 |  | 1,389,796 |
| Restricted: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt service |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 5,889,323 |  | 5,889,323 |
| Special revenue |  | - |  | 7,824,828 |  | - |  | 12,695,722 |  | 20,520,550 |
| Capital projects |  | - |  | - |  | 15,029,549 |  | 9,486,530 |  | 24,516,079 |
| Committed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pending litigation |  | 500,000 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 500,000 |
| Road Projects |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Indigent Defense |  | 500,000 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 500,000 |
| Capital Projects |  | 1,967,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,967,700 |
| Unassigned |  | 22,806,356 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 22,806,356 |
| Total fund balances |  | 26,520,071 |  | 7,873,528 |  | 15,029,549 |  | 28,755,293 |  | 78,178,441 |
| Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balar | \$ | 44,604,748 | \$ | 9,362,677 | \$ | 18,451,038 | \$ | 51,565,326 | \$ | 123,983,789 |

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement

# CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS <br> Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet of Governmental Funds <br> To the Statement of Net Position <br> For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2016 

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of Net Position (page 40) are different because:

Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds.

Other long-term assets are not available to pay for the current period expenditures and, therefore, are reported as unavailable revenue in the funds.

Internal service funds are used by management to charge costs of employee benefits . The assets and liabities of the internal service fund are included in governmental activities in the statement of net position.

1,221,930
Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the funds (page 63).

Long term debt (Note 2A) $\quad(83,541,209)$
OPEB
Pension
$(21,842,547)$
Deferred inflows of resources from pension are not due and payable in the current period and are not reported in the funds.

Deferred outflows of resources from pension are not available to pay in the current period and are not reported in the funds.

Net position of governmental activities

18,875,347
(133,950,703)
$(2,939,180)$
$\xlongequal{\$ \quad 174,085,001}$

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | General |  | Road \& BridgeFund |  | 2016 Series Certificates of Obligation |  | Other <br> GovernmentalFunds |  | Total Governmental Funds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes |  | 51,147,440 | \$ | 7,358,131 | \$ | - | \$ | 7,665,762 | \$ | 66,171,333 |
| Licenses and permits |  | 652,797 |  | 3,566,455 |  | - |  | - |  | 4,219,252 |
| Intergovernmental |  | 5,806,482 |  | 927,648 |  | - |  | 25,732,457 |  | 32,466,587 |
| Charges for services |  | 10,203,864 |  | - |  | - |  | 509,379 |  | 10,713,243 |
| Fines and forfeitures |  | 5,358,548 |  | - |  | - |  | 61,119 |  | 5,419,667 |
| Miscellaneous |  | 4,480,829 |  | 371,729 |  | 167 |  | 3,192,674 |  | 8,045,399 |
| TOTAL REVENUES |  | 77,649,960 |  | 12,223,963 |  | 167 |  | 37,161,391 |  | 127,035,481 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | 17,318,749 |  | - |  | 228,856 |  | 1,548,283 |  | 19,095,888 |
| Law enforcement and public safety |  | 56,049,644 |  | - |  | - |  | 14,775,888 |  | 70,825,532 |
| Highways and streets |  | - |  | 10,411,830 |  | - |  | 5,158,880 |  | 15,570,710 |
| Health |  | 2,620,937 |  | - |  | - |  | 7,348,689 |  | 9,969,626 |
| Welfare |  | 4,720,055 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,656,843 |  | 7,376,898 |
| Capital outlay |  | 873,338 |  | 1,143,988 |  | 3,167,135 |  | 6,938,303 |  | 12,122,764 |
| Debt Service: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bond issuance cost |  | - |  | - |  | 140,379 |  | - |  | 140,379 |
| Principal retirement |  | - |  | 678,401 |  | - |  | 5,470,123 |  | 6,148,524 |
| Interest and fiscal charges |  | - |  | 25,292 |  | - |  | 2,506,202 |  | 2,531,494 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES |  | 81,582,723 |  | 12,259,511 |  | 3,536,370 |  | 46,403,211 |  | 143,781,815 |
| Excess (deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | (3,932,763) |  | $(35,548)$ |  | (3,536,203) |  | (9,241,820) |  | $(16,746,334)$ |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sale of capital assets |  | 89,150 |  | 66,425 |  | - |  | 184,880 |  | 340,455 |
| Bond Issuance |  | - |  | - |  | 16,260,000 |  | - |  | 16,260,000 |
| Discount on bonds issued |  | - |  | - |  | $(98,210)$ |  | - |  | $(98,210)$ |
| Premium on bonds issued |  | - |  | - |  | 2,403,962 |  | - |  | 2,403,962 |
| Refunding Bonds Issued |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Payment to refunded Bond Escrow Agent |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Financing Proceeds |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Capital lease financing |  | 1,023,841 |  | 1,016,431 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,040,272 |
| Transfers in |  | 8,246,224 |  | 800,000 |  | - |  | 1,711,796 |  | 10,758,020 |
| Transfers (out) |  | (3,458,752) |  | - |  | - |  | $(788,079)$ |  | $(4,246,831)$ |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | 5,900,463 |  | 1,882,856 |  | 18,565,752 |  | 1,108,597 |  | 27,457,668 |
| Net change in fund balances |  | 1,967,700 |  | 1,847,308 |  | 15,029,549 |  | (8,133,223) |  | 10,711,334 |
| Fund Balances - beginning |  | 24,552,371 |  | 6,026,220 |  | - |  | 36,906,554 |  | 67,485,145 |
| Prior Period Adjustment |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(18,038)$ |  | $(18,038)$ |
| FUND BALANCES - ending | S | 26,520,071 | \$ | 7,873,528 | \$ | 15,029,549 | \$ | 28,755,293 | \$ | 78,178,441 |

The notes to the financial statements are an intregal part of this statement.

## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS <br> Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds <br> To the Statement of Activities <br> For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2016

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities (page 42) are different because:

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds (page 45)

Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. This is the amount by which capital outlays exceeded depreciation in the current period. (Note 2B)

Revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenues in the funds

The net effect of various miscellaneous transactions involving capital assets (i.e., sales and donations) is to increase net assets.

The issuance of long-term debt (e.g., bonds, leases) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net position. Also, governmental funds report the effect of issuance costs, premiums, discounts and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the statement of activities. This amount is the net effect of these differences in the treatment of long-term debt and related items. (Note 2B)

Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds. (Note 2C)

| OPEB Expenses | $1,475,741$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Pension Expense | $3,152,334$ |

Internal service fund is used by management to charge the costs of health benefits to individual funds. The net revenue (loss) of certain activities of the internal service fund is reported with governmental activities.

Change in net position of governmental activities (page 42) $\qquad$

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
Proprietary Funds
SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

| Governmental |
| :---: |
| Activities |
| Internal |
| Service |
| Fund |



CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION
PROPRIETARY FUNDS
For The Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2016

|  | BUSINESS-TYPE ACTIVITIES-ENTERPRISE FUNDS |  |  |  |  |  |  | Governmental Activities Internal Service Fund |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | PARK SYSTEM | Nonmajor <br> Enterprise Funds |  | TOTAL |  |  |
| OPERATING REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charges for services | \$ | 17,941,681 | \$ | 3,819,345 | \$ 1,006,103 | \$ | 22,767,129 | \$ | 13,198,405 |
| Rental income |  | 437,351 |  | 4,839,969 | 36,601 |  | 5,313,921 |  |  |
| Other |  | 2,922 |  | 170,566 | 29,362 |  | 202,850 |  | 4,352 |
| TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES |  | 18,381,954 |  | 8,829,880 | 1,072,066 |  | 28,283,900 |  | 13,202,757 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salary, wages and fringe benefits |  | 2,851,309 |  | 3,367,596 | 136,104 |  | 6,355,009 |  | 160,592 |
| Employee Benefits |  | 817,678 |  | 65,588 | - |  | 883,266 |  | - |
| Pension Expense |  | 171,322 |  | 102,794 | - |  | 274,116 |  | - |
| Supplies |  | 95,958 |  | 298,648 | 15,666 |  | 410,272 |  | 1,229 |
| Repairs and maintenance |  | 53,944 |  | 246,254 | 39,271 |  | 339,469 |  | - |
| Professional services |  | 69,241 |  | 6,647 | - |  | 75,888 |  | 26,042 |
| Insurance |  | 109,386 |  | 56,395 | 6,553 |  | 172,334 |  |  |
| Travel |  | 6,428 |  | 3,831 |  |  | 10,259 |  | - |
| Advertising |  | 1,000 |  | 10,409 | - |  | 11,409 |  | - |
| Taxes |  |  |  | 7,599 | - |  | 7,599 |  | - |
| Medical claims |  | - |  | - | 14,201 |  | 14,201 |  | 12,621,544 |
| Utilities |  | 106,374 |  | 1,196,066 | 17,305 |  | 1,319,745 |  | - |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 1,422,300 |  | 1,019,306 | 220,250 |  | 2,661,856 |  | - |
| Miscellaneous |  | 56,144 |  | 29,811 | 64,972 |  | 150,927 |  | 378 |
| Equipment and land rental |  | - |  | 17,675 | - |  | 17,675 |  | - |
| Administration fees |  |  |  |  | 6,740 |  | 6,740 |  | - |
| Contractual services |  | 22,990 |  | 391,638 | 548,658 |  | 963,286 |  | 2,271,239 |
| TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES |  | 5,784,074 |  | 6,820,257 | 1,069,720 |  | 13,674,051 |  | 15,081,024 |
| OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) |  | 12,597,880 |  | 2,009,623 | 2,346 |  | 14,609,849 |  | $(1,878,267)$ |
| NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income |  | 46,439 |  | 19,062 | 1,522 |  | 67,023 |  | 2,718 |
| Interest expense and fiscal agent fees |  | $(619,921)$ |  | $(526,253)$ | - |  | $(1,146,174)$ |  | - |
| Insurance proceeds - net of related losses |  |  |  | 19,725 | - |  | 19,725 |  | - |
| Capital Grant - Expenses |  | - |  | $(275,484)$ | - |  | $(275,484)$ |  | - |
| Aid to / from other governments |  | $(3,037,382)$ |  | - | 1,226,557 |  | $(1,810,825)$ |  | - |
| TOTAL NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES) |  | (3,610,864) |  | $(762,950)$ | 1,228,079 |  | (3,145,735) |  | 2,718 |
| Income (Loss) before Capital Contributions and transfers |  | 8,987,016 |  | 1,246,673 | 1,230,425 |  | 11,464,114 |  | $(1,875,549)$ |
| Capital Grant and Contributions |  |  |  | 211,484 | - |  | 211,484 |  | - |
| Transfers (out) |  | (8,677,775) |  | $(119,904)$ | - |  | $(8,797,679)$ |  | - |
| Transfers in |  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | 2,286,490 |
| CHANGE IN NET POSITION |  | 309,241 |  | 1,338,253 | 1,230,425 |  | 2,877,919 |  | 410,941 |
| Net Position - Beginning of year |  | 23,218,528 |  | 11,750,867 | 3,398,944 |  | 38,368,339 |  | 810,989 |
| Net Position - End of year | \$ | 23,527,769 |  | 13,089,120 | \$ 4,629,369 | \$ | 41,246,258 | \$ | 1,221,930 |

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement

## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
PROPRIETARY FUNDS
For The Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2016

|  | BUSINESS-TYPE ACTIVITIES-ENTERPRISE FUNDS |  |  |  |  | Governmental <br> Activities <br> Internal <br> Service <br> Fund |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TOLL } \\ \text { BRIDGE } \\ \text { SYSTEM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PARK } \\ \text { SYSTEM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Nonmajor <br> Enterprise <br> Funds |  | TOTAL |  |  |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash received from customers | \$ 18,925,753 | \$ 8,758,683 | \$ 1,019,531 | \$ | 28,703,967 |  | 13,116,455 |
| Cash received from other operating activities | 440,273 |  | 38,909 |  | 479,182 |  | 4,352 |
| Cash payments for goods and services | $(2,681,219)$ | $(2,045,013)$ | $(698,234)$ |  | $(5,424,466)$ |  | $(15,590,146)$ |
| Cash payments to employees | (3,624,554) | $(3,436,035)$ | $(136,104)$ |  | $(7,196,693)$ |  | $(166,284)$ |
| Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities | 13,060,253 | 3,277,635 | 224,102 |  | 16,561,990 |  | $(2,635,623)$ |
| Cash Flows From Non-Capital Financing Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aid (to) from other governments | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |
| Insurance Proceeds | - | 19,725 | - |  | 19,725 |  | - |
| Transfers in | - | - | - |  | - |  | 2,286,490 |
| Transfers (out) | (8,677,775) | $(119,904)$ | - |  | $(8,797,679)$ |  | - |
| Cash Provided (Used) for Non-Capital Financing Activities | (8,677,775) | $(100,179)$ | - |  | (8,777,954) |  | 2,286,490 |
| Cash Flows From Capital and Related Financing Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payments for capital acquisitions | $(731,346)$ | $(1,266,401)$ | $(1,224,465)$ |  | $(3,222,212)$ |  | - |
| Financing for additions and Improvements | (3,037,382) | 21,160,000 | - |  | 21,160,000 |  | - |
| Intergovernment agreement | $(3,037,382)$ | - | - |  | $(3,037,382)$ |  | - |
| Capital Grants and Contributions | - | 211,484 | 1,226,557 |  | 1,438,041 |  | - |
| Capital Grants -Expenses | - | $(275,484)$ |  |  | $(275,484)$ |  | - |
| Bond issuance cost | - | 3,247,630 | - |  | 3,247,630 |  | - |
| Lease Payments | - | 465,801 | - |  | 465,801 |  | - |
| Interest paid |  | $(352,140)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal payments | $(1,607,922)$ | $(495,636)$ | - |  | $(2,103,558)$ |  | - |
| Fiscal agent fees | $(619,921)$ | (174,113) | - |  | $(794,034)$ |  | - |
| Cash Provided/(Used) for Capital and Related Financing Activities | $(5,996,571)$ | 22,521,141 | 2,092 |  | 16,878,802 |  | - |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receipts of interest | 46,439 | 19,062 | 1,522 |  | 67,023 |  | 2,718 |
| Cash Provided by Investing Activities | 46,439 | 19,062 | 1,522 |  | 67,023 |  | 2,718 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | $(1,567,654)$ | 25,717,658 | 227,716 |  | 24,729,860 |  | $(346,415)$ |
| Cash and cash equivalents, October 1,2015 | 16,009,518 | 8,095,883 | 897,734 |  | 25,003,135 |  | 1,619,400 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ 14,441,864 | \$33,813,541 | \$ 1,125,450 | \$ | 49,732,995 |  | 1,272,985 |
| Reconciliation of Operating Income (Loss) to Net Cash |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provided (Used) by Operating Activities: Operating income (Loss) | \$ 12,597,880 | \$ 2,009,623 | \$ 2,346 | \$ | 14,609,849 | \$ | $(1,878,267)$ |
| Adjustments to Reconcile Operating Income (Loss) to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation | 1,422,300 | 1,019,306 | 220,250 |  | 2,661,856 |  | - |
| Decrease (increase) in Post employment benefits expense | 98,383 | 102,794 | - |  | 201,177 |  | - |
| Pension Expense | 171,322 | 65,588 | - |  | 236,910 |  | - |
| Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable | $(26,268)$ | $(78,858)$ | $(13,626)$ |  | $(118,752)$ |  | $(81,950)$ |
| Decrease (increase) in prepaids and other assets | 993,676 | 21,968 | 16,345 |  | 1,031,989 |  | $(7,878)$ |
| Decrease (increase) in inventory | - | 64 | - |  | 64 |  | - |
| Decrease (increase) in Due from other Funds | $(1,007)$ | - | - |  | $(1,007)$ |  | $(683,480)$ |
| Decrease (increase) in Due from other governments | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |
| Increase (Decrease) in accounts payable | 102,549 | 419,519 | 2,062 |  | 524,130 |  | 9,471 |
| Increase (Decrease) in wages and fringe payable | $(83,788)$ | $(80,809)$ | - |  | $(164,597)$ |  | $(3,253)$ |
| Increase (Decrease) in compensated absences payable | $(9,343)$ | 12,370 | - |  | 3,027 |  | $(2,440)$ |
| Increase (Decrease) in reserve payable | - | 1,272 | - |  | 1,272 |  | - |
| Increase (Decrease) in accrued interest payable | - | 29,748 | - |  | 29,748 |  | - |
| Increase (Decrease) in deposit payable | (650) | - | - |  | (650) |  | - |
| Increase (Decrease) in retainage payable | 4,931 | - | - |  | 4,931 |  | - |
| Increase (Decrease) in due to other funds | $(2,222,472)$ | $(252,611)$ | $(3,275)$ |  | $(2,478,358)$ |  | - |
| Increase (Decrease) in due to other governments | 17,877 | - | - |  | 17,877 |  | 12,174 |
| Increase (Decrease) in deferred revenue | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |
| Increase (Decrease) in deposits | $(5,137)$ | 7,661 | - |  | 2,524 |  | - |
| CASH PROVIDED (USED) BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES | \$ 13,060,253 | \$ 3,277,635 | \$ 224,102 |  | 16,561,990 | \$ | (2,635,623) |

# CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS <br> STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION <br> FIDUCIARY FUNDS <br> September 30, 2016 

| Private |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Purpose |  |
| Trust Funds | Agency Funds |

## ASSETS

Cash and cash equivalents Investments

TOTAL ASSETS

## LIABILITIES

Accounts payable
Deposits
Due to other governments
Fees payable
TOTAL LIABILITIES

NET POSITION
Net position held in trust


| $\$$ | - | $\$$ | $2,284,211$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | - |  | 43,813 |
| 32 |  | $8,792,486$ |  |
|  | - |  | $1,547,764$ |
|  |  | $12,668,274$ |  |

$\xlongequal{\$ 15,694,142} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { \$ }\end{aligned}$

## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS <br> STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION <br> FIDUCIARY FUNDS <br> FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | Private <br> Purpose <br> Trust Funds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADDITIONS: <br> Contributions: |  |  |
| Registry | \$ | 7,440,091 |
| Miscellaneous |  | 287 |
| Total contributions |  | 7,440,378 |
| Investment earnings: |  |  |
| Interest |  | 122,896 |
| Total investment earnings |  | 122,896 |
| Total additions |  | 7,563,274 |
| DEDUCTIONS: |  |  |
| Judgments |  | 7,690,823 |
| Administrative expenses |  | 19,216 |
| Total deductions |  | 7,710,039 |
| Change in net assets |  | $(146,765)$ |
| Net position - beginning |  | 15,840,907 |
| Net position - ending | \$ | 15,694,142 |

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

## 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting and reporting policies of the County reflected in the accompanying financial statements conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America applicable to state and local governments.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for local governments are those promulgated by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) in Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards.

The financial report has been prepared in accordance with GASB Statement No. 34, "Basic Financial Statements - and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments", issued in June 1999 and implemented by the County in FY 2003. The most significant accounting and reporting policies of the County are described in the following notes to the financial statements.

## A. REPORTING ENTITY

Cameron County (the County) is a public corporation and a political subdivision of the State of Texas. A Commissioners' Court, composed of four (4) elected County Commissioners and one elected County Judge, governs the County. The County provides a vast number of services, including public safety, administration of justice, health and human services, culture and recreation, public improvements and general administration.

As required by GAAP, the financial statements of the reporting entity include those of the County (the primary government) and its component units in conformity with GASB Statement 14 "The Financial Reporting Entity", which supersedes all previous pronouncements issued by GASB and the National Council of Governmental (NCGA) for defining the reporting entity.

In accordance with GASB Statement 39 Determining Whether Certain Organizations are Component Units and GASB 61 The Financial Reporting Entity: Omnibus-an amendment of GASB Statements No. 14 and No. 34, a financial reporting entity consists of the primary government and its component units. Component units are legally separate organizations for which the elected officials of the County are financially accountable, or the relationship to the County is such that exclusion would cause the County's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. Blended component units, although legally separate entities are, in substance, part of the County's operations, and data from these units are combined with data of the County. On the other hand, each discretely presented component unit is reported in a separate column in the combined statements to emphasize it is legally separate from the primary government.

Discretely Presented Component Units. The component unit columns in the combined financial statements include the financial data of the County's component units.

The Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority (CCRMA) was created by the State of Texas on September 30, 2004. The CCRMA is authorized to construct, maintain, repair and operate turnpike projects at locations authorized by the State Department of Transportation. The CCRMA receives its revenues from tolls, fees and rent from the operation of turnpike projects. The CCRMA was formed through the efforts of Cameron County, Texas. Although the CCRMA is a legally separate entity, it is included as a discretely presented component unit of the government of Cameron County, Texas, because the Commissioners' Court appoints six of the seven Directors to the CCRMA Board.

## 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

## A. REPORTING ENTITY (continued)

The Commissioners' Court can influence operations significantly by the appointment of directors. CCRMA also has fiscal dependency on Cameron County.

Separate financial statements may be obtained from:

Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority<br>1100 E. Monroe<br>Brownsville, Texas 78520

Cameron County Health Care Funding District (CCHCFD) was created by Cameron County Commissioners Court on July 2, 2013 pursuant to V.T.C.A., Health and Safety Code, Section 288.002, per Senate Bill 1623. This district is governed by the five (5) members of commissioners court. The purpose of this District is to generate revenue from a mandatory payment required by the District to provide the nonfederal share of a Medicaid supplemental payment program; mandatory payments are based on Hospital Net Patient Revenue. Revenue generated in this fund may only be used to: fund intergovernmental transfers to the state to provide the nonfederal share of a Medicaid supplemental payment program, subsidize indigent programs, administrative expenses of the district, refund a mandatory payment collected in error and refund the paying hospitals the proportionate share of money received from Health and Human Services Commission that is not used. The Cameron County Health Care Funding District is a component unit of county government and is not a separate political subdivision of the State. The Commissioners Court serve as the "Directors" of this district and can influence operations of the CCHCFD.

Cameron County Emergency Services District \# 1 is a separate political subdivision organized under Article III, Section 48-e, of the Texas Constitution, as proposed by S.J.R. No. 27, Act to the 70th Legislature, Regular Session, 1987, and adopted by the voters to supply the rural areas with fire and ambulance services. Although the Emergency Services District is a legally separate entity, it is included as a discretely presented component unit of the government of Cameron County, Texas, because the Commissioners' Court appoints all members to the District's Board and can influence operations significantly by the appointment of members or removal of members that do not govern the Board's activities consistent with Commissioners' Court policy. Funding for the Cameron County Emergency Services District \# 1's activities is generated through its ability to tax property owners within the District's unincorporated areas, and all debt incurred by the District is the responsibility of the District. Complete financial statements may be obtained from:

Cameron County Emergency Services District \#1<br>c/o Cameron County Program Development and Management<br>1100 E. Monroe Street<br>Brownsville, Texas 78520

Cameron County created Cameron County Spaceport Development Corporation (CCSDC) in 2013, a domestic nonprofit corporation, as authorized by Chapter 507 of the Texas Local Government Code. This corporation was created in support and to benefit the promotion and development of a spaceport project in Cameron County. This corporation is managed by seven board members as appointed by Cameron County Commissioners, the governing body of Cameron County.

Condensed Financial Statements. The following are condensed financial statements of each discretely presented component unit disclosed above. The fiscal year end for each of the discretely presented component units is as follows: Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority September 30, 2016, Cameron County Emergency Services District \#1, September 30, 2016, Cameron County Health Care Funding District, September 30, 2016 and Cameron County Spaceport Development Corporation, September 30, 2016.

## 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

A. REPORTING ENTITY (continued)


## 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

## B. Government-wide and fund financial statements (continued)

The government-wide financial statements include the statement of net position and the statement of activities. Government-wide statements report, except for County fiduciary activity, information on all of the activities of the County and its component units. The effect of interfund transfers has been removed from the government-wide statements but continues to be reflected on the fund statements. Governmental activities are supported mainly by taxes, licenses and permits, charges from services, fines and forfeitures and intergovernmental revenues. The primary government is reported separately from the component units within the government-wide statements. The statement of activities reflects the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function. Program revenues include 1) charges for customers or applicants who purchase, use or directly benefit from goods, services or privileges provided by a given function and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included in program revenues are reported as general revenues.

Separate fund financial statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary fund and fiduciary funds even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. The General Fund, Road \& Bridge Fund, and the 2016 Series Certificates of Obligation meet the criteria as major governmental funds. Each major fund is reported in separate columns in the fund financial statements. Non-major funds include other Special Revenue, Capital Project and Debt Service Funds. The combined amounts for these funds are reflected in a single column in the fund Balance Sheet and Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances. Detailed statements for non-major funds are presented within Combining and Individual Fund Statements and Schedules.

## C. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. This measurement focus is also used for the proprietary and fund financial statements. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Revenue from forfeitures and fines are recognized when they have been assessed, adjudicated and earned. Major revenue types, which have been accrued, are district clerk and county clerk fees, justice of the peace fees, intergovernmental revenue and charges for services. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue when all applicable eligibility imposed by the provider is met.

Revenues are classified as program revenues and general revenues. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants for goods, services or privileges provided, 2) operating grants and contributions and 3) capital grants and contributions. General revenues include all taxes and grants not restricted to specific programs and investment earnings.

Governmental fund level financial statements are reported using current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. Measurable and available revenues include revenues expected to be received within 60 days after the fiscal year ends. Receivables which are measurable but not collectible within 60 days after the end of the fiscal period are reported as deferred revenue. Property taxes which were levied prior to September 30, 2016, and became due October 1, 2016 have been assessed to finance the budget of the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2016 and, accordingly, have been reflected as deferred revenue and taxes receivable in the fund financial statements

## 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

## C. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation (continued)

at September 30, 2016. Expenditures generally are recorded when a fund liability is incurred; however, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recorded only when the liability has matured and payment is due.

The government reports the following major governmental funds:
The General Fund is the general operating fund of the County and is always classified as a major fund. The General Fund is used to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund. Major revenue sources include property taxes, charges for services, intergovernmental revenues and investment of idle funds. Primary expenditures are for general administration, public safety, health, welfare, and capital acquisition.

The Road \& Bridge Fund is used to account for the revenues restricted for the funding of road repairs and improvements and all related expenditures related to the County roads. Revenues are supported by the property tax rate as adopted by Commissioner's Court during the budget process in addition to fees from licenses and permits and intergovernmental revenue.

2016 Series Certificates of Obligation is used to account for the 2016 Series bond proceeds that are being used to fund Capital Projects as identified in this issuance.

Other fund types include special revenue funds, capital projects funds and debt service funds which are considered nonmajor funds.

Proprietary fund level financial statements are used to account for activities, which are similar to those often found in the private sector. The measurement focus is upon determination of net income, financial position and cash flows. The County's Proprietary funds include the Sheriff's Commissary, the Bridge System, the Park System and the Airport System enterprise funds. The Proprietary funds are accounted for using the accrual basis of accounting as follows:

1. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when the liabilities are incurred.
2. Current-year contributions, administrative expenses and benefit payments, which are not received or paid until the subsequent year, are accrued.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from non-operating. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations.
Major proprietary funds are the Bridge System and Parks funds. The Bridge System is used to account for international crossings at points of entry. Usage if this fund accounts for the operation and maintenance of the international bridges and is financed primarily through user charges. The Park System accounts for the operation and maintenance of county managed beaches and is financed by user fees.

Fiduciary fund level financial statements include fiduciary funds held in a trustee or agency capacity for parties outside the government and cannot be used to support the government's own purposes. County reports private-purpose trust funds (Trusts Funds) for funds where the principal and interest funds are held to benefit certain beneficiaries for a defined period of time. These private purpose trust funds may never be used to report government programs as they provide specific benefits to specific beneficiaries.

Agency funds do not involve a formal trust agreement. Agency funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve measurement of results of operations. These agency funds serve custodial purposes for the District and County Clerks Fee Accounts, Tax Office and Law Enforcement Judicial Offices. Fiduciary funds in custody for county government purposes are accrued for recognition in financial reports.

## 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

## C. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation (continued)

Internal Service Fund financial statements include the administration of the health and life benefits program provided to active and retired employees and their dependents. Premiums are paid into this fund from all county programs; contributions are used to pay claims, administrative costs and claims reserves.

## D. Assets, liabilities and net assets or equity

## 1. Deposits and Investments

The County's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits and short term investments. State statutes and the County's official Investment Policy authorize the County to invest in obligations of the U. S. Treasury, commercial paper, repurchase agreements, Bankers' acceptances, money market mutual funds and direct obligations of the State of Texas.

The County records investments at fair value in accordance with provisions of GASB Statement No. 31, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools as superseceded by GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, which took effect for reporting periods after June 15, 2015. All investment income is recognized as revenue in the appropriate fund's statements of activity and or statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance. Participation in First Public Lone Star Investment Pool maintains a net asset value of one dollar and its dollar-weighted average maturity is 60 days or less.

## 2. Receivables and payables

## Accounts Receivable

Property taxes are levied based on taxable value at January 1 prior to September 30 and become due October 1 and past due after January 31. Accordingly, receivables and revenues for property taxes are reflected on the government-wide statement based on the full accrual method of accounting. Property tax receivables for prior year's levy are shown net of an allowance for uncollectible.

Accounts receivables from other governments include amounts due from grantors for approved grants for specific programs and reimbursements for services performed by the County. Program grants are recorded as receivables and revenues at the time all eligibility requirements established by the provider have been met.

Reimbursements for services performed are recorded as receivables and revenues when they are earned in the government-wide statements. Included are fines and costs assessed by court action and billable services for certain contracts. Revenues received in advance of the costs being incurred are recorded as deferred revenue in the fund statements. Receivables are shown net of an allowance for uncollectibles.

Lending or borrowing between funds is reflected as "due to or from" (current portion) or "advances to/from other funds" (non-current). Interfund activity reflected in "due to or from" is eliminated on the government-wide statements.

## 3. Inventories and prepaid items

Inventory is valued at average cost. Inventory in the General and Special Revenue Funds consists of expendable supplies held for consumption. In the General Fund, the cost is recorded as expenditure at the time the inventory items are used. In the Special Revenue Funds, inventory items expected to be used within a short period of time, are recorded as expenditures at the time of purchase; other inventory items

## 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

## 3. Inventories and prepaid items (continued)

are expensed when used. Reported inventories are offset by a reservation of fund balance which indicates they do not represent "available spendable resources."

## 4. Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

Deferred outflows of resources represent a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense) until then. Deferred outflows consist of deferred costs on refunding debt obligations that are applicable to future period(s). Deferred inflows of resources are property tax revenue received for a future period.

In reporting advance refunding of debt, the difference between the reacquisition price and the net carrying amount of the old debt is recorded as unamortized reacquisition costs and reported as a deferred outflow of resources. These costs are amortized as components of interest expense over the shorter of the remaining life of the refunding or the refunded debt. Deferred outflow of resources due to refunding debt was recognized under Government Wide Statements of $\$ 1,071,111$. Pursuant to implementation of GASB Statement No. 68 in fiscal year 2015, the County recorded deferred outflow of resources of $\$ 20,516,681$ related to pension recognition. Deferred inflows of resources due to GASB Statement No. 68 were recognized of $\$ 3,194,761$.

## 5. Capital Assets - Primary Government

Capital assets, which include land, buildings and improvements, equipment and infrastructure assets (e.g., roads and bridges) are reported in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets such as equipment are defined as assets with a cost of $\$ 5,000$ or more. Infrastructure assets include Countyowned roads and bridges. Per implementation of GASB 72, acquisition value is used to measure the fair value of capital assets purchased or constructed. Fair value is the amount required to replace the present service capacity of an asset. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair value at the date of donation.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets lives are not capitalized. Capital assets are depreciated using the straight line method over the following estimated useful lives:

| ASSETS |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Building |  |
| Furniture and fixtures |  |
| General equipment | 5 |
| Trucks | 5 |
| Cars | 6 |
| Computer hardware | 6 |
| Infrastructure | 5 |
|  | 30 |

6. Compensated Absences - A liability for unused vacation and compensatory time for all full time employees is calculated and reported in the government-wide statements. For financial reporting, the following criteria must be met to be considered as compensated absences;
a. leave or compensation is attributable to services already rendered
b. leave or compensation is not contingent on a specific event (such as illness)

Per GASB Interpretation No. 6, liabilities for compensated absences are recognized in the fund statements to the extent the liabilities have matured (i.e. are due for payment). Compensated absences are accrued in the government-wide statements.

## 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

## 6. Compensated Absences (continued)

Primary Government - The County's permanent, full-time employees accrue 3.09 hours of vacation per pay period (biweekly) from date of employment to five years of service, 4.62 hours per pay period from 5 years to 15 years of service and 6.16 hours per pay period in excess of 15 years of continuous employment.

The maximum accrual is two, three or four weeks of vacation for the respective accrual categories specified. Upon termination from County employment, an employee shall be entitled to payment for total accrued but unused hours of vacation.

The County's permanent, full-time employees accrue sick leave at the rate of 3.09 hours per pay period. Sick leave is paid to current employees if the employees are absent from work due to illness, injury or other situations requiring medical attention. An employee who leaves the employment of the County for any reason shall receive no compensation for accrued sick leave.

Amounts of accrued vacation leave are accrued in the government-wide financial statements.

## 7. Subsequent Events

Management has evaluated subsequent events through March 28, 2017, which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued.

## 8. Implementation of Accounting Standards

In the current fiscal year the County considered the following new standards:

## Statement No. 72, "Fair Value Measurement and Application.".

This Statement became effective for Cameron County beginning with year ending September 30, 2016. This Statement serves to establish principles for measuring fair value and standards of accounting and financial reporting for assets and liabilities measured at fair value. Cameron County participates in First Public/Lone Star Investment Pool for investment purposes. These funds maintain a net asset value of one dollar and the dollar weighted average maturity is 60 days or less. Capital assets are recorded at fair value which is the amount that would be received were the asset to be sold. Cameron County does not transfer capital asset liabilities. GASB No. 72 implementation for Cameron County did not have an impact on Cameron County and no restatement was necessary.

Statement No. 73, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions and Related Assets That Are Not within the Scope of GASB Statement 68, and Amendments to Certain Provisions of GASB Statements 67 and 68 ".
This Statement establishes standards of accounting and financial reporting for defined benefit pensions and defined contribution pensions that are provided to state employees and local government employers and are not within the scope of Statement 68. Statement 68 established requirements for pensions that are provided through pension plans administered through trusts. Cameron County's pension benefit is within the scope of Statement 68 and all required disclosures have been met. (See Note 15)

Statement No. 76, The "Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments".
This Statement reduces the GAAP hierarchy to two categories of authoritative GAAP and addresses usage of authoritative and nonauthoritative literature in the event the accounting treatment for a transaction or other event is not specified within a source of authoritative GAAP. Implementation of Statement No. 76 did not have an effect on Cameron County.

## Statement No. 79. "Certain External Investment Pools and Pool Participation".

Cameron County's pool investments are measured at net asset value per share and investment income is recognized in each respective funds. Implementation of this statement did not have an effect on Cameron County.

## 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

## 9. Long-term Obligations

In the government-wide financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities under governmental activities or proprietary fund type statement of net position. On new bond issues, bond premiums and discounts, as well as insurance costs, are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount. Bond issuance costs are recognized as an expense in the period incurred.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures in the period issued.

## 10. Unemployment and Workers' Compensation Benefits

The County is a reimbursing employer for unemployment compensation benefits. The County processes workers' compensation payments through a third-party administrator as the claims become due. These obligations are budgeted and paid from current resources (see Note 13).

## 11. Fund Balance and flow assumptions

Sometimes the government will fund outlays for a particular purpose from both restricted (e.g. restricted bond or grant proceeds) and unrestricted resources. In order to calculate the amounts to report as restricted-net asset and unrestricted-net asset in the government wide and proprietary fund financial statements, a flow assumption must be made about the order in which the resources are considered to be applied. For the classification of fund balances in the governmental funds, the County considers expenditures to be funded from the most restrictive category first when more than one classification is available.
In the proprietary fund financial statements and in the government-wide financial statements, restricted net assets are reported for amounts that are externally restricted by 1) creditors (e.g. bond covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws and regulations of other governments or 2) law through constitutional provision or enabling legislation.

GASB Statement 54 - Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions was implemented by Cameron County as of fiscal year end 9/30/11. This statement sets a hierarchy that intends to determine to what extent a government is bound to observe spending constraints governing how it spends fund balance. The fund balances of governmental funds are defined as follows:

Non-spendable - these are funds that cannot be spent either because they are not in spendable form, such as inventory or prepaid items or because they must be maintained intact. General fund has inventory costs classified as non-spendable.

Restricted - these are funds that can be spent only for specific purposes and are subject to externally enforceable legal restrictions. Typically these restrictions are imposed by parties outside of the local government such as creditors through bond covenants, grantors and other governments through laws and regulations. All grants received by county government are classified as Special Revenue Funds with restricted usage. Capital Projects funded through debt issuance are classified as Restricted through bond covenants.

Committed - these are funds that can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal action (court order) of the government's highest level of decision-making authority. Commissioners Court adopted a policy mandating that committed amounts remain binding unless removed or rescinded by the governing body of the County.

## 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

## 11. Fund Balance and flow assumptions (continued)

To redeploy or modify committed fund balance, formal action requires a discussion agenda item at a duly posted Court meeting during which the proposed modification are discussed after which a vote is taken and entered into the minutes of the Court. This is the official record of the governing body and are the requirements as adopted by Commissioners Court for any changes to committed fund balance. Cameron County Commissioners Court has committed funds of $\$ 500,000$ for any pending litigation that may arise during the year and $\$ 500,000$ for indigent defense costs in the event unanticipated costs are incurred. They have also committed $\$ 1,967,700$ for County Capital Projects.

Assigned - these funds are intended to be used for specific purposes as established by governing body.
Unassigned - these funds represent that are available for any purpose. Positive amounts are reported only in the general fund.

Usage of fund balance that is available for spending is reserved to formal action approval of the government's highest level of decision making authority. Through the budget process, fund balance usage is allowed only after the Commissioners Court official approval.

|  | General <br> Fund | Road \& Bridge | 2016 Series Certificates of Obligation | Other <br> Governmental <br> Funds | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund Balances |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-spendable | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ - | \$ |
| Inventory | 88,637 | - | - | - | 88,637 |
| Prepaid | 657,378 | 48,700 |  | 683,718 | 1,389,796 |
| Committed | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pending Litigation | 500,000 | - | - | - | 500,000 |
| Indigent Defense County Capital | 500,000 | - | - | - | 500,000 |
| Projects | 1,967,700 | - | - | - | 1,967,700 |
| Restricted | - | - | - | - | - |
| Highway\& Streets | - | 7,824,828 | - | - | 7,824,828 |
| Capital Projects | - | - | 15,029,549 | 9,486,530 | 24,516,079 |
| Special Revenue <br> Reserve for Debt | - | - | - | 12,695,722 | 12,695,722 |
| Service | - | - | - | 5,889,323 | 5,889,323 |
| Unassigned | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fund Balance | 22,806,356 | - | - | - | 22,806,356 |
| Totals | \$ 26,520,071 | \$ 7,873,528 | \$ 15,029,549 | \$28,755,293 | \$ 78,178,441 |

## 2. RECONCILIATION OF GOVERNMENT WIDE AND FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

A. Explanation of certain differences between the governmental fund balance sheet and the government-wide statement of net position

The governmental fund balance sheet includes reconciliation between fund balance for total governmental funds and net position as reported in the government-wide statement of net assets. One element of that reconciliation explains that "long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, are not due and payable in the current period and therefore, are not reported in the funds. The details of this $\$(83,541,209)$ difference are:

## 2. RECONCILIATION OF GOVERNMENT WIDE AND FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (cont)

A. Explanation of certain differences between the governmental fund balance sheet and the government-wide statement of net position (continued)

Bonds payable as of 9/30/2016
\$ (73,956,814)
Accrued interest payable
Capital Leases Payable
( $6,020,699$ )
Deferred charge for Refunding
1,071,111
Deferred charge on Discount
388,049
Deferred charge on Premium
( $4,923,951$ )
Deferred charge related to insurance cost
239,925
Net adjustment to reduce fund balance - total government
Funds to arrive at net position -governmental activities
$\$(83,541,209)$
B. Explanation of certain differences between the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances and the government-wide statement of activities

The governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances includes reconciliation between net changes in fund balances - total governmental funds and changes in net position of governmental activities as reported in the government-wide statement of activities. One element of that reconciliation indicates that "governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures; however, in the statement of activities, the cost of capital assets is allocated over their estimate useful lives and reported as depreciation expense" on capital outlays for County owned assets only. Some capital outlays are for roads not owned by the County. The details of this $\$(1,310,852)$ difference are as follows:

Capital outlay (excluding outlays for non-County roads)
Depreciation expense
\$ 12,122,764
Net adjustment to increase net changes in fund balance - total governmental funds to arrive at net position -governmental activities

$$
(13,433,616)
$$

$\$ \quad(1,310,852)$
The issuance of long-term debt (e.g., bonds, leases) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net position. Also, governmental funds report the effect of issuance costs, premiums, discounts and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the statement of activities. This amount is the net effect of these differences in the treatment of long-term debt and related items. The details of this difference are as follows:

| Bond Issuance | $\$$ | $(16,260,000)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Lease Debt Issuance |  | $(2,040,272)$ |
| Principal Repayments | $6,148,524$ |  |
| Debt Issuance Interest |  | 181,306 |
| Bond Issuance Cost Amortization \& Refunding <br> Bond Cost Premium Amortization |  | $\left(\begin{array}{rl}2,382,875) \\ \hline \text { Net adjustment to decrease net changes in fund balances-total } \\ \text { governmental Funds to arrive at changes in net assets of governmental } \\ \text { activities }\end{array}\right.$ |

## 2. RECONCILIATION OF GOVERNMENT WIDE AND FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (cont)

B. Explanation of certain differences between the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances and the government-wide statement of activities (cont)

Another element of the reconciliation states that "Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds." The detail of these $(\$ 4,628,075)$ differences is as follows:

| Net OPEB Beginning of the Year | $\$$$27,091,206$ <br> Pension Expense | $(3,152,334)$ <br> $(28,566,947)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Net OPEB End of Year | $\$$ | $(4,628,075)$ |
| Net adjustment to increase net change in fund balances-total governmental |  |  |
| Funds to arrive at changes in net assets of governmental activities | $\$ \quad$ |  |

## 3. DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS <br> A. DEPOSITS, INCLUDING CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT

The County considers account balances in demand deposit accounts and certificates of deposit with a maturity of less than three months to be cash equivalents. It is the County's policy for cash to be 105\% secured by collateral valued at market or par, whichever is lower, less the amount insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). At September 30, 2016, the carrying amount of the County's interest-bearing demand accounts and certificates of deposit totaled $\$ 112,763,037$. Bank balances and certificates of deposit totaling $\$ 114,145,913$ at September 30, 2016, were insured by FDIC or collateralized with a Letter of Credit held by the pledging institution's agent in the County's name. As of September 30, 2016, the County's cash and cash equivalents held by the County's depository institution were insured by $\$ 250,000$ through the FDIC and collateralized for amounts above the FDIC limits by a Letter of Credit in the County's name, held by the County's depository of record. Collateral amounts include coverage for balances held in the County's depository for the Cameron County Health Care District reported in the Discretely Presented Component Units. Collateral that is pledged to the County complies with the depository contract requirements, County Investment Policy and Procedures Section 9.01 and Government Code Title 10, Chapters 2256 and 2257. The ratio of securities pledged to funds on deposit as of September 30, 2015 was $120 \%$, which exceeds the County's requirement of $105 \%$. Collateral limits are increased at year-end to provide coverage for the collection of property taxes commencing October 1, 2016.

GASB72, Fair Value Measurement and Application became effective year ending September 30, 2016. GASB72 requires disclosures regarding investments that calculate net asset value per share (or its equivalent). It addresses accounting and financial reporting issues related to fair value measurements by providing guidance for determining fair value for financial reporting purposes. All investments of Cameron County have a determining fair value for financial reporting purposes. All investments of Cameron County have a determined net asset value per share of $\$ 1.00$ per share and a dollar weighted average maturity of 60 days or less.

GASB79, Certain External Investment Pools and Pool Participation, became effective year ending September 30, 2016. This Statement requires certain disclosures for an external investment pool to measure investments at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes. Cameron County's participation in investment pools does not meet the reporting criteria of this statement.

## B. INVESTMENTS

Investments are under the custody of the County Treasurer. Investing is performed in accordance with investment policies complying with State Statutes (Texas Government Code, Title 10, Chapter 2256 V.T.C.A GOVT Sec. 2256, as amended by Act 1996, $74^{\text {th }}$ Legislature, Chapter 402, Section 1, effective September 1, 1996). This law requires the government entity to maintain safety of principal, maintenance of adequate liquidity, desired diversification to maximize rate of return with the previous considerations and have portfolio maturities structured to achieve the highest rate of return of interest consistent with liquidity requirements of the cash needs. The County Investment Policy and Funds Strategy is consistent with this law and states that it will be the objective of Cameron County to earn the maximum rate of return on its investments within the policies imposed by its safety and liquidity objectives and state and federal law governing investment of public funds.

## 3. DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS (continued)

## B. INVESTMENTS (continued)

Custodial Credit Risk - In accordance with the County's investment policy, the County shall require monthly reports with market values of pledged securities from all financial institutions with which the County has collateralized deposits. The Investment Officer will monitor adequacy of collateralization levels to verify market values and total collateral positions.

Credit Risk- The County identifies and manages credit risk by following the Investment Policy. The Investment Officer implements its investment strategy, establishes and monitors compliance with investment policies and procedures and consistently monitors prudent risk controls. The County will seek to control its risk of loss by monitoring the ratings of portfolio positions to assure compliance with the rating requirements imposed by the Public Funds Act.

The County specifically addresses credit risk in stating that all county funds are fully collateralized or insured consistent with federal and state law in one or more of the following manners:

- FDIC insurance coverage
- United States Government Bonds, Notes and Bills,
- Securities of federally sponsored U. S. Agencies and instrumentality's of the United States Government and/or obligations, including
- letters of credit, of the United States or its agencies and instrumentalities,
- No Collateralized Mortgage Obligations are acceptable.

County and District Clerks' trust funds are invested on behalf of the beneficiaries of funds held in trust in Certificates of Deposit. These investments are issued by a state or national bank domiciled in this state or a savings and loan association domiciled in this state and are guaranteed or insured by the FDIC or secured by authorized investments that have a market value of not less than the principal amount of the certificates.

Concentration of Credit Risk - In accordance with the Investment Policy, the County will manage its credit risk exposure through diversification and limiting its investments in each government-sponsored security to eliminate the risk of loss resulting from over concentration of liquid assets with a specific maturity, a specific issuer or a specific class of investments. Investment pools are limited to $60 \%$ of the total outstanding investment portfolio with the stipulation that no more than $35 \%$ can be held in any registered pool.

Cameron County has investments with Lone Star Investment Pool, managed by First Public, LLC., the State of Texas investment pool available to governmental entities. Lone Star is neither a registered investment pool with the SEC nor backed by pledged collateral, but the underlying investments are mutual funds, U.S. Treasury Bonds, T-bills, government agency securities and repurchase agreements allowed under the Public Funds Investment Act as described by V.T.C.A., Title 10, Government Code, Section 2256. Investments are stated at fair value which approximates market value. Lone Star's portfolio has low market risk due to restrictions on weighted average maturity and maximum maturity of any one investment and is rated AAA by Standard \& Poor. Lone Star's investments maintain weighted average maturity of the portfolio at sixty days or less, with no security exceeding thirteen months in maturity. Lone Star's investment portfolio is required to maintain a stable $\$ 1.00$ net asset value.

The Lone Star Investment Pool is governed by a Board of Trustees (Board) who is devoted to running an investment pool with superior level of safety and protection of investments. A third party consultant, which reports directly to the Board, reviews the Pool's daily operations, makes sure investment transactions fit with the Pool's Investment Policy, monitors the custodian bank, and compares the investment advisor's performance with that of peer funds and other benchmarks. Lone Star also counts on an independent, thirdparty bank, the Bank of New York Mellon, for custody and valuation services. The bank settles all trades for

## 3. DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS (continued)

## B. INVESTMENTS (continued)

the Pool, and secures and values its assets every day. Two other firms, American Beacon Advisors and BNY Mellon Cash Investment Strategies, manage the investment and reinvestment of the Lone Star's assets.

Overall, the County Treasury portfolio of investments earned $0.05310 \%$ interest rate at September 30, 2016, based upon a weighted average for all County investments and cash balance.

## C. CASH AND INVESTMENTS OF DISCRETELY PRESENTED COMPONENT UNITS

## Cash and cash equivalenats

The Discretely Presented Component Units consider account balances in demand deposit accounts and certificates of deposit with a maturity of less than three months to be cash.

Cameron Regional Mobility Authority (CCRMA) had demand and a time deposit carrying balance of $\$ 9,085,206$ which was either insured by FDIC or collateralized, by bank pledges held in CCRMA's name. Cameron County Emergency Services District \#1 (ESD) had a demand deposit balance of $\$ 2,117,455$ which was insured by FDIC or collateralized by bank pledges held in ESD's name. Cameron County Health Care Funding District had demand deposits of $\$ 7,059,967$ and Cameron County Spaceport Development Corporation had demand deposits of $\$ 15,375$ which were either insured by FDIC or collateralized, by bank pledges held in each entity's name.

## Investments

The Discretely Presented Component Units classify certificates of deposits purchased or renewed for periods in excess of one year and money market mutual funds as investments designed to achieve a certain rate of return.

No investments meeting these criteria are reported by ESD and CCRMA; however, CCRMA does have an investment policy. CCRMA recognizes that effective cash management is good fiscal management. CCRMA's investment policy considers safety and risk of investment, while seeking to optimizing investment earnings. The purpose of the CCRMA's investment Policy is to comply with Chapter 2256 of the Government Code ("Public Funds Investment Act"), which requires the Authority to adopt a written investment policy regarding the investment of its funds and funds under its control. CCRMA's Investment Policy addresses the methods, procedures and practices that must be exercised to ensure effective and judicious fiscal management of the Authority's funds.

Investments are under the custody of the financial officer of each component unit. Investing is performed in accordance with investment policies complying with the State Statues (Texas Government Code, Title 10, Chapter 2256 V.T.C.A. Govt. Sec. 2256 as amended by Act 1996, $74^{\text {th }}$ Legislature, Chapter 402 Section 1, effective September 01, 1996). This law requires the government entity to maintain safety of principal, maintenance of adequate liquidity, desired diversification to maximize rate of return with the previous considerations and have portfolio maturities structured to achieve the highest rate of return of interest consistent with liquidity requirements of cash needs.

The County Investment Policy and Funds Strategy is consistent with this law and states that it will be the objective of Cameron County to earn the maximum rate of return on its investments within the policies imposed by its safety and liquidity objectives and state and federal law governing investment of public funds.

## 4. RECEIVABLES

Receivables consisted of the following at September 30, 2016

|  | Governmental Fund Types | Proprietary Fund Types |  | Total Fund Types |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accounts | \$ 11,828,855 | \$ | 266,057 | \$ 12,094,912 |
| Taxes | 7,197,514 |  | - | 7,197,514 |
| Due from governments | 6,141,391 |  | - | 6,141,391 |
| Gross receivables | 25,167,760 |  | 266,057 | 25,433,817 |
| Less: allowance for uncollectible accounts | 322,635 |  | - | 322,635 |
| Total Net Receivables | \$24,845,125 | \$ | 266,057 | \$25,111,182 |

At September 30, 2016, property tax receivables were reported in the combined balance sheet on page 40 net of an allowance for uncollectible taxes of $\$ 322,635$.

## 5. PROPERTY TAXES

The County adopted the 2015 tax rate, per $\$ 100$ of taxable value, for the Fiscal Year 2015-2016, as follows:

Constitutional Funds
Road Debt Service
Total

| Maintenance and <br> Operation |
| ---: |
| $\$ 0.309920$ |
| 0.044019 |
| $\$ 0.353939$ |

Debt

| Service | Total All |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\$ 0.007216$ | $\$ 0.317136$ |
| 0.038136 |  |
| $\$ 0.045352$ |  |

The County is permitted by law to levy taxes for general fund, jury fund, road and bridge fund and permanent improvement fund purposes up to $\$ 0.80$ per $\$ 100$ of taxable value. The County levied a $\$ 0.399291$ tax rate per $\$ 100$ of taxable valuation subject to the $\$ 0.80$ tax rate limitation, of which $\$ 0.007216$ was Constitutional Funds Debt Service. The Unlimited Tax Road Bonds Tax Rate was $\$ 0.038136$ per $\$ 100$ of taxable value. The County collects its taxes through the Cameron County Tax Assessor-Collector's Office. The County also collects property taxes for the City of Brownsville, Port of Brownsville Navigation District, Cameron County Emergency Services District \#1, South Texas Independent School District, Santa Rosa Independent School District, Brownsville Independent School District, La Feria Independent School District, Texas Southmost College, City of Combes, City of San Benito, Town of Indian Lake, City of Los Fresnos, City of Rio Hondo, City of Santa Rosa, City of Primera, City of La Feria, City of Port Isabel, City of South Padre Island, City of Laguna Vista, City of Los Indios, Town of Bayview, Point Isabel School District, Laguna Madre Water District, Santa Maria Independent School District, the Town of Palm Valley, Town of Rancho Viejo, Paseo de la Resaca 1, 2 and 3, Paseo de la Resaca District, Valley Mud District \#2, and Cameron County Drainage Districts No. 1,3,4 and 5. Collections of the property taxes, and subsequent remittances to the proper entities, are accounted for in the Tax Assessor-Collector's Ad Valorem Tax Fund. Tax collections deposited for the County are distributed periodically to the General Fund, Debt Service Fund and Special Road and Bridge Fund. This distribution is based upon the tax rate established for each fund by order of the Commissioners' Court for the tax year for which collections are made. Property taxes for the County are levied each October $1^{\text {st }}$, on the assessed value of the preceding January $1^{\text {st }}$, for all taxable real and personal property. Taxes are due and payable when levied. On January $1^{\text {st }}$, at the time of assessment, an enforceable lien is attached to the property for property taxes. All tax payments not received by February $1^{\text {st }}$, after the taxes are levied, are considered delinquent. All tax payments not received by July $1^{\text {st }}$, become subject to attorney collection fees, unless a payment arrangement has been made with the Tax Assessor-Collector. Property, for which taxes are delinquent, is subject to foreclosure proceedings. As required by the State Property Tax Code, appraisal values are determined by the Cameron County Appraisal District at $100 \%$ of the appraised market value. The Delinquent Taxes Receivable Account represents uncollected tax levies for the past twenty (20) years. The allowance for estimated uncollectible is $4.69 \%$ of the total delinquent taxes receivable at September 30, 2016.
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## 6. CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016 was as follows:

## Primary Government

Governmental Activities:
Capital Assets, not being depreciated:
Land
Construction in progress

Total capital assets, not being depreciated

| Beginning |
| :--- |
| Balance |

2015 $\quad$| Additions |
| :--- |

Capital assets, being depreciated
Buildings
Improvements other than

| $\begin{array}{ll} \$ & 5,651,424 \\ 7,872,466 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46,193 \\ 9,411,410 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \$ & - \\ (2,421,444) \end{array}$ | \$ - | $\begin{array}{rr} \$, 697,617 \\ & 14,862,432 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13,523,890 | 9,457,603 | $(2,421,444)$ | - | 20,560,049 |
| 98,724,708 | 2,421,444 | - | - | 101,146,152 |
| 11,735,587 | 8,700,000 | - | - | 20,435,587 |
| 43,753,110 | 2,784,674 | $(2,753,172)$ | - | 43,784,612 |
| 305,619,176 | - | - | - | 305,619,176 |
| 459,832,581 | 13,906,118 | $(2,753,172)$ | - | 470,985,527 |

Less accumulated depreciation for:

Buildings
Improvements other than building/other structures Equipment
Infrastructure
Total accumulated depreciation

Total capital assets being depreciated, net 186,072,953

Governmental activities capital assets, net
$(37,335,266) \quad(2,870,583)$

| $(5,044,784)$ | $(176,244)$ | - | - | $(5,221,028)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(37,329,237)$ | $(2,653,253)$ | 2,596,874 | ( 673,779) | $(38,059,395)$ |
| (194,050,341) | (7,733,536) | - | - | $(201,783,877)$ |
| $(273,759,628)$ | $(13,433,616)$ | 2,596,874 | $(673,779)$ | $(285,270,149)$ |


|  | 186,072,953 |  | 472,502 | $(156,298)$ |  | $(673,779)$ | 185,715,378 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 199,596,843 | \$ | 9,930,105 | \$(2,577,742) | \$ | (673,779) | \$ 206,275,427 |
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## 6. CAPITAL ASSETS (continued):

| Business-type activities: Capital assets, not being depreciated: |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Beginning } \\ \text { Balance } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | Additions |  | eletions |  | Ending <br> Balance 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land |  | \$ 6,063,852 | \$ - | \$ | - |  | 6,063,852 |
| Construction in progress |  | 3,580,880 | 1,194,878 |  | 484,398) |  | 4,291,360 |
| Total capital assets, not being depreciated |  | 9,644,732 | 1,194,878 |  | 484,398) |  | 10,355,212 |
| Capital assets, being depreciated: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings |  | 15,291,507 | 190,740 |  | - |  | 15,482,247 |
| Improvements other than buildings |  | 16,544,010 | 1,186,909 |  | - |  | 17,730,919 |
| Equipment |  | 7,674,963 | 1,074,981 |  | - |  | 8,749,944 |
| Other structures |  | 41,365,597 | 59,102 |  | - |  | 41,424,699 |
| Total capital assets, being depreciated |  | 80,876,077 | 2,511,732 |  | - |  | 83,387,809 |
| Less accumulated depreciation for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings |  | $(10,085,591)$ | $(496,315)$ |  | - |  | $(10,581,906)$ |
| Improvements other than buildings |  | $(5,783,930)$ | $(436,711)$ |  | - |  | ( 6,220,641) |
| Equipment |  | $(5,969,638)$ | $(431,942)$ |  | - |  | ( 6,401,580) |
| Other structures |  | $(26,810,361)$ | $(1,296,889)$ |  | - |  | $(28,107,250)$ |
| Total accumulated depreciation |  | $(48,649,520)$ | $(2,661,857)$ |  | - |  | $(51,311,377)$ |
| Total capital assets, being depreciated, net |  | 32,226,557 | ( 150,125) |  | - |  | 32,076,432 |
| Business-type activities capital assets, net | \$ | 41,871,289 | \$1,044,753 | \$ | 484,398) | \$ | 42,431,644 |

Depreciation expense was charged to the functions of the primary government as follows:

| Governmental activities: | $\$ 2,001,439$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| General government | $3,473,906$ |
| Law enforcement and public safety | $7,560,011$ |
| Highways and streets | 152,614 |
| Culture and recreation | 235,807 |
| Health | 9,839 |
| Welfare | $\$ 13,433,616$ |
| Total depreciation expense - governmental activities |  |
| Business-type activities: | $1,422,300$ |
| Bridge system | $1,019,306$ |
| Parks system | 2, |
| Airport system \& | $\$ 2,661,856$ |
| Commissary |  |

## 6. CAPITAL ASSETS (continued):

## COMMITMENTS

## Other Capital Projects:

The International Toll Bridge System undergone canopy refurbishments/construction for all bridges. Canopy costs capitalized are $\$ 275,500$ and Gateway Bridge refurbishments will be finished this year. Collection Toll booth are being refurbished and are scheduled for completion the early part of 2017.

Judicial Courthouse Improvements on the Elevators is now complete with a total amount of $\$ 1.1$ million expended. At the Old County Jail, which has a housing capacity of 258 inmates, all the jail cell doors have been replaced at a costs of $\$ 1.4$ million dollars. The plumbing infrastructure project was completed this year at a total cost of 1.8 M . Although this detention facility is the oldest County jail, it is one of the most secure detention facilities and has an excellent location due to its proximity to the Judicial Building where all the County courts are located.

At the Sheriff's Jail Facility a Magistrate's Courtroom is complete and a move in date is pending delivery of furniture. Operations for the magistration of inmates are presently held at the Detention Facility; with the completion of this facility will greatly relieve congestion and safety concerns. This building was constructed as a full service courtroom with the intent of eventually converting this to a county court or district courtroom at a later date.

From a historical preservation standpoint, Cameron County continues to explore the renovation of the Laiseca Store Building located north of the Dancy Historical Courthouse - a grant application has been submitted seeking assistance in these costs.

The historical Dancy Courthouse renovation of roofing repair and window replacement is a completed project and funds expended were $\$ 1.9$ million.

Major roads scheduled for improvement throughout the County are Primera Road, San Jose Ranch Road, Vermillion Road and the U.S. 77 Parallel Corridor Project. Primera Road project is complete with a County costs of $\$ 2,000,000$. For the San Jose Road project, bridge construction is complete. Road construction will be done in house by County Road and Bridge, costs to date for this road are $\$ 3,733,169$ Vermillion Road project is complete, costs were $\$ 1.5$ million. U.S. 77/83 South Parallel Corridor Project is now open to the public providing an alternate route for traffic from FM1479/Rangerville to FM509/Paso Real. Phase II will connect with FM732 and provide an alternate route for Los Indios traffic and the Los Indios Free Trade Bridge as well as Harlingen. With the increasing population and heavily traveled area this corridor will relieve traffic congestion.

September 30, 2016

## 7. CAPITAL LEASES AND INSTALLMENT PURCHASES

Cameron County entered into several capital lease agreements for the purchase of computers, software, surveillance systems, security scanners, vehicles, and heavy equipment all which are classified as equipment. Principal outstanding totaled $\$ 6,524,552$ at September 30, 2016. Maturities, including interest at an average rate of $3.8 \%$, are as follows:

| Fiscal Year Ending September 30, | General Fund | Road and Bridge Fund | Parks <br> Enterprise <br> Fund | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | \$1,526,210 | \$ 992,588 | \$185,694 | \$2,704,492 |
| 2018 | 1,217,406 | 748,512 | 166,288 | 2,132,206 |
| 2019 | 886,990 | 349,140 | 166,286 | 1,402,416 |
| 2020 | 535,168 | - | - | 535,168 |
| 2020 | - | - | - | - |
| Total future lease payments | 4,165,774 | 2,090,240 | 518,268 | 6,774,282 |
| Less: interest | $(183,751)$ | $(51,564)$ | $(14,415)$ | $(249,730)$ |
| Net Present Value of Future Minimum Lease Payments | \$3,982,023 | \$2,038,676 | \$503,853 | \$6,524,552 |
| Current portion of lease payments | 1,442,715 | 962,408 | 178,393 | 2,583,516 |
| Long Term Lease Payments | \$2,539,308 | \$1,076,268 | \$325,460 | \$3,941,036 |

A historical net book value of equipment acquired under capital leases by asset class is shown on the table below:

## Capital Leases by Asset Class

| Equipment | General Fund | Road and Bridge Fund | Parks <br> Enterprise <br> Fund | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance 10/01/2015 | \$ 7,573,964 | \$ 5,891,940 | \$ 91,086 | \$ 13,556,990 |
| Increases | 797,757 | 842,851 | 450,320 | 2,090,928 |
| Decreases | ( $1,086,501$ ) | ( 275,057) | - | ( 1,361,558) |
| Balance 9/30/2016 | 7,285,220 | 6,459,734 | 541,406 | 14,286,360 |
| Less:accumulated depreciation | ( 5,568,646) | $(4,367,136)$ | ( 38,278) | ( 9,974,060) |
| Carrying value | \$ 1,716,574 | \$ 2,092,598 | \$ 503,128 | \$ 4,312,300 |

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 206,405$ in financing for 7 vehicles and computer based equipment through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A., at a rate of $2.288 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 67,781, \$ 68,797$, and $\$ 69,827$ payable on May, 2017 through May, 2019. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals \$6,217.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 268,220$ in financing for 6 Law Enforcement vehicles, Body Armour vests, administrative vehicle and computer based equipment through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A., at a

## 7. CAPITAL LEASES AND INSTALLMENT PURCHASES (continued)

rate of $2.17 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 88,149, \$ 89,401$, and $\$ 90,670$ payable on July, 2017 through March, 2019. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 7,656$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 305,756$ in financing for computer related software and equipment, administrative vehicle (1) and Law Enforcement equipment for vehicles through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A., at a rate of $2.47 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 100,283, \$ 101,910$, and $\$ 103,563$ payable on August, 2017 through August, 2019. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 9,972$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 243,461$ in financing for computer related software and equipment through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A., at a rate of $2.42 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 79,877, \$ 81,147$, and $\$ 82,437$ payable on Septembert, 2017 through September, 2019. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 7,780$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 113,829$ in financing for security system, body armor, office equipment and computer based equipment through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A., at a rate of $1.51 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 37,375, \$ 37,940$, and $\$ 38,513$ payable on March, 2016 through March, 2018. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 3,458$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 195,782$ in financing for body armor and law enforcement equipment and computer based equipment through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A., at a rate of $1.45 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 64,322, \$ 65,256$, and $\$ 66,204$ payable on May, 2016 through May, 2018. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 5,717$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 196,093$ in financing for four (4) vehicles and body armor law enforcement equipment through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A., at a rate of $1.58 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 67,445$ payable on June, 2016 through June, 2018. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 6,241$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 132,532$ in financing for three (3) vehicles and body armor law enforcement equipment, computer related equipment and one (1) patrol golf cart through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A., at a rate of $1.47 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 45,485$ payable on September, 2016 through September, 2018. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 3,922$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 173,482$ in financing for one (1) law enforcement vehicle, computer based equipment and related and air conditioning equipment through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A., at a rate of $1.28 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 57,094, \$ 57,824$, and $\$ 58,564$ payable on April, 2015 through April, 2017. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 4,460$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 284,215$ in financing for nine (9) vehicles, law enforcement equipment and computer and related equipment through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A., at a rate of $1.4 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 93,454, \$ 94,733$ and $\$ 96,029$ payable on August, 2015 through August, 2017. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 7,814$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 121,634$ in financing for computer equipment and related and one (1) heavy duty trailer through the

## 7. CAPITAL LEASES AND INSTALLMENT PURCHASES (continued)

County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A., at a rate of $1.3 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 40,018, \$ 40,542$ and $\$ 41,073$ payable on June 2015 through June 2017. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 3,201$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 107,951$ in financing for four (4) vehicles and computer and related equipment through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A. at a rate of $1.4 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 35,473$, $\$ 35,981$ and $\$ 36,497$ payable on September 2015 through September 2017. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 3,110$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 299,053$ in financing for three (3) vehicles, computers and related equipment and one (1) water truck through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A. at a rate of $1.4 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 98,269, \$ 99,677$ and $\$ 101,106$ payable on September 2015 through September 2017. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 8,615$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Capital One Public Funding, LLC dated August 12, 2009 to provide $\$ 495,062$ in financing for equipment in Energy Management Project through the County's master lease agreement with Capital One Public Funding, LLC, at a rate of $3.77 \%$, with seven payments of $\$ 70,723$ payable on January, 2012 through January, 2018. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 66,730$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Motorola Solutions, Inc. during fiscal year 2012 to provide $\$ 3,368,893$ in financing for Motorola communication equipment at a rate of $2.53 \%$, with seven payments of $\$ 535,167$ payable on October 01, 2013 through October 01, 2019. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 377,281$.

## Special Revenue Fund lease additions:

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 173,581$ in financing for Road and Bridge Heavy equipment and one (1) wheel loader trucks through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A. at a rate of $2.36 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 56,972, \$ 57,856$ and $\$ 58,753$ payable on March, 2017 through March, 2019. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 5,410$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 351,645$ in financing for Road and Bridge Backhoe Loader and one (1) Double Drum Roller through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A. at a rate of $2.29 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 115,476, \$ 117,206$ and $\$ 118,963$ payable on May, 2017 through May, 2019. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 10,591$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 491,205$ in financing for Road and Bridge Caterpillar Dump Truck, three (3) JD Tractors and rotary cutters and related and two (2) Pickups through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A. at a rate of $2.32 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 161,275, \$ 163,722$ and $\$ 166,208$ payable on August, 2017 through August, 2019. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 14,988$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 533,171$ in financing for Road and Bridge Heavy equipment and two (2) trucks through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A. at a rate of $1.49 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 175,109$, $\$ 177,711$ and $\$ 180,351$ payable on April, 2016 through April, 2018. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 15,918$.

September 30, 2016

## 7. CAPITAL LEASES AND INSTALLMENT PURCHASES (continued)

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 159,729$ in financing for Road and Bridge heavy equipment and three (3) trucks through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A. at a rate of $1.46 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 54,805$ payable on June, 2016 through June, 2018. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 4,685$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 470,682$ in financing for two (2) Dump Trucks, two (2) Water Trucks and one (1) truck through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A. at a rate of $1.47 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 161,537$ payable on September, 2016 through September, 2018. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 13,929$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 223,813$ in financing for Road and Bridge Heavy equipment through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A. at a rate of $1.30 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 73,643, \$ 74,600$ and $\$ 75,570$ payable on April, 2015 through April, 2017. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 5,844$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 147,445$ in financing for Road and Bridge heavy equipment, one (1) vehicle and computer and related equipment through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A. at a rate of $1.33 \%$, with 3 payments of $\$ 48,500, \$ 49,145$ and $\$ 49,799$ payable on June, 2015 thru June, 2017. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 3,938$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 169,463$ in financing for Road and Bridge for a Super Duty Pickup and Freightliner Dump Truck through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A. at a rate of $1.32 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 55,750, \$ 56,484$ and $\$ 57,228$ payable on July, 2015 thru July, 2017. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 4,481$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 144,353$ in financing for Road and Bridge heavy equipment and three (3) vehicles through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A. at a rate of $1.37 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 47,462$, $\$ 48,115$ and $\$ 48,776$ payable on Sept, 2015 thru Sept, 2017. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 3,988$.

## Enterprise Fund lease additions:

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 180,869$ in financing for County Parks equipment, one (1) Polaris and five (5) vehicles through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A. at a rate of 2.17 with three payments of $\$ 59,441, \$ 60,286$ and $\$ 61,142$ payable on July, 2017 through July, 2019. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 5,163$.

Cameron County entered into an agreement with Bank of America, N.A. dated June 20, 2013 to provide $\$ 144,054$ in financing for County Parks maintenance equipment, one (1) JD cab tractor with related and two (2) JD riding lawnmowers through the County's master lease agreement with Bank of America, N.A. at a rate of $2.32 \%$, with three payments of $\$ 47,296, \$ 48,015$ and $\$ 48,743$ payable on August, 2017 through August, 2019. Interest to be paid during the term of the lease totals $\$ 4,395$.

## 8. OPERATING LEASES/RENTALS

Cameron County is committed under various leases for office space, vehicles, land and equipment. These leases are considered operating leases. Lease expenditures for real estate space were $\$ 182,836$ and equipment rental paid was $\$ 586,577$ for the year ended September 30, 2016. All operating leases contain cancellation clauses, making the leases subject to cancellation upon non-appropriation of public funds.

Cameron County Commissioners' Court entered into an agreement with Texas Cable Partners, L.P., d.b.a. Time Warner Communications (TWC) to provide multi-channel video services for the tenants renting in the Isla Blanca Park. The initial term of the agreement is for five years commencing April 9,2015. As of $09 / 30 / 2016$ the monthly fee is equivalent to 7.50 (plus tax) per unit costing $\$ 4,305$ per month. TWC may not increase the monthly fee during the first two years; thereafter monthly rates may increase at any time upon 30 days notice to Cameron County. Rates increases shall not exceed 3\% during any calendar year.

## 9. ENCUMBRANCES

The County uses encumbrances to control expenditure commitments throughout the year. Encumbrances represent commitments related to contracts not yet performed or purchase orders not yet filled. Encumbrances that are not subject to contract performance are disencumbered at fiscal year-end. Encumbrances related to contract performance as 9/30/16 are as follow:

## RESTRICTED

| Major Governmental Fund | $\$$ | $1,042,992$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nonmajor Governmental Fund | $\$$ | $1,290,837$ |

## 10. INTERFUND TRANSFERS, RECEIVABLES AND PAYABLES

Interfund receivables consisted of the following at September 30, 2016:

| Receivable Fund | Payable Fund | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund | Enterprise Fund | \$ | 3,134,620 |
|  | Nonmajor Governmental Fund |  | 4,124,056 |
| Major Governmental Fund <br> Nonmajor Govenmental Fund | General Fund |  | 25,355 |
|  | Nonmajor Governmental Fund |  | 7,119,057 |
|  | General Fund |  | 1,216,553 |
| Road and Bridge | Nonmajor Governmental Fund |  | 2,579,419 |
| TOTAL |  | \$ | 18,199,060 |

a. The purpose of interfund balances is to provide working capital on a temporary basis to non-major governmental funds while waiting to be reimbursed from the funding source of individual projects.
b. Interfund balances are all expected to be repaid within one year from the date of the financial statements.

## 10. INTERFUND TRANSFERS, RECEIVABLES AND PAYABLES (continued)

Interfund transfers during the year-ended September 30, 2016, are as follows:
*Enterprise Fund transfers to General Fund in FY16 were \$8,220,242.

| Transfers Out: | General Fund | Transfers In: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Road and Bridge Fund | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & \text { CO's } \\ & \text { Fund } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Other Gov. <br> Funds | Gov. Act. Intl. Svc. Fund | Enterprise Funds |  | Total |
| General Fund | \$ | \$ 800,000 | \$ | - | \$ 372,262 | \$2,286,490 | \$ | - | \$ 3,458,752 |
| Road and Bridge | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | - |
| 2016 CO's | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | - |
| Other Gov. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Funds | 25,982 | - |  | - | 762,097 | - |  | - | 788,079 |
| Gov. Act. Intl. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sve. Fund | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| Enterprise Funds | 8,220,242 | - |  | - | 577,437 | - |  | - | 8,797,679 |
|  | \$8,246,224 | \$ 800,000 | \$ | - | \$1,711,796 | \$2,286,490 | \$ | - | \$13,044,510 |

a. The principal purpose of the interfund transfers is to provide matching funds for grants in the governmental funds. The transfers from the Enterprise fund to the general fund is distribution of proceeds above the enterprise operation costs, annual debt service and capital costs as defined by various interlocal agreements with cities within the county.
b. The intended purpose of these distributions is regular in nature and they are specifically contemplated in both the operations of the International Toll Bridge System and their official borrowing documents.

## 11. COMPENSATED ABSENCES

Compensated absences are made up of time earned by employees for vacation and compensatory time that would be paid off if the employee leaves the County. County policy requires employees to use their vacation time in the year earned with the exception of being able to carry over a balance to the first quarter of the following year. County policy only allows compensatory time to be accrued up to 24 hours. The following shows the change in compensated absences and the balance due, which is all current, at September 30, 2016.

Beginning balance at October 1, 2015

| Governmental <br> Activities |
| :--- |
| $\$$$1,265,397$ <br> $2,898,717$ <br> $(2,765,544)$ <br> $\$ \quad 1,398,570$${ }^{2}$ |


| Business-Type <br> Activities |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$ \quad 82,083$ |  |
| 272,975 |  |
| $(269,949)$ |  |
| $\$ \quad 85,109$ |  |

The General Fund has typically been used to liquidate the liability for Governmental compensated absences. Business-Type Activities are compensated through each respective Enterprise Fund.

## 12. LONG TERM DEBT

## GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES

## A. GENERAL OBLIGATION AND CERTIFICATE OF OBLIGATION BONDS

Bonded debt of the County consists of various issues of General Obligation Bonds, Certificates of Obligation and Revenue Bonds. General Obligation Bonds and Certificates of Obligation are direct obligations of the County with the County's full faith and credit pledged towards the payment of these obligations. General Obligation Bonds are issued upon approval by the public at open elections. Certificates of Obligation are issued by the order of Commissioners' Court as pursuant to the general laws of the State of Texas, particularly the Certificates of Obligation Act, Subchapter C of Chapter 271, Texas Local Government Code.

Certificates of Obligation are issued to provide funds for the acquisition and construction of major capital facilities, obligations have been issued for both governmental and business type activities.

Debt service is paid from ad valorem taxes. Revenue bonds are generally payable from the pledged revenue generated by the respective activity for which the bonds are issued.

The changes in General Bonded Obligation Debt are summarized as follows:


The annual requirements to amortize all general bonded obligation debt outstanding as of September 30, 2016 are as follows:

|  | Certificates of Obligation |  |  |  |  |  | General Obligation Bonds |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Ending September 30, | Principal |  | Interest |  | Principal |  | Interest |  | Total |  |
| 2017 | \$ | 6,350,000 | \$ | 4,448,997 | \$ | 115,000 | \$ | 9,293 | \$ | 10,923,290 |
| 2018 |  | 6,615,000 |  | 4,368,776 |  | 120,000 |  | 3,180 |  | 11,106,956 |
| 2019 |  | 7,480,000 |  | 4,103,719 |  | - |  | - |  | 11,583,719 |
| 2020 |  | 7,855,000 |  | 3,805,522 |  | - |  | - |  | 11,660,522 |
| 2021 |  | 7,590,000 |  | 3,493,669 |  | - |  | - |  | 11,083,669 |
| 2022-2026 |  | 33,740,000 |  | 12,908,153 |  | - |  | - |  | 46,648,153 |
| 2027-2031 |  | 26,980,000 |  | 6,516,710 |  | - |  | - |  | 33,496,710 |
| 2032-2036 |  | 16,390,000 |  | 1,685,506 |  | - |  | - |  | 18,075,506 |
|  |  | 113,000,000 | \$ | 41,331,052 | \$ | 235,000 | \$ | 12,473 |  | 154,578,525 |

## 12. LONG TERM DEBT (continued)

## A. GENERAL OBLIGATION AND CERTIFICATE OF OBLIGATION BONDS (continued)

Bonds and certificates of obligation payable were comprised of the following individual issues at September 30, 2016:

## GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS:

## Road Bonds:

\$2,575,000 Unlimited Tax Road Bonds, Series 2008
due in annual principal installments of $\$ 80,000$
to $\$ 215,000$ through February 15, 2028, plus interest rates ranging from $5.0 \%$ to $6.125 \%$, issued for road improvements.

## Total General Obligation Bonds

## Certificates of Obligation:

$\$ 16,075,000$ Certificates of Obligation, Series 2008
due in annual principal installments of $\$ 505,000$ to 1,350,000 through February 15, 2028, plus interest at rates ranging from $5.0 \%$ to $6 \%$, for capital improvements.
\$5,560,000 Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2011
due in annual principal installments of $\$ 175,000$ to $\$ 1,660,000$ through February 15, 2022, plus interest at rates ranging from $2 \%$ to $5.0 \%$, refunding previously issued bonds.
\$23,570,000 Certificates of Obligation, Series 2011 due in annual principal installments of $\$ 150,000$ to 1,840,000 through February 15, 2031, plus interest at rates ranging from $2.0 \%$ to $5.0 \%$., for capital projects and road improvements.
\$9,610,000 Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2012 due in annual principal installments of $\$ 120,000$ to 1,080,000 through February 15, 2025, plus interest at rates ranging from $2.0 \%$ to $3.5 \%$., for debt service savings and to pay cost of issuance of the bond.
$\$ 16,500,000$ Certificates of Obligation, Series 2014
due in annual principal installments of $\$ 410,000$ to $1,175,000$
through February 2034, plus interest at rates ranging from $2.0 \%$ to $4.5 \%$, for capital projects and improvements to County Facilities
County Facilies


| $\$ \underline{235,000}$ | $\$ \underline{115,000}$ | $\$ \underline{120,000}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ \underline{235,000}$ | $\$ \underline{115,000}$ | $\$ \underline{120,000}$ |

$$
\$ 1,470,000 \quad \$ 715,000 \quad \$ 755,000
$$

$4,520,000 \quad 300,000 \quad 4,220,000$
$15,455,000 \quad 650,000 \quad 14,805,000$

## 12. LONG TERM DEBT (continued)

## A. GENERAL OBLIGATION AND CERTIFICATE OF OBLIGATION BONDS (continued)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outstanding } \\ \text { Balance } \\ \text { September 30, } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Less Current <br> Maturities (to be paid in FY 2016-2017) | Long-Term Maturities September 30 $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$8,435,000 Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2014 |  |  |  |
| due in annual principal installments of $\$ 30,000$ to $1,835,000$ through February 2020, plus interest at rates ranging from |  |  |  |
| $2.0 \%$ to $5.0 \%$, for debt service savings and to pay issuance costs | 6,570,000 | 1,300,000 | 5,270,000 |
| \$18,100,000 Limited Tax Refunding, Series 2015 |  |  |  |
| due in annual principal installments of \$415,000 to 2,065,000 through February 2028, plus interest at rates ranging from |  |  |  |
| $3.0 \%$ to $5.0 \%$, for debt service savings and to pay issuance costs | 18,100,000 | 415,000 | 17,685,000 |
| \$16,260,000 Certificates of Obligation, Series 2016A |  |  |  |
| due in annual principal installments of $\$ 350,000$ to $1,220,000$ through February 2036, plus interest at rates ranging from |  |  |  |
| $2.0 \%$ to $5.0 \%$, for debt service savings and to pay issuance costs | 16,260,000 | 350,000 | 15,910,000 |
| \$21,160,000 Certificates of Obligation, Series 2016B |  |  |  |
| due in annual principal installments of $\$ 400,000$ to $1,615,000$ through February 2036, plus interest at rates ranging from |  |  |  |
| $2.0 \%$ to $5.0 \%$, for debt service savings and to pay issuance costs | 21,160,000 | 400,000 | 20,760,000 |
| Total Certificates of Obligation | 113,000,000 | 6,350,000 | 106,650,000 |
| Total Debt | 113,235,000 | 6,465,000 | 106,770,000 |
| Less: Unamortized bond insurance cost | $(239,925)$ | $(21,770)$ | ( 218,155) |
| Unamortized premium | 4,923,951 | 354,910 | 4,569,041 |
| Total Debt | \$ 117,919,026 | \$6,798,140 \$ | \$111,120,886 |

Cameron County issued \$16,260,000 Certificates of Obligation, Series 2016A dated September 1, 2016 to pay for contractual obligations to be incurred in connection with the design, planning, acquisition, construction, equipping, expansion, repair and/or renovation of 1) Dancy Courthouse, including Terra Cotta; 2) Wells Fargo Building for County offices; 3) County IT Center relocation; 4) Vehicle Maintenance Building; 5) Precincct offices and warehouses; 6) Judicial and Administrative facilities renovation; 7) County Jail; 8) Darrell Hester Facility; 9) County buildings roof repair/replacement; 10) Rural street lights and to pay costs of issuance costs of Certificates. This issuance had a premium of $\$ 2,403,962$. The annual interest rates range from $2.0 \%$ to $5.0 \%$. Interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2036.

Cameron County issued $\$ 21,160,000$ Certificates of Obligation, Series 2016B dated September 1, 2016 for the purpose of providing for the payment of contractual obligations to be incurred in connection with the design, planning, acquisition, construction, equipping, expansion, repair, and/or renovation of County Parks, including Isla Blanca Park, Andy Bowie Park, EK Atwood Park, Olmito Community Park and to pay costs of issuance of the Certificates. This is a debt obligation issued by Cameron County utilizing county long term borrowing power that will be paid by Cameron County Parks System, a business-type activity fund. This Obligation was issued by Cameron County and is listed as County debt and in the

## 12. LONG TERM DEBT (continued)

## A. GENERAL OBLIGATION AND CERTIFICATE OF OBLIGATION BONDS (continued)

Enterprise Fund Debt Obligation as County Parks activity will fulfill this obligation. This issuance had a premium of $\$ 3,390,116$. The annual interest rates range from $2.0 \%$ to $5.0 \%$. Interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2036.

Cameron County issued $\$ 16,500,000$ Certificates of Obligation, Series 2014 dated March 13, 2014 to pay for contractual obligations to be incurred in connection with the design, planning, acquisition, construction, equipping, expansion, repair and/or renovation of certain public property specifically improvements/rehabilitation to the judicial courthouse, the Sheriff's building, Dancy Courthouse, Carrizalez Rucker Detention Center, BISD acquired buildings, Joe G Rivera and Aurora de la Garza building and replacement of Old County Jail cell doors. Construction projects included courtrooms at the Detention Center and improvements or construction of a new animal shelter and rehabilitation/improvements to County roads.

Cameron County issued $\$ 23,570,000$ Certificates of Obligation, Series 2011 dated June 9, 2011 to pay for contractual obligations to be incurred in connection with the design, planning, acquisition, construction, equipping, expansion, and/or renovation of certain public property, specifically being the roof renovations/replacements at Detention Center 1 and 2 and other County buildings, as well as terra cotta improvements at the Dancy Courthouse; air condition units or a chiller system for the Carrizales Rucker Detention Center, County Jail shower replacements; County Sheriff's Office chiller system; improvements to the old County Jail, Lucio Clinic renovation; Judicial Courthouse improvements and County Judicial computer system software; expansion costs for the Veteran's Trade Bridge; planning, traffic and revenue studies, schematic and environmental studies for the future Flor de Mayo Bridge; sidewalk and canopy improvements at the Gateway International Bridge; toll collection system upgrade for the international bridge system; acquisition of land and construction of a County Annex Building in Los Fresnos; acquisition of land and construction of a Community Center in Olmito; street light infrastructure improvements; improvements at the Pedro "Pete" Benavides County Park and Browne Road Social Service Center; constructing road improvements including improvements to Primera Road, San Jose Ranch Road, Old Alice Road, Vermillion Road, the U.S. 77 Parallel Corridor Project; acquisition of the Pacheco Building across the Dancy Courthouse and renovations to said building; improvements to the Laiseca Store Building and the payment of contractual obligations for professional services in connection with such projects (including, but not limited to, architectural and engineering); and to pay costs of issuance of the Certificates. Capital improvement costs for the International Toll Bridge System are $\$ 4,494,000$ and Cameron County Parks System is $\$ 1,897,600$ and this business activity debt is recognized in the Enterprise Fund Debt Obligation. Cameron County utilized long term borrowing for debt financed capital improvements for proprietary funds for the International Toll Bridge and Parks System. This issuance had a premium of $\$ 531,156$. The annual interest rates range from $2.0 \%$ to $5.0 \%$. Interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2031.

Cameron County issued $\$ 16,075,000$ Certificates of Obligation, Series 2008 dated October 15, 2008 to pay for obligations to be incurred in connection with the design, planning, acquisition, construction, equipping, expansion, and/or renovation of certain public property, specifically being the Carrizalez Rucker Detention Center; district courtroom improvements and judicial computer system software; engineering and construction costs for the Veteran's and Free Trade Bridges; design, planning, acquisition, construction of right-of-ways for the Flor de Mayo Bridge; renovation of county buildings located across the Dancy County Courthouse; and the payment of contractual obligations for professional services in connection with such projects (including, but not limited to, financial advisory, legal, architectural and engineering). Capital improvement costs for the International Toll Bridge System are $\$ 9,100,000$. Debt financed capital improvements for the International Bridge System is recognized as an outstanding obligation of this proprietary fund and the International Toll Bridge System has recognized this debt. The issuance had a premium of $\$ 222,184$. The annual interest rates range from $5.0 \%$ to $6.0 \%$. Interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2028.

## 12. LONG TERM DEBT (continued)

## ENTERPRISE FUND DEBT OBLIGATION

Certificates of Obligations issued by Cameron County for capital improvements of International Toll Bridge which will be paid by this Enterprise Fund including interest payments are as follow:

| YEAR ENDING |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEPTEMBER 30, | PRINCIPAL | INTEREST | AMOUNT |
| 2017 | \$ 805,441 | \$ 474,767 | \$1,280,208 |
| 2018 | 785,824 | 438,814 | 1,224,638 |
| 2019 | 664,336 | 405,685 | 1,070,021 |
| 2020 | 696,000 | 374,325 | 1,070,325 |
| 2021 | 760,273 | 340,638 | 1,100,911 |
| 2022-2026 | 4,379,719 | 1,094,654 | 5,474,373 |
| 2027-2031 | 2,774,122 | 225,030 | 2,999,152 |
| TOTAL | \$10,865,715 | \$ 3,353,913 | \$ 14,219,628 |

Cameron County issued $\$ 21,160,000$ Certificates of Obligation, Series 2016B dated September 1, 2016 for the purpose of providing for the payment of contractual obligations to be incurred in connection with the design, planning, acquisition, construction, equipping, expansion, repair, and/or renovation of County Parks, including Isla Blanca Park, Andy Bowie Park, EK Atwood Park, Olmito Community Park and to pay costs of issuance of the Certificates. This is a debt obligation issued by Cameron County utilizing county long term borrowing power that will be paid by Cameron County Parks System, a proprietary fund. This issuance had a premium of $\$ 3,390,116$. The annual interest rates range from $2.0 \%$ to $5.0 \%$. Interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2036.

The County issued Certificates of Obligation Series 2011 in the amount of $\$ 23,570,000$ on June 1, 2011. These 2011 CO's included funds of $\$ 1,897,600$ to be used for the payment of contractual obligations to be incurred in connection with the construction of a Community Center in Olmito and for improvements to Benavides County Park and Browne Road Social Service Center. This is a debt obligation issued by Cameron County utilizing county long term borrowing power that will be paid by Cameron County Parks System, a proprietary fund.

Certificates of Obligations issued by Cameron County for capital improvements of Cameron County Parks System which will be paid by this Enterprise Fund including interest payments are as follow:

| YEAR ENDING |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEPTEMBER 30, | PRINCIPAL | INTEREST | AMOUNT |
| 2017 | \$ 633,640 | \$ 1,124,592 | \$ 1,758,232 |
| 2018 | 668,865 | 1,194,233 | 1,863,098 |
| 2019 | 1,209,552 | 1,162,980 | 2,372,532 |
| 2020 | 1,340,461 | 1,115,398 | 2,455,859 |
| 2021 | 1,426,021 | 1,059,609 | 2,485,630 |
| 2022-2026 | 8,120,784 | 4,279,015 | 12,399,799 |
| 2027-2031 | 7,598,146 | 2,516,588 | 10,114,734 |
| 2032-2036 | 7,415,002 | 833,774 | 8,248,776 |
| TOTAL | \$28,412,471 | \$13,286,189 | \$41,698,660 |

## 12. LONG TERM DEBT (continued)

## B. CAPITAL LEASES

The annual requirements to retire general non-bonded debt outstanding at September 30, 2016, are as follows:
Changes in General Capital Leases Debt

Capital Leases Debt
Capital leases payable at October 1, 2015
Debt issued
Debt retired
Capital Leases payable at September 30, 2016 (Note 7)
\$5,792,020
2,524,974
(1,792,442)
\$6,524,552

The annual requirements to retire general non-bonded debt outstanding at September 30, 2016 are reported in Note 7.

## C. ADVANCED REFUNDING AND DEFEASED DEBT

Cameron County issued \$8,435,000 Limited Tax Refunding Bonds Series 2014 dated October 15, 2014 for the purpose of refunding outstanding obligations of the County, for debt service savings and to pay costs of issuance of the Bonds. The proceeds were used to refund outstanding Certificates of Obligation Series 2005 \$590,000, Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2005 \$8,075,000 and Unlimited Tax Road Bonds, Series $2005 \$ 425,000$ carrying an initial principal amount of $\$ 9,090,000$. The net proceeds realized from this issuance were $\$ 9,304,497$ which includes a premium of $\$ 921,295$, issuance cost, underwriter's fees and escrow agent fees of $\$ 180,722$ and an Issuer contribution of $\$ 128,924$. Net present value savings of $\$ 711,199$ were realized as a result of this Refunding.

The net proceeds from the issuance of the Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2014 have been deposited into the "Escrow Fund", as defined in the Escrow Agreement between the Issuer and Escrow Agent. Investments are authorized for purchase of obligations of the United States and obligations of agencies or instrumentalities of the United States. By the deposit of the Federal Securities and cash with Escrow Agent pursuant to Escrow Agreement, the Refunded Obligations are deemed to be paid in full and considered as a defeasance in accordance with law.

On March 3, 2015 Cameron County issued \$18,100,000 Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2015 for the purpose of refunding certain obligations of the county. Obligations refunded were Unlimited Tax Road Bonds, Series 2005 \$525,000, Certificates of Obligation, Series 2007 \$5,645,000, Certificates of Obligations, Series 2008 \$10,490,000 and Unlimited Tax Road Bonds, Series 2008 \$1,675,000 with interest rates ranging from $3.00 \%$ to $5.00 \%$. The Bonds were sold for a purchase price of $\$ 20,339,119$ representing the principal amount of the Bonds of $\$ 18,100,000$ (which is less than the principal refunded bonds of $\$ 18,345,000$ ), plus a premium of $\$ 2,338,519$, less an Underwriters' discount of $\$ 99,399$. County's contribution of $\$ 177,529$ and issuance costs, paying and escrow agent fees accounted for a total of $\$ 20,332,410$ being deposited with Escrow Agent into the Escrow Fund in accordance with Escrow Agreement. This refunding resulted in a net present value savings of $\$ 1,587,781$ and a gross savings of $\$ 1,903,134$.

The net proceeds of $\$ 20,332,410$ have been verified and deposited pursuant to Escrow Agreement. Accuracy and sufficiency of such amounts as deposited has been confirmed by Financial Advisor and investments are to be made only in U.S. Treasury Securities and obligations of agencies or instrumentalities of the United States. Pursuant to the Escrow Agreement these refunded obligations are no longer outstanding or unpaid.

On June 07, 2012, the County Issued Limited Tax Refunding Bonds Series 2012 in the amount of $\$ 9,610,000$ with interest rates ranging from $2.0 \%$ to $3.5 \%$. The proceeds were used to refund $\$ 6,635,000$ of outstanding Certificates of Obligation, Series 2005 and $\$ 2,420,000$ outstanding Certificates of Obligation, Series 2004, with interest rates ranging from $2.0 \%-4.5 \%$. The 2012 refunding bonds carried an initial principal amount of $\$ 9,610,000$ but were sold at a net premium of $\$ 437,592$. After the County contributed $\$ 59,498$ and after paying issuance cost, insurance premium, and underwriting fees of $\$ 241,518$ the net proceeds were \$9,865,572.

## 12. LONG TERM DEBT (continued)

## C. ADVANCED REFUNDING AND DEFEASED DEBT (continued)

The net proceeds from the issuance of the Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2012 have been deposited in the Escrow Fund to refund the Refunded Obligations. The accuracy and sufficiency of such deposits to make payments has been confirmed by The Financial Advisor and such amounts will be held in an escrow fund under an Escrow Agreement dated September 1, 2012 between the Issuer and the Escrow Agent. All investments are to be made only in U.S. Treasury Securities and all such receipts will be applied to the payment of principal of and interest on the Refunded Obligations or will be held uninvested as cash in the Escrow Fund until the next date for payment of interest on the Refunded Obligations. The advance refunding has met the requirements of an in-substance debt defeasance and the Refunded Obligations are deemed to have been fully paid and no longer outstanding, except for the purpose of receiving payments from the deposited bond proceeds and any cash held for such purpose by the Escrow Agent for the Refunded Obligations and such Refunded Obligations will not be deemed as being outstanding obligations of the County payable from taxes nor for the purpose of applying any limitation on the issuance of debt. The purpose of this Refunding was to restructure debt service payments, to obtain net present value savings of $\$ 576,928$ and for the payment of related Refunding Bond issuance costs.

On June 1, 2011 the County issued Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2011 in the amount of \$5,560,000 to refund the Certificates of Obligation, Series 2002, Unlimited Tax Road Bonds, Series 2002 and the Certificates of Obligation, Series 2004, in the amounts of $\$ 4,910,000, \$ 675,000$ and $\$ 50,000$, respectively. The 2011 refunding bonds carried an initial principal amount of $\$ 5,560,000$ but were sold at a net premium of $\$ 398,122$ with accrued interest of $\$ 17,849$. After the County contributed $\$ 99,707$ and after paying issuance cost of $\$ 157,137$ and $\$ 17,849$ of accrued interest, the net proceeds were $\$ 5,918,541$.

The net proceeds from the issuance of the Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2011 have been deposited in the Escrow Fund to refund the Refunded Obligations. The accuracy and sufficiency of such deposits to make payments has been confirmed by The Financial Advisor and such amounts will be held in an escrow fund under an Escrow Agreement dated June 1, 2011 between the Issuer and the Escrow Agent. All investments are to be made only in U.S. Treasury Securities and all such receipts will be applied to the payment of principal of and interest on the Refunded Obligations or will be held uninvested as cash in the Escrow Fund until the next date for payment of interest on the Refunded Obligations. The advance refunding has met the requirements of an in-substance debt defeasance and the Refunded Obligations are deemed to have been fully paid and no longer outstanding, except for the purpose of receiving payments from the deposited bond proceeds and any cash held for such purpose by the Escrow Agent for the Refunded Obligations and such Refunded Obligations will not be deemed as being outstanding obligations of the County payable from taxes nor for the purpose of applying any limitation on the issuance of debt. The purpose of this Refunding was to restructure debt service payments, net present value savings of $\$ 140,086$ and for the payment of related Refunding Bond issuance costs.

In prior years, the County has defeased various bond issues by creating separate, irrevocable trust funds. New debt has been issued and proceeds were used to purchase U.S. government securities placed in the trust funds. The investments and fixed earnings from the investments are sufficient to fully service the defeased debt until the debt is called or matures. For financial reporting purposes, this debt has been considered defeased and therefore, removed as a liability from the governmental activities column of the statement of net assets.

## 12. LONG TERM DEBT (continued)

## D. BUSINESS-TYPE ACTIVITIES

## Revenue Bonds and Certificates of Obligation

## International Toll Bridge System

Cameron County issued \$7,950,000 International Toll Bridge System Revenue Bonds, Series 1998 on September 10, 1998, the final debt issuance for the construction of Veterans International Bridge. Proceeds from the $\$ 7,950,000$ Cameron County, Texas International Toll Bridge System Revenue Bonds, Series 1998 were placed in the construction fund. Total debt issued for the construction of the Veterans International Bridge project totaled $\$ 17,630,000$.

The changes in Business-type activities total debt are summarized as follows:

| Debt payable at October 1, 2015 | $\$ 3,660,000$ <br> $(970,000)$ <br> Debt retired |
| :--- | ---: |
| Less unamortized discount and premium cost | $(53,093)$ |
| Debt payable at September 30, 2016 | $\$ 2,636,907$ |

Business Type Debt includes the following individual issuances at September 30, 2016:


Interest on the International Toll Bridge System debt is paid each May 1 and November 1 and interest on the Park System debt is paid each June 1 and December 1. Principal and interest payments constitute direct obligations of the County payable from a combination of a pledge of net revenues, derived from the operation of the Systems, and the levy and collection of a direct and continuing ad valorem tax, within the limits prescribed by law, on all taxable property located within the County.

Business-type activity bonded debt is secured by, and payable with the net revenues of enterprise activities. The annual requirements to amortize all revenue bonded debt outstanding as of September 30, 2016 are as follows:

September 30, 2016

## 12. LONG TERM DEBT (continued)

## D. BUSINESS-TYPE ACTIVITIES (continued)

| Year Ending | International Toll Bridge System |  |  | Parks System |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30, | Principal | Interest |  | Principal | Interest |  |
| 2017 | \$ 1,015,000 | \$ | 94,494 | - | - | \$ 1,109,494 |
| 2018 | 1,060,000 |  | 50,675 | - | - | 1,110,675 |
| 2019 | 615,000 |  | 14,145 | - | - | 629,145 |
|  | \$ 2,690,000 |  | 159,314 | - | - | \$ 2,849,314 |

## Bond Indenture Requirements

During the period in which the bonds are outstanding, the International Toll Bridge Bond Resolution and Order requires the County to create and maintain certain accounts or "funds" to receive the gross revenues derived from the operations of the System. In accordance with the terms of the Bond Resolution, these assets can be used to 1) pay the maintenance and operating expenses of the System, 2) pay the debt service costs of the System's revenue bonds, and 3) pay for any ordinary maintenance, repairs, or replacements to the System's property. Any remaining revenues may be transferred to other County funds or used for the purchase of the System's outstanding revenue bonds at a price less than par and accrued interest. During fiscal year 1984, a "fund" was established to control the expenditure of the proceeds of a bond sale. The "fund" was established to pay for certain construction work to be performed on the System.

## Advance Refunding

On March 3, 2015 Cameron County issued \$18,100,000 Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2015 for the purpose of refunding certain obligations of the county. Obligations refunded were Unlimited Tax Road Bonds, Series $2005 \$ 525,000$, Certificates of Obligation, Series $2007 \$ 5,645,000$, Certificates of Obligations, Series 2008 \$10,490,000 and Unlimited Tax Road Bonds, Series 2008 \$1,675,000 with interest rates ranging from $3.00 \%$ to $5.00 \%$. The Bonds were sold for a purchase price of $\$ 20,339,119$ representing the principal amount of the Bonds of $\$ 18,100,000$ (which is less than the principal refunded bonds of $\$ 18,345,000$ ), plus a premium of $\$ 2,338,519$, less an Underwriters' discount of $\$ 99,399$. County's contribution of $\$ 177,529$ and issuance costs, paying and escrow agent fees accounted for a total of \$20,332,410 being deposited with Escrow Agent into the Escrow Fund in accordance with Escrow Agreement. This refunding resulted in a net present value savings of $\$ 1,587,781$ and a gross savings of $\$ 1,903,134$. The refunded Certificates of Obligation Series 2008 provided funding for the International Toll Bridge and a portion of the principal Obligation is included in the Series 2015 Refunding. The Certificates of Obligation, Series 2007 \$5,645,000 were included in the $\$ 18,100,000$ Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2015. Series 2007 Certificates of Obligation were issued to provide funding for Cameron County Parks improvement; these Obligations are payable from Business Type Activities.

The net proceeds of $\$ 20,332,410$ have been verified and deposited pursuant to Escrow Agreement. Accuracy and sufficiency of such amounts as deposited has been confirmed by Financial Advisor and investments are to be made only in U.S. Treasury Securities and obligations of agencies or instrumentalities of the United States. Pursuant to Escrow Agreement these refunded obligations are no longer outstanding or unpaid.

On October 15, 2007, Cameron County authorized the issuance of Cameron County, Texas International Toll Bridge System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2007 to refund the International Toll Bridge System Revenue Improvement Bonds, Series 1997, $\$ 6,125,000$. These bonds are payable from and secured by the net revenues of the Toll Bridge System. The 2007 Refunding Bonds were sold at par $(\$ 4,005,000)$ with an additional Toll Bridge contribution of $\$ 167,508$ for bond issuance costs. Present Value savings on this Revenue Refund 2007 issue were $\$ 206,932$.

## 12. LONG TERM DEBT (continued)

## D. BUSINESS-TYPE ACTIVITIES (continued)

## Certificates of Obligation

Cameron County issued $\$ 23,570,000$ Certificates of Obligation, Series 2011 dated June 9, 2011 to pay for contractual obligations to be incurred in connection with the design, planning, acquisition, construction, equipping, expansion, and/or renovation of certain public property, specifically being the roof renovations/replacements at Detention Center 1 and 2 and other County buildings, as well as terra cotta improvements at the Dancy Courthouse; air condition units or a chiller system for the Carrizales Rucker Detention Center, County Jail shower replacements; County Sheriff's Office chiller system; improvements to the old County Jail, Lucio Clinic renovation; Judicial Courthouse improvements and County Judicial computer system software; expansion costs for the Veteran's Trade Bridge; planning, traffic and revenue studies, schematic and environmental studies for the future Flor de Mayo Bridge; sidewalk and canopy improvements at the Gateway International Bridge; toll collection system upgrade for the international bridge system; acquisition of land and construction of a County Annex Building in Los Fresnos; acquisition of land and construction of a Community Center in Olmito; street light infrastructure improvements; improvements at the Pedro "Pete" Benavides County Park and Browne Road Social Service Center; constructing road improvements including improvements to Primera Road, San Jose Ranch Road, Old Alice Road, Vermillion Road, the U.S. 77 Parallel Corridor Project; acquisition of the Pacheco Building across the Dancy Courthouse and renovations to said building; improvements to the Laiseca Store Building and the payment of contractual obligations for professional services in connection with such projects (including, but not limited to, architectural and engineering); and to pay costs of issuance of the Certificates. Capital improvement costs for the International Toll Bridge System are $\$ 4,494,000$ and Cameron County Parks System are $\$ 1,897,600$. Cameron County utilized long term borrowing for debt financed capital improvements for proprietary funds for the International Toll Bridge and Parks System. This debt is recognized in the proprietary funds as outstanding debt obligations. This issuance had a premium of $\$ 531,156$. The annual interest rates range from $2.0 \%$ to $5.0 \%$. Interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2031.

Cameron County issued $\$ 16,075,000$ Certificates of Obligation, Series 2008 dated October 15, 2008 to pay for obligations to be incurred in connection with the design, planning, acquisition, construction, equipping, expansion, and/or renovation of certain public property, specifically being the Carrizalez Rucker Detention Center; district courtroom improvements and judicial computer system software; engineering and construction costs for the Veteran's and Free Trade Bridges; design, planning, acquisition, construction of right-of-ways for the Flor de Mayo Bridge; renovation of county buildings located across the Dancy County Courthouse; and the payment of contractual obligations for professional services in connection with such projects (including, but not limited to, financial advisory, legal, architectural and engineering). Capital improvement costs for the International Toll Bridge System are $\$ 9,100,000$. Debt financed capital improvements for the International Bridge System are recognized as an outstanding obligation of this proprietary fund and the International Toll Bridge System has recognized this debt. The issuance had a premium of $\$ 222,184$. The annual interest rates range from $5.0 \%$ to $6.0 \%$. Interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2028.

Certificates of Obligations issued by Cameron County for capital improvements of International Toll Bridge including interest payments are as follow:

YEAR ENDING

| SEPTEMBER 30, | PRINCIPAL | INTEREST | AMOUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | \$ 805,441 | \$ 474,767 | \$1,280,208 |
| 2018 | 785,824 | 438,814 | 1,224,638 |
| 2019 | 664,336 | 405,685 | 1,070,021 |
| 2020 | 696,000 | 374,325 | 1,070,325 |
| 2021 | 760,273 | 340,638 | 1,100,911 |
| 2022-2026 | 4,379,719 | 1,094,654 | 5,474,373 |
| 2027-2031 | 2,774,122 | 225,030 | 2,999,152 |
| TOTAL | \$10,865,715 | \$ 3,353,913 | \$ 14,219,628 |

## 12. LONG TERM DEBT (continued)

## D. BUSINESS-TYPE ACTIVITIES (continued)

## Certificates of Obligation

## Cameron County Parks

Cameron County issued \$21,160,000 Certificates of Obligation, Series 2016B dated September 1, 2016 for the purpose of providing for the payment of contractual obligations to be incurred in connection with the design, planning, acquisition, construction, equipping, expansion, repair, and/or renovation of County Parks, including Isla Blanca Park, Andy Bowie Park, EK Atwood Park, Olmito Community Park and to pay costs of issuance of the Certificates. This is a debt obligation issued by Cameron County utilizing county long term borrowing power that will be paid by Cameron County Parks System, a proprietary fund. This issuance had a premium of $\$ 3,390,116$. The annual interest rates range from $2.0 \%$ to $5.0 \%$. Interest accrues semiannually and the bonds mature in fiscal year 2036.

The County issued Certificates of Obligation Series 2011 in the amount of $\$ 23,570,000$ on June 1, 2011. These 2011 CO's included funds of $\$ 1,897,600$ to be used for the payment of contractual obligations to be incurred in connection with the construction of a Community Center in Olmito and for improvements to Benavides County Park and Browne Road Social Service Center. This is a debt obligation issued by Cameron County utilizing county long term borrowing power that will be paid by County Parks, a proprietary fund.

Cameron County issued $\$ 8,000,000$ Certificates of Obligation, Series 2007 on February 15, 2007 for the purpose of payment of contractual obligations to be incurred in connection with the design, planning, acquisition, construction, equipping, expansion and/or renovation of certain public property, specifically being improvements and additions to, and/or acquisition of, various public parks and other public recreation facilities located throughout the County; and to pay costs of issuance of the Certificates. The County included in its annual ad valorem tax, within limitations prescribed by law, an amount sufficient to meet the debt service requirements on these Certificates. The Park System pledged limited revenues of annual operations to comply with the legal requirements for the sale of the Certificates. This Certificate of Obligation issue is an obligation of County Parks System. Certificates of Obligation, Series 2007 have been refunded with the Limited Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2015 with a principal amount of $\$ 5,572,648$. This debt obligation is a Cameron County Parks liability.

Certificates of Obligations issued by Cameron County for capital improvements of Cameron County Parks System including interest payments are as follow:

| YEAR ENDING |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEPTEMBER 30, | PRINCIPAL | INTEREST | AMOUNT |
| 2017 | \$ 633,640 | \$ 1,124,592 | \$ 1,758,232 |
| 2018 | 668,865 | 1,194,233 | 1,863,098 |
| 2019 | 1,209,552 | 1,162,980 | 2,372,532 |
| 2020 | 1,340,461 | 1,115,398 | 2,455,859 |
| 2021 | 1,426,021 | 1,059,609 | 2,485,630 |
| 2022-2026 | 8,120,784 | 4,279,015 | 12,399,799 |
| 2027-2031 | 7,598,146 | 2,516,588 | 10,114,734 |
| 2032-2036 | 7,415,002 | 833,774 | 8,248,776 |
| TOTAL | \$28,412,471 | \$13,286,189 | \$41,698,660 |

## 13. RISK MANAGEMENT

The County is exposed to various risks of loss relating to general liability, the accidental risk of loss of real and personal property, damage to County assets, errors and omissions, and personal risks which relate to workers' compensation. The County implements a number of risk strategies such as participating in risk pools, purchasing commercial insurance, self-insurance with specific and aggregate stop-loss insurance, and full self insurance to manage those listed risks. Amounts of coverage for these types of risk have not been subject to a significant reduction in the current year. The County purchases $\$ 500,000$ in coverage for public employee theft, forgery or alteration, fraud and dishonesty blanket bond through The Hanover Insurance Group.

## A. WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE

The County is self-insured for Workers' Compensation Insurance administered by the Texas Association of Counties (TAC). TAC's Workers' Compensation Self-Insurance Fund was created to enable each county or county related governmental entity to provide for self-insurance. The County participates under an agreement between political subdivisions to cover risk pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 791 and 2259 of the Texas Government Code and Chapter 504 of the Texas Labor Code. County participates in this selffunded Pool as an alternative to commercial insurance. TAC's Workers' Compensation Insurance Program provides medical and indemnity payments, as required by law, for job related injuries up to the State's statutory limits. Interfund premiums for workers' compensation are determined by position class code, at actuarially determined rates. The policy renews annually on January 1. The plan-year is reported on a calendar year basis. The County's 2015 calendar year contribution was $\$ 452,648$.

The following is a schedule of premiums paid and claims incurred:

| Calendar* | Workers' |  | Workers' |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Compensation Insurance |  | Compensation Insurance |  | Claims (Over) Under |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year |  | mium Paid |  | ims Paid |  | ium Paid |
| 2013 | \$ | 1,030,676 | \$ | 500,437 | \$ | 530,239 |
| 2014 | \$ | 421,854 | \$ | 365,933 | \$ | 55,921 |
| 2015 | \$ | 452,648 | \$ | 356,138 | \$ | 96,510 |

## B. OTHER INSURANCE

The County is also a member of a risk pool for automobile, general liability, and property and casualty insurance. As a member of the pool, the County would incur a liability only if the pool's operations become insolvent. General liability policy and automobile liability policy have a plan anniversary date of July $1^{\text {st }}$. The following are the coverage limits of the policies:

| C. AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY COVERAGE | Personal <br> Injury |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bodily Injury* | Property Damage* | Protection |

[^5]
## 13. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

## D. COMPREHENSIVE GENERAL LIABILITY COVERAGE

| Bodily Injury*$\quad \frac{\text { Property Damage* }}{\$ 100,000 \text { per person }} \$ 100,000$ per occurrence | Employee Benefits | Personal and Adv Injury Liability <br> $\$ 300,000$ per occurrence | $\$ 100,000$ per person |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| aggregate |  |  |  |

-The deductible is $\$ 5,000$ per occurrence. The annual premium is $\$ 88,703$ for the period July 1, 2016 through July 1, 2017. No settlements exceeded insurance coverage during the past three years.

## E. PROPERTY AND CASUALTY COVERAGE

The property and casualty insurance covers buildings, structures, and personal property, including coverage extensions for earth movement, flood, accounts receivable, valuable records, demolition, increased costs of construction, transit, business interruption, mobile equipment, builder's risk, and loss of income. Specifically excluded is coverage for fine arts, physical damage to owned automobiles and leasehold interests. Each type of asset covered has specific liability limits and deductibles.

## F. EMPLOYEE HEALTH AND LIFE BENEFITS

On May 1, 1989, Cameron County established a limited risk management program for health and life benefits provided to active and retired employees and their dependents. Premiums are paid into the Internal Service Fund (Health and Life Benefits Fund) by all funds through payroll contributions and are available to pay claims, administrative costs, and claims reserves. Administrative costs include the cost of individual stop loss insurance ( $\$ 200,000$ per insured) and aggregate stop loss insurance (determined by the monthly number of insured lives, at a specified dollar value times twelve), as well as fees charged by a Third Party Administrator (TPA).

Premiums are based upon coverage for the defined fixed administrative fees and the per capita costs of anticipated aggregate health care spending for the upcoming year. Medical costs have increased by $16.0 \%$ in comparison to FY15 levels when costs increased by $4.0 \%$, the most significant increase continues to be in pharmaceutical expenses. The majority of the County's employee population is predominately male and the dependent coverage is predominately female with an average of between 25 to 41 years of age. Cameron County continues to promote Wellness Plans and Preventative Care by annual screenings, physical exams and health fairs. Currently, the Fund's board is examining program saving measures, greater participation of the plan users, and alternate plans for provision of service to insure the financial well-being of the program as well as maintaining the ability to provide the level of care desired by the County and increasing the county contribution for all employees. Due to the increase medical costs, a General Fund transfer of $\$ 2,286,490$ to the Health Insurance Fund was needed to meet these obligations. The County's contribution for employee coverage was increased from $\$ 6,000$ to $\$ 6,600$ for fiscal year 2017 in efforts to meet the medical obligations.

During fiscal year 2016, a total of $\$ 12,272,899$ was paid in benefits and $\$ 1,836,626$ was paid in administrative costs. Estimated claims payable, based upon claims filed and estimated unfiled claims at year end, totaled $\$ 526,814$ as of September 30, 2016. Changes in the balances of claims liabilities during the past three years follow:

Unpaid claims, beginning of fiscal year
Incurred claims (including incurred but not reported)
Payments of claims
Unpaid claims, end of fiscal year

| Fiscal Year 2015-2016 | Fiscal Year 2014-2015 | Fiscal Year 2013-2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 516,988 | \$ 391,507 | \$ 589,205 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 12,282,725 \\ (12,272,899) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,624,342 \\ (10,498,861) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,865,853 \\ (10,063,551) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| \$ 526,814 | \$ 516,988 | \$ 391,507 |

## 13. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

## G. PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND LAW ENFORCEMENT LIABILITY

The County self-insures its activities that are normally covered with public officials (errors and omissions) insurance and law enforcement liability insurance. Lawsuits involving wrongful termination, sexual harassment, and breach of' contract are typically covered through Public Officials Insurance; whereas, civil rights violations, illegal search and wrongful incarceration are covered by Law Enforcement Liability Insurance. Since the County is self-insured in these areas of liability, there are no exclusions. Insurance policies purchased from private insurance companies could exclude certain coverages that would leave the County uninsured. Therefore, the lawsuits listed below may or may not have been specifically covered by an acquired insurance policy. Because the County is self-insured with regards to law enforcement liability and public officials liability, all settlements exceed insurance coverage amounts. The County is currently defending against various lawsuits concerning Public Officials and Law Enforcement Liability. While the final outcome of these lawsuits cannot be certain, the County has reserved $\$ 500,000$ to provide for a contingency in cases in which the County may be adversely ruled upon.

## H. ENTERPRISE ACTIVITY COVERAGE

The System maintains insurance coverage for fire, extended coverage, loss of revenue, accounts receivable, earthquake, flood, named storm and malicious mischief, and toll equipment coverage. Vehicles are selfinsured as to comprehensive collision coverage; however, auto liability coverage is provided through the Texas Association of Counties in amounts above the legal requirements. The County insures the bridge spans for property damage in amounts less than the historical cost of each bridge through Zurich American Insurance Company. Insurance coverage period is from 7/1/16-7/1/17.

The Gateway International Bridge has $\$ 15,000,000$ in coverage for the bridge span property damage and $\$ 6,686,000$ for use and occupancy coverage protecting from loss of revenues. The Free Trade Bridge at Los Indios has $\$ 15,000,000$ to cover property damage to the bridge spans and $\$ 1,575,000$ for use and occupancy coverage protecting from loss of revenues. The Veteran's International Toll Bridge also has $\$ 15,000,000$ to cover property damage to the bridge spans and $\$ 7,944,7000$ for use and occupancy coverage protecting from loss of revenues. The maximum coverage for loss or damage per occurrence or in the aggregate by the peril of earthquake, flood or named storm is $\$ 61,389,110$. A significant portion of the amounts capitalized in toll bridges and approaches constitute non-construction costs such as environmental assessments, presidential permits, U.S. Coast Guard Permits, legal, engineering, geotechnical surveying, archeological examination, as well as land and site preparation. Most of these costs will not recur should a bridge span need replacement or repair. In addition, private engineering firms structurally inspect all international bridges. Windstorm and Hail insurance coverage is included under the Property and Catastrophe Insurance coverage provided by Colony Insurance and various other companies for excess costs.

## 14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## A. LITIGATION

The County is presently a defendant in various lawsuits. While the County plans to vigorously defend itself, legal counsel for the County cannot assess the loss, if any, that may result from unfavorable judgments against the County.

The County is also a defendant in cases involving law enforcement operations. These cases deal with allegations of civil rights violations and prisoner civil rights violations. State court cases allege false imprisonment, failure to follow due process, discrimination lawsuit, wrongful termination, fraud and civil conspiracy. The County does not anticipate these to be "cases of liability." Resolution of these matters are pending and financial impact to the County is not known at this time.

## 14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (continued)

## A. LITIGATION (continued)

Cameron County is a defendant in several cases involving employment related litigation, civil rights, wrongful termination, constitutional violations and due process. The outcome of these lawsuits are not known and financial impact to the County, while undeterminable, is not expected to be material in any event. In the unlikely event of adverse finding to the County, cases would be appealed to the $13^{\text {th }}$ Court of Appeals. The County has Committed Fund Balance of $\$ 500,000$ for any pending litigation claims.

Cameron County is a defendant where plaintiffs are seeking compensatory damages alleging defendants deprived decedent of his right from unlawful seizure, cruel and unusual punishment and denied due process of law. Plaintiffs also bring wrongful death and survivor claims under state law. We do not believe this is a case of liability. The applicable liability standard of deliberate indifference to the medical needs of an inmate is an exceedingly high standard for a plaintiff to prevail upon and the County will vigorously defend itself. However, in the event of a finding of liability, damages may exceed $\$ 1,000,000$ (one million) dollars.

The County is a defendant in a real estate case where Plaintiffs allege the County abandoned an easement that was given to County Parks for the use of park purposes. This is a complicated case involving donated land that Commissioners Court sought to develop by leasing land for development of a hotel complex within a public park. This case has been tried before a jury and a verdict for plaintiffs was awarded for $\$ 21,000,000$. The court held a hearing on motions for entry of judgment and outcome was modified for $\$ 3,500,000$ and final judgment has been signed. Both parties have filed appeals to the $13^{\text {th }}$ Court of Appeals and settlement discussions are ongoing. Due to the uncertainty of time that will lapse between case filings and final outcome, the County has not recorded any liability in the financial statements as of September 30, 2016.

## INTERLOCAL AGREEMENTS

## B. Harlingen, Texas and San Benito, Texas

On June 3, 1991, Cameron County entered into an agreement with the Cities of San Benito, Texas and Harlingen, Texas, whereby the County would finance, construct and operate an international toll bridge located at Los Indios, Texas approximately eight miles south of both communities. The revenue bonds issued are payable from the revenues of the County's Toll Bridge System. Each of the cities has agreed to pay the lesser of $\$ 200,000$ annually, or $25 \%$ of any deficiency in annual debt service requirements, net operating losses not including depreciation, and any capital equipment not paid for with bond proceeds.

Any surplus remaining after payment of operations, debt service, or capital equipment purchases, in excess of ${ }^{\prime}$ $140 \%$ of the average annual debt service requirements of outstanding Los Indios Toll Bridge Bonds, will be distributed $25 \%$ to each city and $50 \%$ to the County. The Free Trade Bridge at Los Indios opened November 1, 1992. The results of operations for the Free Trade Bridge at Los Indios for the period ending September 30,2016 produced a $\$ 1,251,791$ surplus as defined by the interlocal agreement. Distributions to the cities are done on a monthly basis with final adjustments after year end closing. Cities of San Benito and Harlingen each received a surplus distribution of $\$ 312,948$.

## Brownsville, Texas

On January 12, 1990, the County entered into an agreement with the City of Brownsville, Texas, whereby the County would finance, construct and operate an international toll bridge located in Southeast Brownsville, Texas, approximately two miles east of Gateway International Toll Bridge. Revenue bonds issued are payable from the revenues of the County's Toll Bridge System. The City of Brownsville, Texas agreed to pay the lesser of $\$ 400,000$ annually, or $50 \%$ of any deficiency in annual debt service requirements, net operating losses not including depreciation, and any capital equipment not paid for with bond proceeds. Any surplus remaining after payment of operations, debt service, or capital equipment purchases, in excess of $140 \%$ of the average annual debt service requirements of outstanding Toll Bridge Bonds, will be distributed equally between the City and County. The bridge opened in April 1999. During the period of bridge construction, the

## 14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (continued)

## B. INTERLOCAL AGREEMENTS (continued)

City and County paid the net annual debt service and such payments were recorded as contributed capital. The results of operations for the Veterans Bridge for the year ended September 30, 2016 produced a distribution to City of Brownsville of $\$ 2,411,486$.

The following is a summary of the interlocal transactions between the City of Brownsville, Texas and the County for the past ten (10) years:

## Surplus Distributed from Operations

| Year Ended September 30, | Cameron County | City of Brownsville | Total Surplus (Deficiency) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | \$ 2,411,486 | \$2,411,486 | \$ 4,822,972 |
| 2015 | 1,876,662 | 1,876,662 | 3,753,324 |
| 2014 | 1,825,714 | 1,825,714 | 3,651,428 |
| 2013 | 1,288,520 | 1,288,520 | 2,577,040 |
| 2012 | 1,554,861 | 1,554,861 | 3,109,722 |
| 2011 | 1,528,935 | 1,528,935 | 3,057,870 |
| 2010 | 1,468,453 | 1,468,453 | 2,936,906 |
| 2009 | 1,243,111 | 1,243,111 | 2,486,222 |
| 2008 | 2,004,538 | 2,004,538 | 4,009,076 |
| 2007 | 2,716,305 | 2,716,305 | 5,432,610 |
|  | \$ 17,918,585 | \$ 17,918,585 | \$ 35,837,170 |

## Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority (CCRMA)

On April 11, 2006 the County entered into an agreement with the Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority (CCRMA) whereby the County would provide a loan of $\$ 250,000$ for the purpose of assisting the CCRMA in its organizational efforts. Up through year ending September 30, 2013, the Cameron County Auditor's office oversaw all financial transactions for CCRMA. As of October 1, 2013, CCRMA, although a component unit of Cameron County, has dedicated financial staff for all their operations. On May 23, 2006 the County and the CCRMA entered into another agreement to prepare route analysis, schematic design and environmental assessment to include a finding of no significant impact for the North Rail Relocation Project and the FM 509 extension between its current termination point and Expressway 77. The funds for the work were provided by Cameron County through Project Road Map. CCRMA aggressively continues to work with Texas Department of Transportation on a number of transportation projects: West Parkway in Brownsville, East Loop SH32, 2nd Access to South Padre Island area, Port Isabel Access Road, FM509 and SH550 Phase II and Phase III and I-69/US77.

On June 6, 2012 and June 7, 2012 the County and CCRMA approved and entered into the SSH 550 Funding and Development Agreement (the "550 Agreement"). The 550 Agreement designates CCRMA as the owner of the Project and as the operator and the party responsible for maintenance of the Project. On August 8, 2012, Cameron County issued $\$ 40,000,000$ Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2012, providing funding for the Project and as a condition for such funding, the CCRMA is obligated to repay the funding together with interest on the unpaid principal balance. The debt will be reported on the CCRMA financial statements. The CCRMA has pledged to the County Pledged revenues in accordance with the "SH550 Project Agreement." CCRMA is responsible for providing annual operating, maintenance, and capital budgets to the County and for funding various reserves as established in the Trust agreement.

On January 29, 2014, County Commissioners Court adopted an order authorizing the issuance of Bonds, pursuant to an agreement, by and between the County and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., Dallas Texas as trustee dated as of August 2012 and as amended as of February 1, 2014. On March 1,

## 14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (continued)

## B. INTERLOCAL AGREEMENTS (continued)

2014, Cameron County issued $\$ 5,000,000$ Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2014 (State Highway 550 Project) on behalf of CCRMA. The $\$ 5,000,000$ Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series are issued as Completion Obligations for the purpose of providing payment for final costs incurred with the design, planning, construction and equipping of the SH550 Direct Connector Project. These bonds are parity obligations with the County's $\$ 40,000,000$ "Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2012." Through this issuance, the County will provide the necessary funding for completion of the "SH550 Direct Connector Project" and as a condition of this funding, CCRMA is obligated to repay the funding together with interest on the unpaid principal balance of the Project funding at the same stated rates of interest the County will pay on the Bonds. As part of this SH550 Direct Connector Project, CCRMA has pledged to the County the pledged revenues. The County has assigned its right to such Pledged Revenues to the Trustee pursuant to the Order and the Trust Agreement.

On February 26, 2015, Cameron County Commissioners Court adopted a "CERTIFICATE FOR ORDER" authorizing the issuance of "Cameron County, Texas Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2015 (State Highway 550 Project)", levying an annual ad valorem tax; entering into a second amendment to Trust Agreement, second amendment to SH550 Funding and Development Agreement, and Bond Purchase Agreement; delegating authority to approve terms; and other matters related thereto. On April 1, 2015, Cameron County issued \$4,500,000 Cameron County, Texas Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2015 (State Highway 550 Project) as completion obligations for the payment of obligations to be incurred in connection with the final design, planning, construction, and equipping of the SH550 Direct Connector Transportation Project and to pay costs of issuance. These Bonds are parity obligations with the County's outstanding $\$ 40,000,000$ "Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2012 (State Highway 550 Project) and the County's \$5,000,000 outstanding "Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2014 (State Highway 550 Project) together with the 2012 Bonds (the "Prior Bonds"). This project will be designed, constructed, operated and maintained by CCRMA. CCRMA has pledged and assigned to the County certain toll revenues to be derived from the Project, Pass Through Payments and a subordinated pledge of Vehicle Fee Revenues for the payment of the bonds.

## Tax Increment Reinvestment Zones (TIRZ)

Cameron County is a participant in a number of reinvestment zones with local municipalities: City of La Feria Reinvestment Zone \#1, City of Brownsville Reinvestment Zone \#1; City of San Benito, City of Harlingen TRZ \#1, \#2, \#3, City of Port Isabel, City of Los Fresnos, and Town of South Padre Island Cameron County Commissioners Court appoints one representative to each zone board; the county representative is usually the county commissioner in whose precinct the zone is located. On November 23, 2011, Cameron County and Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority (CCRMA - component unit) entered into an interlocal agreement to participate in "Transportation Reinvestment Zone No. 1, County of Cameron." This agreement was further modified on December 2012 amending boundaries of CCRMA TRZ\#1 due to changes in CCRMA Development Program. Project limits and components of CCRMA incorporated State Highway 550, State Highway 32, FM509, US 77 South and bypasses on US 77 and US 281. Cameron County in cooperation with CCRMA designated TRZ\#2 to facilitate development of South Padre Island Second Access project. Through these agreements, County will remit to CCRMA $100 \%$ of the incremental value of property located in this zone for the purpose of facilitating the development of portions of State Highway 550, the Outer Parkway, East Loop, FM 509, SH32, US77 South and bypasses on US 77 and US 281. In December 2014, CCRMA and Cameron County entered into interlocal agreements for TRZ \#3, \#4 and \#5. The areas included in these zones respectively are FM1925, FM 803 and West Parkway and were created to promote public safety, facilitate the development or redevelopment of property and facilitate the movement of traffic. These agreements remain in effect as long as any CCRMA debt is outstanding and unpaid. On December $29^{\text {th }} .2015$ Cameron County entered into an interlocal agreement with Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority (CCRMA) to participate in Transportation Reinvestment Zone \#6. This zone establishes a "County-Wide Transportation Reinvestment Zone" projected to support $\$ 1.6$ billion in transportation projects that will promote safety, support development and facilitate the movement of traffic throughout Cameron County.

## 14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (continued)

## Tax Increment Reinvestment Zones (TIRZ), (continued)

TRZ \#6 replaces previous TRZs 1-5. The Tax Increment Base year of TRZ\#6 is all real property in the County as of January 1, 2015 and the maximum transfer amount is the cumulative total of $\$ 1,625,954,462$. Cameron County's participation will be $25 \%$ of the Tax Increment excluding amounts levied and collected for Interest and Sinking Funds and commitments of existing TIRZ agreements. The termination date of the Zone is whichever of the following events occurs first: A) date upon which all outstanding Project Obligations have been satisfied and no additional Project Obligations are anticipated; B) the Maximum Transfer Amount has been paid ( $\$ 1,625,954,462$ ); C) December 29, 2055, unless such date is extended by agreement of parties.

Distributions for FY 2016 for all entities were South Padre Island \$18,237, City of Harlingen \$429,754 and CCRMA $\$ 475,354$. Funds of $\$ 363,328$ have been reserved for those entities that have not submitted financial reports or billings.

## 15. RETIREMENT PLAN

## A. TEXAS COUNTY AND DISTRICT RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## Plan Description

Cameron County provides retirement, disability and death benefits, for all of its full-time employees through a nontraditional defined benefit pension plan in the statewide, Texas County and District Retirement System (TCDRS). The Board of Trustees of TCDRS is responsible for the administration of the statewide agent multiple-employer public employee retirement system consisting of 677 nontraditional defined benefit pension plans. TCDRS issues an aggregate comprehensive annual financial report (CAFR) on a calendar year basis. The CAFR is available on the TCDRS website at www.tcdrs.org.

The plan's provisions are adopted by the governing body of the employer, within the options available in the state statutes governing TCDRS (TCDRS Act). Members can retire at ages 60 and above with 8 or more years of service or with 30 years of service regardless of age, or when the sum of their age and years of service equals 75 or more. Members are vested after 8 years of service but must leave their accumulated contributions in the plan to receive any employer-financed benefit. Members who withdraw their personal contributions in a lump sum are not entitled to any amounts contributed by their employer.

Benefit amounts are determined by the sum of the employee's contributions to the plan, with interest, and employer-financed monetary credits, with interest. The level of these monetary credits is adopted by the governing body of the employer within the actuarial constraints imposed by the TCDRS Act so that the resulting benefits can be adequately financed by the employer's commitment to contribute. At retirement, death, or disability, the benefit is calculated by converting the sum of the employee's accumulated contributions and the employer-financed monetary credits to a monthly annuity using annuity purchase rates prescribed by the TCDRS Act.

## Funding Policy

The employer has elected the annually determined contribution rate (ADCR) plan provisions of the TCDRS Act. The plan is funded by monthly contributions from both employee members and the employer based on the covered payroll of employee members. Under the TCDRS Act, the contribution rate of the employer is actuarially determined annually. The employer's contribution is determined using an actuarially determined rate of $9.27 \%$ for the months of the calendar year in 2015 and $9.01 \%$ for the months of the calendar year in 2016.

The contribution rate payable by the employee members for the calendar years 2015 and 2016 is the rate of $7.00 \%$ as adopted by the governing body of the employer. The employee contribution rate and the employer contribution rate may be changed by the governing body of the employer within the options available in the

## 15. RETIREMENT PLAN (continued)

## Funding Policy (continued)

TCDRS Act. For the County's fiscal year ended September 30, 2016, the annual pension cost for the TCDRS plan and the actual employer contributions were $\$ 5,976,902$, and were equal to the required contribution.

## Net Pension Liability

Governmental Accounting Standards Board issued Statement No. 68 which are new reporting standards for public pension plans and participating employers which address specifics of the reporting public pension plan obligations for employers. Net pension liability (NPL) for Cameron County was determined as of December 31,2015 , and total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date.

Actuarial assumptions used to determine the total pension liability as of the valuation date, December 31, 2015 are as follow:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Discount Rate* } & 8.10 \% \\
\text { Long term rate of return, net of investment expense } & 8.10 \%
\end{array}
$$

*The discount rate reflects the long term rate of return funding valuation assumption of $8.00 \%$, plus $0.10 \%$ adjustment to be gross of administrative expenses as required by GASB68.

Assumptions used in this analysis for reporting requirements as of December 31, 2015 were the same as those used in the December 31, 2014 actuarial valuation analysis for Cameron County. System-wide economic assumptions used by the TCDRS actuary were a $5 \%$ real rate of return, $3.0 \%$ inflation and an $8.0 \%$ long term investment return. The $8.0 \%$ long term rate of return is net of investment expenses. It is expected that returns will equal the nominal annual rate of $8 \%$ used in the calculation of the actuarial accrued liability and the normal cost contribution rate for the retirement of each participating employer.

Cost of living adjustments (COLA) are not considered to be substantively automatic under GASB 68. No assumption is made for future COLAs is included in the GASB calculations nor in the funding valuation.

Annual salary increase rates assumed for individual members vary by length of service and by entry-age group. The annual rates consist of a general wage inflation component of $3.5 \%$ (made up of $3.0 \%$ inflation and $.05 \%$ productivity increase (assumptions) and a merit, promotion and longevity component that on average approximates $1.4 \%$ per year for a career employee.

Employer -specific economic assumptions:
Growth in membership $0.0 \%$

Payroll Growth 3.5\%
Payroll growth assumption is the aggregate covered payroll of the employer.
Census Data to assess pension liability consists of the following membership information:
Active Employees $\quad 1,857$
Former Employees $\quad 1,144$
Average Monthly Benefit \$ 3,022
Inactive Employees (or their Beneficiaries) Receiving Benefits
Number of Benefit Recipients
Average Monthly Benefit
\$ 1,281
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## 15. RETIREMENT PLAN (continued)

## Net pension Liability (continued)

Demographic assumption considerations were that new employees would replace terminated employees and have similar entry ages. Members who become disabled would be eligible to commence benefit payments regardless of the age. Rates of disability are based on TCDRS experience. Mortality rates for depositing members, service retirees, beneficiaries, non-depositing members and disabled retirees were based on the gender-distinct RP-2000 Active, Combined and Disabled Mortality Tables with a projection scale of AA with two year and four set-back and set-forward period based on gender.

Family composition for calculating Survivor Benefit for depositing and non-depositing members were that male members have a female beneficiary three years younger. Female members are assumed to have a male beneficiary who is three years older. Annual rates of retirement were based on members reaching the age of sixty or the earliest eligibility. For those reaching the age of seventy five, retirement was considered to occur immediately.

Employees with six years or less have a higher probability of termination for reasons other than death, disability or retirement. Rates of termination vary by years of service, entry-age group and sex. Termination rate of $0 \%$ was assumed for members two years prior to retirement eligibility. Members who terminate may either leave their account with TCDRS or withdraw their funds. Members withdrawing their account vary based on length of service and vesting schedule. For those terminating members who are non-vested $100 \%$ withdrawal was assumed

Other key actuarial assumptions to determine the total pension liability as December 31, 2015 were based on the results of an actuarial study for the period January 1, 2009 - December 31, 2012, except where required to be different per GASB68.

To determine the long-term expected rate of return on TCDRS assets, expected inflation is added to expected long-term real returns in addition to reflecting expected volatility and correlation. Capital market assumptions and information are based on January 2016 information for a 7-10 year time horizon. The valuation assumption for long-term expected return is re-assessed at a minimum of every four years, and is set based on a 30-year time horizon; the most recent analysis was performed in 2013.
(The rest of this page is intentionally left blank)

## 15. RETIREMENT PLAN (continued)

## Net Pension Liability (continued)

The target asset allocation and geometric real rates of return are shown below:

| Asset Class | Benchmark | Target Allocation (*1) | Geometric Real Rate of Return (Expected minus inflation) (*2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US Equities | Dow Jones U.S. Total Stock Market Index | 14.50\% | 5.45\% |
| Private Equity | Cambridge Associates Global Private Equity \& Venture Capital Index (*3) | 14.00\% | 8.45\% |
| Global Equities | MSCI World (net) Index | 1.50\% | 5.75\% |
| International Equities Developed | MSCI World Ex USA (net) | 10.00\% | 5.45\% |
| International Equities Emerging | MSCI World Ex USA (net) | 8.00\% | 6.45\% |
| Investment -Grade Bonds | Barclays Capital Aggregate Bond Index | 3.00\% | 1.00\% |
| High-Yield Bonds | Citigroup High-Yield Cash-Pay Capped Index | 3.00\% | 5.10\% |
| Opportunistic Credit | Citigroup High-Yield Cash-Pay Capped Index | 2.00\% | 5.09\% |
| Direct Lending | Citigroup High-Yield Cash-Pay Capped Index | 5.00\% | 6.40\% |
| Distressed Debt | Citigroup High-Yield Cash-Pay Capped Index | 3.00\% | 8.10\% |
| REIT Equities | $67 \%$ FTSE NAREIT Equity REITs Index $+33 \%$ FRSE EPRA/NAREIT Global Real Estate Index | 3.00\% | 4.00\% |
| Master Limited Partnerships (MLPS) | Alerian MLP Index | 3.00\% | 6.80\% |
| Private Real Estate <br> Partnerships | Cambridge Associates Real Estate Index (*4) | 5.00\% | 6.90\% |
| Hedge Funds | Hedge Fund Research, Inc. (HFRI) Fund of Funds Composite Index | 25.00\% | 5.25\% |

(*1) Target asset allocation adopted at the April 2016 TCDRS Board meeting.
(*2) Geometric real rates of return in addition to assumed inflation of $1.6 \%$, per Cliffwater's 2016 capital market assumptions.
(*3) Includes vintage years 2006-present of Quarter Pooled Horizon IRRs.
(*4) Includes vintage years 2007-present of Quarter Pooled Horizon IRRs.
An alternative method to determine the sufficiency of the fiduciary net position for all future years has been used for discount rate determination. The alternative method reflects the funding requirements under the employer's funding policy and the legal requirements under the TCDRS Act. Under the TCDRS funding policy, the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability shall be amortized as a level percent of pay over 20-year closed layered periods and employers are legally required to make the contribution specified in the funding policy. Employer's assets are projected to exceed accrued liabilities in 20 years or less at which point the employer is still required to contribute at least the normal cost. Increased costs due to adoption of COLA is required to be funded over a period of 15 years. Based on these requirements, the projected fiduciary net position is determined to be sufficient compared to projected benefit payments. In projecting the expected levels of cash flows and investments returns to the system, the fiduciary net position as a percentage of total pension liability is projected to increase from its current level in future years. Since the projected fiduciary net position is projected to be sufficient to pay projected benefit payments in all future years, the discount rate for purposes of calculating the total pension liability and net pension liability of the employer is equal to the longterm assumed rate of return on investments.
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## 15. RETIREMENT PLAN (continued)

## Net Pension Liability (continued)

Changes in Net pension Liability were as follows:

|  | Total Pension Liability (a) |  | ase(Decrease) <br> Fiduciary <br> et Position <br> (b) |  | y/(Asset) <br> - (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance as of 12/31/14 | \$ 249,699,065 | \$ | 244,354,689 | \$ | 5,344,375 |
| Changes for the year: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost | 8,945,595 |  | - |  | 8,945,595 |
| Interest on total pension liability | 20,168,532 |  | - |  | 20,168,532 |
| Effect of plan changes | $(2,198,760)$ |  | - |  | $(2,198,760)$ |
| Effect of economic/demographic gains or losses | $(3,519,071)$ |  | - |  | $(3,519,071)$ |
| Effect of assumptions changes or inputs | 2,628,616 |  | - |  | 2,628,616 |
| Refund of contributions | $(1,395,007)$ |  | $(1,395,007)$ |  |  |
| Benefit payments | $(9,418,126)$ |  | $(9,418,126)$ |  | - |
| Administrative expenses | - |  | $(174,717)$ |  | 174,717 |
| Member contributions | - |  | 4,644,789 |  | (4,644,789) |
| Net investment income | - |  | (2,732,915) |  | 2,732,915 |
| Employer contributions | - |  | 6,151,006 |  | $(6,151,006)$ |
| Other | - |  | $(260,777)$ |  | 260,777 |
| Balance as of 12/31/15 | \$ 264,910,844 | \$ | 241,168,943 | \$ | 23,741,899 |

## Sensitivity Analysis

The following presents the net pension liability of the county, calculated using the discount rate of $8.10 \%$, as well as what County's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that 1 percentage point lower ( $7.10 \%$ ) or 1 percentage point higher ( $9.10 \%$ ) than the current rate.

| $1 \%$ Decrease | Current <br> Discount Rate |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \\ \text { Increase } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.10\% |  | 8.10\% |  | 9.10\% |
| \$ 304,436,811 | \$ | 264,910,844 | \$ | 232,510,132 |
| 241,168,943 |  | 241,168,943 |  | 241,168,943 |
| \$ 63,267,868 | \$ | 23,741,899 | \$ | ( 8,658,811) |

## 15. RETIREMENT PLAN (continued)

Net Pension Liability (continued)

| Pension Expense/ (Income) | January 1, 2015 to <br> December 31,2015 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Service Cost | $8,945,595$ |
| Interest on total pension liability | $20,168,532$ |
| Effect of plan changes | $(2,198,760)$ |
| Administrative expenses | 174,717 |
| Member contributions | $(4,644,789)$ |
| Expected invest. return net of investment expenses | $(19,949,465)$ |
| Recognition of econ. inflows/outflows or resources | $(652,062)$ |
| Recognition of economic/demographic gains or losses | 438,103 |
| Recognition of assumption changes or inputs | $5,206,126$ |
| Recognition of investment gains or losses | 260,777 |
| Other | $7,748,773$ |
| Pension expense $/$ (income) | $\$$ |

As of Dec. 31, 2015, the deferred inflows and outflows of resources are as follows:

| Deferred Inflows/Outflows of Resources | Deferred Inflows <br> of Resources | Deferred Outflows <br> of Resources |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Differences between expected and actual experience | $\$$ | $3,194,761$ | $\$$ |

Amounts currently reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, excluding contributions made subsequent to the measurement date, will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year ended December 31:

| 2016 | $\$$ | $4,992,166$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2017 |  | $4,992,166$ |  |
|  | 2018 |  | $4,992,166$ |
|  | 2019 |  | $4,322,516$ |
|  | 2020 |  | $(148,410)$ |
| Thereafter ${ }^{1}$ |  | - |  |
| Total |  | $\$ 19,150,604$ |  |

${ }^{1}$ Total remaining balance to be recognized in future years, if any. Note that additional future deferred inflows and outflows of resources may impact these numbers.

## 15. RETIREMENT PLAN (continued)

## B. OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

Governmental Accounting Standards Board issued Statement No. 45 improving financial reporting by requiring systematic, accrual-basis measurement and recognition of Other Post Employment Benefits (OPEB) costs over a period that approximates an employee's years of service. In compliance with this statement, Cameron County has implemented the requirements of GASB Statement No. 45 during fiscal year 2008; GASB 45 benefits provided by Cameron County to retirees only includes medical benefits for which the retiree pays a monthly premium. Cameron County administers a single-employer defined benefit variety that covers employees, retirees and their spouses. The plan provisions allow members to retire upon attaining age 60 with 8 or more years of service or when the sum of their age and years of service equals 75 or more. Dependents of retirees who are not eligible for Medicare are also eligible for coverage while retiree is alive but they must pay for dependent coverage. Effective 10/1/07, retirees are not eligible for medical benefits after age 65. Participants under disability are eligible for continued medical coverage under COBRA but must pay the full premium. Cameron County does not offer dental, vision, hearing or life insurance benefits to retirees. Local Government Code Section 157.101 assigns the authority to establish and amend benefit provisions to Commissioner's Court. Monthly medical contributions required by retirees are as follow:

|  | Retiree |  | Retiree \& Spouse |  | Retiree \& 1 Child |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical/Tx | $\$ 250.78$ | $\$ 460.78$ |


|  | Monthly medical contributions required as of October 1, 2016: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retiree | Retiree \& Spouse |  | Retiree \& 1 Child |  |
| Family including spouse |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical/Tx | $\$ 250.78$ | $\$ 575.98$ |  | $\$ 500.98$ |  |

Membership in the plan at 10/01/2015 the date of the latest actuarial valuation, consists of the following:
Active Members: 1,643
Retirees and beneficiaries receiving benefits: 54

## Annual OPEB Costs and Net OPEB Obligation

The Annual Required Contribution (ARC) is the amount Cameron County would be required to report as an expense for fiscal year beginning October 1, 2015. The ARC is equal to the Normal Cost and an additional amount to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) over 22 years on a closed basis. UAAL was amortized over the maximum permissible period under GASB45 of 30 years at October 1, 2007. The ARC is the representation of an accounting expense, and the County is not required by Texas law or by contractual agreement to fund this expense or to contribute to a special separate trust. Prior to FY16, a discount rate of $4.0 \%$ was used in calculating the UAAL due to the County's decision not to establish a separate irrevocable trust to fund OPEB cost. The actuary has used a discount rate of $3.0 \%$ discount rate as of $10 / 1 / 15$ based on the County's long term expectations of returns on its operating funds.

For fiscal year end 2016, the County's annual OPEB cost (expense) was $\$ 1,639,712$ for the post-employment healthcare plan. Cameron County's annual OPEB cost, percentage of annual OPEB cost contribution to the plan and net OPEB obligation information is summarized below.

## 15. RETIREMENT PLAN (continued)

## Annual OPEB Costs and Net OPEB Obligation (continued)

The following table shows the calculation of the Annual Required Contribution and Net OPEB Obligation.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10/1/13 } \\ & 9 / 30 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 1 / 14 \\ & 9 / 30 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10/1/15 } \\ & 9 / 30 / 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Required Contribution | \$ 3,287,539 | \$ 3,287,539 | \$ 3,060,868 |
| Add Interest on Net OPEB Obligation | 957,927 | 1,038,696 | 887,069 |
| Adjustment to ARC | $(1,570,685)$ | - | $(1,855,376)$ |
| Annual OPEB Cost | 2,674,781 | 4,326,235 | 2,092,561 |
| Less Contributions Made | $(655,545)$ | $(724,667)$ | $(452,849)$ |
| Change in Net OPEB Obligation | 2,019,236 | 3,601,568 | 1,639,712 |
| Net OPEB Obligation-beginning of year | 23,948,174 | 25,967,410 | 29,568,978 |
| Net OPEB Obligation - end of year | \$ 25,967,410 | \$ 29,568,978 | \$ 31,208,690 |

## Trend Information

The following table shows the estimated annual OPEB cost and net OPEB obligation for the prior three years with a discount rate of $4 \%$ for FYE 9/30/14 and 9/30/15 and $3 \%$ discount rate for 9/30/16:

| Fiscal <br> Year End | Annual OPEB Cost | Employer Contribution | Percentage of Annual OPEB Cost Contributed | Net Ending OPEB Obligation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09/30/14 | \$2,674,781 | \$ 655,545 | 24.5\% | \$25,967,410 |
| 09/30/15 | \$4,326,235 | \$ 724,667 | 16.8\% | \$29,568,978 |
| 09/30/16 | \$2,092,561 | \$ 452,849 | 21.6\% | \$31,208,690 |

## Annual OPEB Costs and Net OPEB Obligation

As of $10 / 1 / 2015$, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the Plan was $0 \%$ funded. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits was $\$ 19,889,957$ and the actuarial value of assets was $-0-$, resulting in an unfunded actuarial balance. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the Plan) was $\$ 64,721,103$ and the ratio of the UAAL to the covered payroll was $31 \%$.

## SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Unfunded 3.0\% Discount Rate, FY16
Unfunded 4.0\% Discount Rate FY14,FY13

|  |  | Actuarial | Unfunded |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarial | Actuarial | Accrued | Actuarial |  | UAAL as a |  |
| Valuation | Value of | Liability (AAL) | Accrued Liability <br> (UAAL) | Funded | Ratio | Payered | \% of Covered

## 15. RETIREMENT PLAN (continued)

## Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Cameron County's first actuarial study was completed as of $10 / 1 / 07$; current actuarial study is reflected on data as of $10 / 1 / 15$. The actuarial cost method used for determining benefit obligations is the Unit Credit Actuarial Cost Method. Under this methodology the actuarial present value of projected benefits is the value of benefits expected to be paid for both active members and retirees. The Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) is the actuarial present value of benefits accrued as of the valuation date. The AAL equals the present value of benefits multiplied to a faction equal to service to date over service at expected retirement. Normal Cost is the actuarial present value of benefits allocated to the valuation year. This equals the present value of benefits divided by service at the anticipated date of retirement. Retirees are not accruing additional service; their normal cost is zero. In determining the ARC, the Unfunded AAL is amortized over a closed 30 year amortization base. Actuarial cost estimates depend largely on assumptions made relative to various occurrences, such as rates of mortality, investments returns on funds, terminations and retirement rates. In the October 1, 2015 actuarial valuation, a $3.0 \%$ discount rate and investment rate of return was used. Projected salary increases were not used as benefits are not related to compensation and no post retirement

Benefit increases were assumed. This valuation implicitly assumed a general inflation of $2.3 \%$. Amounts determined in this actuarial study are subject to constant revision as actual results are compared annually to prior actual cost data and new estimates are made. Actuarial assumptions are summarized in the chart listed:

## Economic Assumptions

| Discount Rate (liabilities) | Pay-as-you-go: 3.0\% effective rate, Prior 4.0\% |
| :--- | :--- |
| Health Cost Trend (post- 65) | N/A. Medical benefits are not available after age 65. |
|  |  |
| Health Care Costs Trend Rates (pre-65) | $7.20 \%$ in the first year (FYE 2015) |
|  | $7.00 \%$ in the 2nd year |
|  | $5.10 \%-5.70 \%-$ FY2020 / FY2042 |
| Retiree Premium Increases | $4.20 \%-$ FY2072 |
| Same as trend disclosed above |  |

For retirement prior to age $65,50 \%$ of employees are assumed to elect continued coverage in retirement under the current plan. $50 \%$ of the active employees are assumed to be married and elect spouse coverage. It is also assumed that husbands are three years older than their wives. The Medical plan was reviewed as well as participant census, paid claims and reinsurance recoveries data from the date September 2007 through October 2015. Medical premiums are assumed to increase with the medical trends.

Cameron County has not funded a separate, irrevocable trust to fund annual OPEB costs. The discount rate used for OPEB determination costs is $3.0 \%$; prior years discount rate used was $4.0 \%$ due to the County's long term expectation of returns on its operating funds. Assumptions are that retirees retire at the age of 60 or at the earliest retirement eligibility and withdrawal rates are the same as used by Texas County and District Retirement System in its actuarial valuations of retirement benefits.

Healthcare cost trend rates used in this actuary study was determined by using health cost projection rates released by the Office of the Actuary at the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). Inflation rate was determined for both medical costs and administrative costs.

## 15. RETIREMENT PLAN (continued)

## Actuarial Methods and Assumptions (continued)

## HEALTH CARE COST TREND RATES

| FYE | Pre-65 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2015 |  | $7.20 \%$ |  |
| 2016 |  | $7.00 \%$ |  |
| 2017 |  | $6.50 \%$ |  |
| 2018 | $5.90 \%$ |  |  |
| 2019 |  | $5.30 \%$ | average |

The actuarial study was completed using (l) actuarial valuations that involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future and (2) these actuarial amounts are subject to continual revision as results are compared to past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. OPEB calculations are based on the substantive plan in effect at the time of valuation and on the pattern of sharing of costs between employer and plan members. The cost of the Plan is formulated making certain assumptions as to rates of interest, mortality, turnover, etc. which are projected to hold true for future years. Actual experience may differ and costs determined by the valuation must be regarded as estimates of the true costs of the Plan.

## Additional Disclosures

Texas Local Government Code, Chapter 175 requires counties make available continued health benefit coverage to retirees and their dependents beyond the end of an individual's employment with County. Texas Law does not require counties to fund all or any portion of such coverage. Effective October 1, 2007, County discontinued medical coverage after age 65. The County may incur a debt obligation to pay for OPEB cost for its retired employees so long as the County follows the constitutional requirement that it have sufficient taxing authority available at the time such debt is incurred to provide the payment of the debt and has levied a tax for such purpose. Information and amounts presented in the County's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report relative to OPEB expense/expenditures, related liabilities (assets), note disclosures, and supplementary information are only intended to achieve compliance with the requirements of generally accepted accounting principles (GASB 45) and does not constitute or imply that the County has made a commitment or is legally obligated to provide OPEB benefits. Cameron County has not incurred a legal debt obligation for OPEB nor has it levied a tax for the same. The County does not have a separate audited GAAP basis postemployment benefit plan report available for defined benefit plans. Currently, the County funds costs associated with OPEB on a current "pay as you go" basis for each single year through annual appropriations authorized by Commissioners Court during the annual County Budget Adoption Process.

## C. MULTIPLE EMPLOYER DEFINED BENEFIT GROUP TERM PLAN GROUP TERM LIFE FUND

Cameron County participates in a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined-benefit group-term life insurance plan operated by the Texas County \& District Retirement System (TCDRS). This plan is referred to as the Group Term Life Fund (GTLF). This optional plan provides group term life insurance coverage to current eligible employees and, if elected by employers, to retired employees. The coverage provided to retired employees is a postemployment benefit other than pension benefits (OPEB). Retired employees are insured for $\$ 5,000$.

The GTLF is a separate trust administered by the TCDRS board of trustees. TCDRS issues a publicly available comprehensive annual financial report (CAFR) that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the GTLF. This report is available at www.tcdrs.org. TCDRS' CAFR may also be obtained by writing to the Texas County \& District Retirement System, P.O. Box 2034, Austin, TX 787682034 or by calling 1-800-823-7782.

## 15. RETIREMENT PLAN (continued)

## C. MULTIPLE EMPLOYER DEFINED BENEFIT GROUP TERM PLAN

## GROUP TERM LIFE FUND (continued)

Each participating employer contributes to the GTLF at a contractually required rate. An annual actuarial valuation is performed and the contractual rate is determined using the unit credit method for providing oneyear term life insurance. Cameron County contributions to the GFLF for the years ended 9/30/14, 15 and 16 were $\$ 149,240, \$ 170,783$ and $\$ 177,813$, respectively, which equaled the contractually required contributions each year.

## 16. PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENTS

Government Wide Statement of Activities reflects a net prior period adjustment of $\$(691,817)$, resulting from an adjustment in capital related items of $(\$ 673,779)$ due to an entry to fixed assets that was erroneously reported in the deletions column rather than the adjustments column and $(\$ 18,038)$ in Other Governmental Funds due to an overstatement of revenues.

## 17. SUBSEQUENT FINANCIAL ACTIVITY

In December 2016, Cameron County issued Cameron County Revenue and Tax Refunding Bonds, Series 2016 (State Highway 550 Project), $\$ 15,215,000$ to refund and restructure certain of the Prior Bonds, for debt service and cash flow savings and the for the payment of costs of issuance related to the Bonds. Prior Bonds issued by Cameron County to finance the State Highway 550 Project were $\$ 40,000,000$ Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2012; $\$ 5,000,000$ Revenue and Tax Bonds, Series 2014; and $\$ 4,500,000$ Revenue and Tax Bonds Series 2015. State Highway 550 Project is a toll project administered by Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority (CCRMA), a component unit of Cameron County. As part of the 550 Agreement and as security for the repayment of this Project Funding, CCRMA is obligated to repay the Project Funding debt issuances at the stated rates of interest the County would pay on the Bonds and the Prior Bonds. Bonds Series 2016 have maturity dates of February 2035 to 2042 and carry interest rates of $3.75 \%$ to 5\% payable every February and August.

## 18. PENDING GASBs IMPLEMENTATION

GASB Statement 74, Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans, (GASB74, this statement seeks to improve the effectiveness of existing standdards of accounting and financial reporting for all postemployment benefits, supporting assessments of accountability and interperiod equity and additional transparency. GASB No. 74 impact has not been determined and will be implemented as of September 30, 2017.

GASB Statement 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions (GASB75), establishes accounting and financial reporting requirements for governments whose employees are provided with OPEB, as well as for certain nonemployer governments that have a legal obligation to provide financial support for OPEB provided to employees of other entities. Impact of GASB 75 to Cameron County has not been determined.

GASB Statement 77, Tax Abatement Disclosures (GASB77), requires governments to provide information on the tax abatement effects on a local government's financial position, results of operations, tax abatements agreements and any other abatements that impact the ability to raise revenues. GASB 77 will be implemented by Cameron County as of September 30, 2017.

## REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

 (unaudited)
## REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## CAMERON COUNTY OPEB <br> SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Unfunded 3.0\% Discount Rate


CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS<br>Required Supplementary Information<br>Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios

|  | Year Ended December 31 2015 |  | Year Ended December 31 2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Pension Liability |  |  |  |  |
| Service Cost | \$ | 8,945,595 | \$ | 8,464,506 |
| Interest on total pension liability |  | 20,168,532 |  | 18,836,862 |
| Changes of benefit terms |  | - |  | - |
| Difference between expected and actual experience |  | - |  | $(393,302)$ |
| Effect of plan changes |  | $(2,198,760)$ |  | - |
| Effect of ecconomic/demographic gains or losses |  | $(3,519,071)$ |  | - |
| Effect of assumptions changes or inputs |  | 2,628,616 |  | - |
| Refund of Contributions |  | $(1,395,007)$ |  | - |
| Change of assumption |  | - |  | - |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions |  | $(9,418,126)$ |  | $(11,011,607)$ |
| Net Change in Total Pension Liability |  | 15,211,779 |  | 15,896,459 |
| Total Pension Liability - Beginning |  | 249,699,065 |  | 233,802,606 |
| Total Pension Liability - Ending (a) | \$ | 264,910,844 | \$ | 249,699,065 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position |  |  |  |  |
| Employer Contributions | \$ | 6,151,006 | \$ | 6,122,353 |
| Employee Contributions |  | 4,644,789 |  | 4,454,897 |
| Investment Income net of investment expenses |  | $(2,732,915)$ |  | 15,408,775 |
| Benefits payments, including refunds of employee contributions |  | $(9,418,126)$ |  | $(11,011,607)$ |
| Administrative Expenses |  | $(174,717)$ |  | $(182,643)$ |
| Refund of Contributions |  | $(1,395,007)$ |  |  |
| Other |  | $(260,777)$ |  | $(103,819)$ |
| Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position |  | $(3,185,747)$ |  | 14,687,956 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning |  | 244,354,689 |  | 229,666,733 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b) | \$ | 241,168,943 | \$ | 244,354,689 |
| Net Pension Liability - Ending (a) - (b) | \$ | 23,741,899 | \$ | 5,344,376 |
| Fiduciary Net Position as a \% of total pension liability |  | 91.04\% |  | 97.86\% |
| Pensionable covered payroll | \$ | 66,354,129 | \$ | 63,641,381 |
| Net pension liability as a \% of covered payroll |  | 35.78\% |  | 8.40\% |

Note: Schedule only shows 2 years for which the new GASB Statement No. 68 have been implemented.
Prior years were not reported in accordance with this GASB Statement No. 68 and prior years' recalculations. are not required under this statement.
$\left.\begin{array}{cccccc}\text { CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS } \\ \text { Required Supplementary Information } \\ \text { Schedule of Employer Contributions }\end{array}\right]$


| Year <br> Ending <br> December 31 | Actuarially <br> Determined <br> Contribution | Actual <br> Employer <br> Contribution | Contribution <br> Deficiency <br> (Excess) | Pensionable <br> Covered <br> Payroll | Actual <br> Contribution <br> as of Covered <br> Payroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | $\$ 3,729,277$ | $\$ 3,729,277$ | - | $\$ 49,198,903$ |  |
| 2007 | $4,081,887$ | $4,081,887$ | - | $51,473,981$ | $7.6 \%$ |
| 2008 | $3,973,848$ | $3,973,848$ | - | $54,361,802$ | $7.9 \%$ |
| 2009 | $4,108,360$ | $4,108,360$ | - | $56,201,915$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| 2010 | $4,845,622$ | $4,845,622$ | - | $57,141,769$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| 2011 | $4,966,459$ | $4,966,459$ | - | $58,360,461$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| 2012 | $5,196,368$ | $5,196,368$ | - | $58,850,771$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| 2013 | $5,503,293$ | $5,503,293$ | - | $59,882,828$ | $8.8 \%$ |
| 2014 | $6,122,353$ | $6,122,353$ | - | $63,641,381$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| 2015 | $6,151,006$ | $6,151,006$ | - | $66,354,129$ | $9.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $9.3 \%$ |

Notes to the Schedule of Contributions Valuation Timing:
Actuarial determined contribution rates are calculated as of December 31, two years prior to the
end of the fiscal year in which the contributions are reported.


CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual General Fund
For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2016

| REVENUES | Budgeted Amounts |  |  |  | Actual |  | Variance with Final Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original |  | Final |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \$ | 50,949,942 | \$ | 50,949,942 | \$ | 51,147,440 | \$ | 197,498 |
| Licenses and permits |  | 594,224 |  | 594,224 |  | 652,797 |  | 58,573 |
| Intergovernmental |  | 5,167,898 |  | 5,534,334 |  | 5,806,482 |  | 272,148 |
| Charges for services |  | 11,159,193 |  | 11,159,193 |  | 10,203,864 |  | $(955,329)$ |
| Fines and forfeitures |  | 4,930,259 |  | 4,932,059 |  | 5,358,548 |  | 426,489 |
| Miscellaneous |  | 4,199,016 |  | 4,219,230 |  | 4,480,829 |  | 261,599 |
| TOTAL REVENUES |  | 77,000,532 |  | 77,388,982 |  | 77,649,960 |  | 260,978 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | 18,757,430 |  | 19,464,941 |  | 17,613,980 |  | 1,850,961 |
| Law enforcement and public safety |  | 57,743,231 |  | 58,370,737 |  | 56,551,485 |  | 1,819,252 |
| Health |  | 2,922,846 |  | 2,996,078 |  | 2,697,203 |  | 298,875 |
| Welfare |  | 4,689,325 |  | 4,722,510 |  | 4,720,055 |  | 2,455 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES |  | 84,112,832 |  | 85,554,266 |  | 81,582,723 |  | 3,971,543 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | (7,112,300) |  | $(8,165,284)$ |  | $(3,932,763)$ |  | 4,232,521 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gain on Sale of capital assets |  | - |  | - |  | 89,150 |  | 89,150 |
| Capital lease financing |  | - |  | 1,023,841 |  | 1,023,841 |  | - |
| Transfers in |  | 7,475,665 |  | 7,475,665 |  | 8,246,224 |  | 770,559 |
| Transfers (out) |  | $(363,365)$ |  | $(1,163,365)$ |  | $(3,458,752)$ |  | $(2,295,387)$ |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | 7,112,300 |  | 7,336,141 |  | 5,900,463 |  | (1,435,678) |
| Net change in fund balance |  | - |  | $(829,143)$ |  | 1,967,700 |  | 2,796,843 |
| Fund Balance - beginning |  | - |  | 24,552,371 |  | 24,552,371 |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE - ending | \$ | - | \$ | 23,723,228 | \$ | 26,520,071 | \$ | 2,796,843 |

## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS

Required Supplementary Information Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual SPECIAL ROAD AND BRIDGE FUND
For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2016

|  | Budgeted Amounts |  |  | Actual | Variance with Final Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original |  | Final |  |  |  |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tax Revenues | \$ | 7,381,875 | \$ 7,381,875 | \$ 7,358,131 | \$ | $(23,744)$ |
| Licenses and Permits |  | 3,310,351 | 3,310,351 | 3,566,455 |  | 256,104 |
| Intergovernmental Revenues (State shared) |  | 391,301 | 987,597 | 900,481 |  | $(87,116)$ |
| Charges for Services |  | 24,022 | 24,022 | 27,167 |  | 3,145 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues |  | 353,337 | 353,337 | 371,729 |  | 18,392 |
| TOTAL REVENUES |  | 11,460,886 | 12,057,182 | 12,223,963 |  | 166,781 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIGHWAYS AND STREETS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GIS |  | 276,993 | 276,927 | 234,643 |  | 42,284 |
| District Attorney |  | 39,445 | 39,511 | 39,305 |  | 206 |
| Precinct \#1 Commissioner Staff |  | 104,429 | 104,429 | 99,418 |  | 5,011 |
| Precinct \#2 Commissioner Staff |  | 106,075 | 106,075 | 96,565 |  | 9,510 |
| Precinct \#3 Commissioner Staff |  | 109,689 | 109,689 | 104,850 |  | 4,839 |
| Precinct \#4 Commissioner Staff |  | 105,704 | 105,704 | 98,872 |  | 6,832 |
| Consolidated Road Districts M \& O |  | 8,318,630 | 10,753,804 | 9,255,145 |  | 1,498,659 |
| CTIF Projects |  | - | 225,040 | 148,520 |  | 76,520 |
| Engineering \& Right-of-Way |  | 945,061 | 964,013 | 804,120 |  | 159,893 |
| Planning and Inspection |  | 801,167 | 808,350 | 674,380 |  | 133,970 |
| TOTAL HIGHWAYS AND STREETS |  | 10,807,193 | 13,493,542 | 11,555,818 |  | 1,937,724 |
| DEBT SERVICE: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal retirement |  | 678,401 | 678,401 | 678,401 |  | - |
| Interest |  | 25,292 | 25,292 | 25,292 |  | - |
| TOTAL DEBT SERVICE |  | 703,693 | 703,693 | 703,693 |  | - |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES |  | 11,510,886 | 14,197,235 | 12,259,511 |  | 1,937,724 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(50,000)$ | $(2,140,053)$ | $(35,548)$ |  | 2,104,505 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital lease financing |  | - | 1,016,431 | 1,016,431 |  | - |
| Sale of Capital assets |  | 50,000 | 50,000 | 66,425 |  | 16,425 |
| Transfers In |  | - | 800,000 | 800,000 |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USE? |  | 50,000 | 1,866,431 | 1,882,856 |  | 16,425 |
| Net change in fund balances |  | - | $(273,622)$ | 1,847,308 |  | 2,120,930 |
| Fund Balance - beginning |  | - | 6,026,220 | 6,026,220 |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE - ending | \$ | - | \$ 5,752,598 | \$ 7,873,528 | \$ | 2,120,930 |

# CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS Notes to Required Supplementary Information 

## September 30, 2016

Budgetary information - The budget is prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America by the County's Auditor and approved by the Commissioners Court following a public hearing. The County maintains strict budgetary controls. The objective of these controls is to ensure compliance with legal provisions embodied in the annual appropriated budget approved by the County's governing body in as such is a good management control device. The following are the funds which have legally adopted budgets: General Fund, Debt Service Funds and Special Revenue Funds. Project-length financial plans are adopted for the Capital Projects Funds.

The budget law of the State of Texas provides that "the amounts budgeted for current expenditures from the various funds of the County shall not exceed the balances in said funds plus the anticipated revenues for the current year for which the budget is made as estimated by the County Auditor." In addition, the law provides that the Commissioners Court "may, upon proper application, transfer an existing budget surplus during the year to a budget of like kind and fund but no such transfer shall increase the total budget."

Each year, all departments submit to the County Auditor requests for appropriation. These requests are reviewed, compiled and presented to the Commissioners Court for approval. The Commissioners Court conducts departmental budget reviews, adjusts budget requests to final form and conducts a public hearing in the County Administration Building. One copy of the proposed budget must be filed with the County Clerk and one with the County Auditor. Copies must be available to the public. The Commissioners Court must provide for the public hearing on the budget on some date within seven calendar days after the filing of the budget and prior to October 1 of the current fiscal year.

The County controls appropriations at the category level (i.e., personnel, fringe, overtime, travel and education, facilities, services, equipment, supplies, vehicles, healthcare and debt) for each department/project within the General Fund and the Special Revenue Funds. Grants are budgeted in total and not at the category level. All Debt Service Funds' expenditures for principal and interest on long-term debt are considered to be in the debt category. Appropriation transfers may be made between categories or departments only with the approval of the Commissioners Court. Such transfers were made during fiscal year 2016. Unencumbered funds lapse at fiscal year end. The original budgets presented in the report are the approved budgets before amendments and transfers. The final budgets presented in this report reflect the budgets as amended for all appropriation transfers processed during the fiscal year. More comprehensive accounting of activity on the budgetary basis is provided in a separate report, which is available for public inspection in the office of the Cameron County Auditor, 1100 E. Monroe St., Brownsville, Texas 78520.

Encumbrances - Encumbrance accounting is employed in governmental funds. Encumbrances (e.g., purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of monies) outstanding at year end are reported as commitments of fund balances and do not constitute expenditures or liabilities because commitments will be re-appropriated and honored during the subsequent year.

## FUNDS WITH EXCESS EXPENDITURES OVER APPROPRIATIONS

There were no funds with excess expenditures over budgeted.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SPECIAL REVENUE

| ASSETS |  | TASK GRANT | COLONIA PAVING PROJECT |  | LATERALROAD |  | LAW LIBRARY |  | OTHER GOVERNMENTAL ELECTIONS |  | FEDERAL BLOCK GRANT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 19,324 | \$ | 1,130,840 | \$ | 368,784 | \$ | 397,679 | \$ | 169,576 | \$ | 193,650 |
| Accounts receivable |  | 12,668 |  | 54,098 |  | 45 |  | 46 |  | 445,037 |  | 350,486 |
| Due from other governments |  | 17,491 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 16,861 |
| Due from other funds |  | 23,114 |  | 576,518 |  | - |  | - |  | 13,094 |  | 27,066 |
| Other assets |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 34,852 |  | - |
|  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | $\underline{72,597}$ | \$ | $\underline{\text { 1,761,456 }}$ | \$ | 368,829 | \$ | 397,725 | \$ | 662,559 | \$ | 588,063 |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | - | \$ | 35,443 | \$ | 21,332 | \$ | 8,085 | \$ | 33,286 | \$ | 21,098 |
| Wages, fringe and accrued absences payable |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 275 |  | 207 |  | - |
| Due to other governments |  | 3,312 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due to other funds |  | 69,285 |  | 1,726,013 |  | - |  | - |  | 624,566 |  | 544,003 |
|  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES |  | 72,597 |  | 1,761,456 |  | 21,332 |  | 8,360 |  | 658,059 |  | 565,101 |
| FUND BALANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserved |  | - |  | - |  | 347,497 |  | 389,365 |  | 4,500 |  | 22,962 |
|  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL FUND BALANCE |  | - |  | - |  | 347,497 |  | 389,365 |  | 4,500 |  | 22,962 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE | \$ | 72,597 | \$ | 1,761,456 | \$ | 368,829 | \$ | 397,725 | \$ | 662,559 | \$ | 588,063 |


|  | CHAPTER $19-$ELECTIONS |  | FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT |  | O.N.D.C.P |  | BORDER HEALTHISSUES |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TDH- } \\ \text { IMMUNIZATION } \\ \text { ACTION PLAN } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | VICTIMS OF CRIME |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 21,922 | \$ | 536,337 | \$ | 429,224 | \$ | 162,145 | \$ | 72,440 | \$ | 813 |
| Accounts receivable |  | 30,182 |  | 258,454 |  | 393,141 |  | 151,642 |  | 158,826 |  | 1,983 |
| Due from other governments |  | - |  | 19,082 |  | 234,340 |  | - |  | 28,252 |  | 124,626 |
| Due from other funds |  | - |  | - |  | 351 |  | - |  | 23 |  | 29,913 |
| Other assets |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3,593 |  | 1,519 |  | 9 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 52.104 | \$ | 813,873 | \$ | 1,057,056 | \$ | 317.380 | \$ | 261,060 | \$ | 157.344 |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 1,958 | \$ | 1,972 | \$ | 105,812 | \$ | 21,016 | \$ | 56,700 | \$ | 33,314 |
| Wages, fringe and accrued absences payable |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | . |  | . |  | - |
| Due to other governments |  | - |  | - |  | 1,187 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due to other funds |  | 50,146 |  | 811,901 |  | 946,585 |  | 296,364 |  | 94,283 |  | 122,868 |
|  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| total liabilities |  | 52,104 |  | 813,873 |  | 1,053,584 |  | 317,380 |  | 150,983 |  | 156,182 |
| FUND balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserved |  | - |  | - |  | 3,472 |  | - |  | 110,077 |  | 1,162 |
|  |  | - |  | $-$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| TOTAL FUND balance |  | - |  | - |  | 3,472 |  | - |  | 110,077 |  | 1,162 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE | \$ | 52,104 | \$ | 813,873 | \$ | $\xrightarrow{1,057,056}$ | \$ | 317,380 | \$ | $\underline{\text { 261,060 }}$ | \$ | 157,344 |

SPECIAL REVENUE

SPECIAL REVENUE

| ASSETS | JUVENILE <br> PROGRAMS |  | SELF HELP CENTERS |  | LOCAL SOLID WASTE <br> ENFORCEMENT |  | HOME <br> DISASTER <br> ASSIITANCE <br> GRANT |  | ENCUMBERED PRE-TRIAL RELEASE |  | JUVENILE JUSTICE ALTERNATIVE ED. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | - | \$ | 107,348 | \$ | 679,660 | \$ | 753,236 | \$ | 59,172 | \$ | 224,399 |
| Accounts receivable |  | - |  | 2,208 |  | - |  | 128,453 |  | 40,424 |  | 116,223 |
| Due from other governments |  | - |  | 4 |  | 83 |  | 93 |  | - |  |  |
| Due from other funds |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Other assets |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
|  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | - | \$ | 109,560 | \$ | 679,743 | \$ | 881,782 | \$ | 99,596 | \$ | 340,622 |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | - | \$ | 109,560 | \$ | 678,999 | \$ | 26,810 | \$ | 23,768 | \$ | 128,951 |
| Wages, fringe and accrued absences payable |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,548 |  | 1,358 |
| Due to other governments |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 10,590 |
| Due to other funds |  | - |  | - |  | 744 |  | 854,972 |  | 30,406 |  | 199,723 |
| total liabilities |  | - |  | 109.560 |  | 679,743 |  | 881,782 |  | 55,722 |  | 340,622 |
| FUND BALANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserved |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 43,874 |  | - |
|  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL FUND balance |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 43,874 |  | - |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND baldance | \$ |  | \$ | 109,560 | \$ | 679,743 | \$ | 881,782 | \$ | 99,596 | \$ | 340,622 |

SPECIAL REVENUE

| ASSETS |  |  | JUVENiLE SERVICES |  | DA HOT CHECK |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { TAX ASSESSOR } \\ \text { VIT }}}{\text { In }}$ |  | LAGUNA HEIGHTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 1,035,037 | \$ | 14,695 | \$ | 56,258 | \$ | 1,647,911 | \$ | 5,187 |
| Accounts receivable |  | 482,930 |  | 17,274 |  | - |  | 188 |  | - |
| Due from other governments |  | - |  | 15,171 |  | 7 |  | - |  | 1 |
| Due from other funds |  | 113,879 |  | 11,888 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Other assets |  | 9,190 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 1,641,036 | \$ | 59,028 | \$ | 56,265 | \$ | 1,648,099 | \$ | 5,188 |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 162,586 | \$ | 3,398 | \$ | 1,198 | \$ | 48,037 | \$ | - |
| Wages, fringe and accrued absences payable |  | - |  | 46 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due to other governments |  | 256,072 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,398,678 |  | - |
| Due to other funds |  | 159,625 |  | 55,584 |  | - |  | - |  | 964 |
|  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| total liabilities |  | 578,283 |  | 59,028 |  | 1,198 |  | 1,446,715 |  | 964 |
| FUND balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserved |  | 1,062,753 |  | - |  | 55,067 |  | 201,384 |  | 4,224 |
| TOTAL FUND BALANCE |  | 1,062,753 |  | - |  | 55,067 |  | 201,384 |  | 4,224 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE | \$ | $\xrightarrow{1,641,036}$ | \$ | $\stackrel{\text { 59,028 }}{ }$ | \$ | 56,265 | \$ | $\xlongequal{1,648,099}$ | \$ | 5,188 |

SPECIAL REVENUE

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
COMBINING BALANCE SHEET NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS SEPTEMBER 30, 2016
CAPITAL PROJECTS

|  | PROJECT ROADMAP |  | 2011 <br> CERTIFICATES <br> OF <br> OBLIGATION |  | 2014 <br> CERTIFICATES <br> OF <br> ObligAtion |  | TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECTS FUNDS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 49,575 | \$ | 2,114,880 | \$ | 8,624,383 | \$ | 10,788,838 |
| Accounts receivable |  | 6 |  | 405 |  | 1,087 |  | 1,498 |
| Due from other governments |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due from other funds |  | - |  | 185 |  | - |  | 185 |
| Other assets |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 49,581 | \$ | 2,115,470 | \$ | 8,625,470 | \$ | 10,790,521 |
| LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | - | \$ | 61,766 | \$ | 664,580 | \$ | 726,346 |
| Wages, fringe and accrued absences payable |  | - |  | 1,127 |  | - |  | 1,127 |
| Due to other governments |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due to other funds |  | - |  | 576,518 |  | - |  | 576,518 |
|  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| total liabilities |  | - |  | 639,411 |  | 664,580 |  | 1,303,991 |
| FUND BALANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserved |  | 49,581 |  | 1,476,059 |  | 7,960,890 |  | 9,486,530 |
| TOTAL FUND BALANCE |  | 49,581 |  | 1,476,059 |  | 7,960,890 |  | 9,486,530 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE | \$ | 49,581 | \$ | 2,115,470 | \$ | 8,625,470 | \$ | 10,790,521 |

DEBT SERVICE

| TOTAL NONMAJOR <br> GOVERNMENTAL <br> FUNDS |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | $36,737,930$ |
|  | $5,177,625$ |
|  | 805,096 |
|  | - |
|  | $4,327,131$ |
|  | $3,833,826$ |
|  | 683,718 |
|  | - |
| $\$$ | $51,565,326$ |


|  |  |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |


| total debt SERVICE FUNDS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 5,837,270 |
|  | 492 |
|  | 805,096 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | 589,962 |
|  |  |
| \$ | $\xrightarrow{7,232,820}$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| \$ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | 753,535 |
|  | 753,535 |
|  |  |
| 6,479,285 |  |
|  |  |
| 6,479,285 |  |
|  |  |
| \$ | 7,232,820 |




| \|c|| |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\leftrightarrow$ | $\infty$ |





339,507


LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE

## LIABILITIES

Wages, fringe and accrued absences payable Due to other governments
Due to other funds
Deferred Revenue
TOTAL LIABILITIES
FUND BALANCE
Reserved for debt service
Reserved for specieal revenue
Reserved for capital projects
TOTAL FUND BALANCE
GONVTVG GNOA GNV SAILITIGVIT TVLOL
CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30

| SPECIAL REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

SPECIAL REVENUE

SPECIAL REVENUE

| ECIAL REV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TUBERCULOSISPROGRAM |  | HEALTH BLOCKGRANT |  | DELMAR HEIGHTS |  | VALLE <br> ESCONDIDO SEWER PROJECT |  | COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS ASSISTANCE PLAN |  | WIC |  | JUVENILE PROGRAMS |  |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intergovernmental | \$ | 472,538 | \$ | 1,157,453 | \$ | 332,745 | \$ | 2,031 | \$ | 345,352 | \$ | 4,186,006 | \$ | - |
| Charges for services |  |  |  | 57,265 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Fines and forfeitures |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Miscellaneous |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 44,697 |  | 1,350 |  |  |
|  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL REVENUES |  | 472,538 |  | 1,214,718 |  | 332,745 |  | 2,031 |  | 390,049 |  | 4,187,356 |  |  |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Law enforcement and public safety |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 358,836 |  | - |  | - |
| Highways and streets |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Health |  | 464,652 |  | 1,154,882 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 4,177,412 |  |  |
| Welfare |  | - |  | - |  | 332,745 |  | 2,031 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Capital Outlay |  | 7,911 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 11,119 |  |  |
|  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES |  | 472,563 |  | 1,154,882 |  | 332,745 |  | 2,031 |  | 358,836 |  | 4,188,531 |  | - |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | (25) |  | 59,836 |  | - |  | - |  | 31,213 |  | $(1,175)$ |  | - |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sale of capital assets |  | 25 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,175 |  | - |
| Transfers in |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfers (out) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | (421) |  | - |  | - |
|  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | 25 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | (421) |  | 1,175 |  | - |
| Net change in fund balances |  | - |  | 59,836 |  | - |  | - |  | 30,792 |  | - |  | - |
| Fund Balances - October 1,2015 |  | 25 |  | 599,306 |  | - |  | - |  | 3,411,932 |  | 470 |  | 2 |
| Prior Period Adjustment |  | (25) |  | $(15,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (470) |  | (2) |
| FUND BALANCES - SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | - | \$ | $\underline{644,142}$ | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 3,442,724 | \$ | - | \$ | - |

SPECIAL REVENUE
COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,

SPECIAL REVENUE


SPECIAL REVENUE

| SPECIAL REVENUE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^6]CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PROJECT } \\ & \text { ROADMAP } \end{aligned}$ |  | 2011 <br> CERTIFICATES <br> OF <br> ObLIGATION |  | 2014 <br> CERTIFICATES <br> OF <br> OBLIGATION |  | TOTALCAPITALPROJECTSFUNDS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 32,919 | \$ | 4,705 | \$ |  | \$ | 37,624 |
|  | 29 |  | 9,292 |  | 17,481 |  | 26,802 |
|  | 32,948 |  | 13,997 |  | 17,481 |  | 64,426 |
|  | - |  | 11,216 |  | 881,351 |  | 892,567 |
|  | - |  | 1,629,240 |  | 4,615,035 |  | 6,244,275 |
|  | - |  | 1,640,456 |  | 5,496,386 |  | 7,136,842 |
|  | 32,948 |  | $(1,626,459)$ |  | (5,478,905) |  | $(7,072,416)$ |
|  | - |  | $(576,518)$ |  | - |  | (576,518) |
|  | - |  | (576,518) |  | - |  | $(576,518)$ |
|  | 32,948 |  | $(2,202,977)$ |  | (5,478,905) |  | (7,648,934) |
|  | 16,633 |  | 3,679,036 |  | 13,439,795 |  | 17,135,464 |
| \$ | 49,581 | \$ | 1,476,059 | \$ | 7,960,890 | \$ | 9,486,530 |

REVENUES
Intergovernmental
Miscellaneous
TOTAL REVENUES
EXPENDITURES Highways and Streets
Capital outlay
TOTAL EXPENDITURES
CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SAONVTVG añani sajnvho anv saynliangdxa 'sannanty do unawalvis oninigwoo NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30

DEBT SERVICE


LEがLLS

TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)
EXPENDITURES General government

Law enforment and
Highway and streets
Health
Costs
Bond Issuance Costs
Capital Outlay
Interest and fiscal charges
TOTAL EXPENDITURES

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures } \\
& \text { OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) } \\
& \text { Sale of capital assets } \\
& \text { Capital Lease Financing } \\
& \text { Transfers in } \\
& \text { Transfers (out) }
\end{aligned}
$$

TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)
Net change in fund balances
Fund Balances - October 1, 2015
Prior Period Adjustment
Prior Period Adjustment
FUND BALANCES - SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

# CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS MAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS 

## GENERAL FUND

The General Fund accounts for the resources used to finance the fundamental operations of the County. It is the basic fund of the County and covers all activities for which a separate fund has not been established.

## ROAD \& BRIDGE FUND

This fund accounts for revenues and expenditures pertaining to the construction and maintenance operations of County roads and subdivision oversight in the County.

## 2016 CERTIFICATES OF OBLIGATION

This fund is used to account for projects funded with the issuance of Certificates of Obligation in 2016.

# CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS <br> SCHEDULE OF GENERAL FUND <br> REVENUES COMPARED WITH BUDGET (GAAP BASIS) <br> FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 

| Taxes |  | Original <br> Budget | Final <br> Budget | Actual | Variance with Final Budget Positive(Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Current Advalorem Taxes | \$50,652,349 | \$50,652,349 | \$51,035,132 | \$ | 382,783 |
|  | Delinquent Advalorem Taxes | 1,998,435 | 1,998,435 | 1,856,549 |  | $(141,886)$ |
|  | TIRZ | $(1,286,673)$ | $(1,286,673)$ | $(1,286,673)$ |  | - |
|  | Discounts | $(1,006,437)$ | $(1,006,437)$ | $(1,077,655)$ |  | $(71,218)$ |
|  | Commissions | $(530,302)$ | $(530,302)$ | $(528,077)$ |  | 2,225 |
|  | Errors and Adjustments | $(263,254)$ | $(263,254)$ | $(260,423)$ |  | 2,831 |
|  | Penalties and Interest | 1,385,824 | 1,385,824 | 1,308,587 |  | $(77,237)$ |
|  | Misc Pmts - in lieu of taxes | - | - | 100,000 |  | 100,000 |
| Total | Taxes | 50,949,942 | 50,949,942 | 51,147,440 |  | 197,498 |
| Licenses and Permits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Beer Licenses (net) | 33,000 | 33,000 | 43,083 |  | 10,083 |
|  | Liquor Licenses (net) | 86,449 | 86,449 | 76,031 |  | $(10,418)$ |
|  | Gaming licenses | 26,500 | 26,500 | 19,293 |  | $(7,207)$ |
|  | Certificates of Title | 441,725 | 441,725 | 508,000 |  | 66,275 |
|  | Tax Certificates | 6,550 | 6,550 | 6,390 |  | (160) |
| Total | Licenses and Permits | 594,224 | 594,224 | 652,797 |  | 58,573 |
| Intergovernmental Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Indir.CostReimb.-BorderHealth | 17,355 | 17,355 | 19,663 |  | 2,308 |
|  | Bio terrorism-indirect cost | 52,500 | 52,500 | 54,649 |  | 2,149 |
|  | Indir.CostReimb.-WICGrants Aid | 550,000 | 550,000 | 646,279 |  | 96,279 |
|  | Fee Revenue Admin Fee | - | - | 13,500 |  | 13,500 |
|  | Contributions from other Entit | - | 35,000 | - |  | $(35,000)$ |
|  | State Revenue | - | 55,000 | - |  | $(55,000)$ |
|  | Emergency Services District | 145,000 | 185,000 | 194,500 |  | 9,500 |
|  | Fee Revenue Admin Fee | 300,000 | 300,000 | 350,000 |  | 50,000 |
|  | Federal Wildlife Allocation | 31,575 | 31,575 | 42,779 |  | 11,204 |
|  | State Mixed Drink Tax | 737,432 | 737,432 | 884,286 |  | 146,854 |
|  | State Bingo Tax | 100,620 | 100,620 | 72,553 |  | $(28,067)$ |
|  | Sales Tax Commissions-Tax Auto | 2,195,093 | 2,195,093 | 2,347,420 |  | 152,327 |
|  | Fee Revenue Admin Fee | 9,500 | 9,500 | 120 |  | $(9,380)$ |
|  | State Revenue | - | 189,571 | 30,786 |  | $(158,785)$ |
|  | Emergency Services District | 12,000 | 12,000 | 9,000 |  | $(3,000)$ |
|  | Indirect Cost | 9,860 | 14,360 | 27,742 |  | 13,382 |
|  | Aid Count Court at Law | 84,000 | 84,000 | 84,000 |  | - |
|  | Aid Count Court at Law | 84,000 | 84,000 | 84,000 |  | - |
|  | Aid Count Court at Law | 84,000 | 84,000 | 84,000 |  | - |
|  | State Revenue | 307,688 | 307,688 | 408,816 |  | 101,128 |
|  | Reimbursement Indigent defense | 127,525 | 127,525 | 115,111 |  | $(12,414)$ |
|  | DA Food Stamp Prosecutions | 2,500 | 2,500 | 9,240 |  | 6,740 |
|  | State Aid-County Attorney | 56,000 | 56,000 | 63,100 |  | 7,100 |
|  | Indirect Cost | 12,000 | 12,000 | 14,304 |  | 2,304 |
|  | Rental TxDot lease | - | - | 16,500 |  | 16,500 |
|  | Prisoner Transport | 44,250 | 44,250 | - |  | $(44,250)$ |
|  | Contributions from other Entit | - | 42,365 | 40,000 |  | $(2,365)$ |
|  | State Tobacco Reimbursement | 145,000 | 145,000 | 149,388 |  | 4,388 |
|  | State Revenue | - | - | 7,895 |  | 7,895 |
|  | State Revenue | 60,000 | 60,000 | 36,851 |  | $(23,149)$ |
| Total | Intergovernmental Revenues | 5,167,898 | 5,534,334 | 5,806,482 |  | 272,148 |


|  | Original Budget | Final Budget | Actual | Variance with Final Budget Positive(Negative) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charges for Services |  |  |  |  |
| County Clerk-Records Mgmt | 439,406 | 439,406 | 338,749 | $(100,657)$ |
| County Clerk-Records Mgmt | 486,715 | 486,715 | 111,772 | $(374,943)$ |
| Inspections | 20,148 | 20,148 | 21,288 | 1,140 |
| Emergency Mgmt Citations | 20 | 20 | 5 | (15) |
| Labor Charges | 50,146 | 50,146 | 64,430 | 14,284 |
| Labor Charges | 24,000 | 24,000 | 2,327 | $(21,673)$ |
| Service Fees | 23,132 | 23,132 | 15,547 | $(7,585)$ |
| County Clerk-Judicial Rec Mgt | 18,866 | 18,866 | 20,379 | 1,513 |
| Judges Signature Probate Fee | 675 | 675 | 674 | (1) |
| Judges Signature Probate Fee | 675 | 675 | 674 | (1) |
| Judges Signature Probate Fee | 675 | 675 | 674 | (1) |
| Steno Fees and Interpreter Fee | 76,370 | 76,370 | 80,255 | 3,885 |
| Jury Fees | 288,916 | 288,916 | 328,117 | 39,201 |
| Service Fees | 25,160 | 25,160 | 20,496 | $(4,664)$ |
| Dist Clerk-Judicial Recd Mgmt | 32,400 | 32,400 | 34,375 | 1,975 |
| Dist. Clerk-Passport Revenue | 26,712 | 26,712 | 40,168 | 13,456 |
| Research fees - District Clrk | 29,688 | 29,688 | 23,703 | $(5,986)$ |
| Passport Picture fee-Dist Clrk | 7,500 | 7,500 | 11,800 | 4,300 |
| Retrieval fees - Dist. Clerk | 2,160 | 2,160 | 870 | $(1,290)$ |
| District Clerk | 652,064 | 652,064 | 684,220 | 32,156 |
| Justices of the Peace | 4,562 | 4,562 | 3,795 | (767) |
| Justices of the Peace | 30,360 | 30,360 | 34,865 | 4,505 |
| Justices of the Peace | 28,726 | 28,726 | 16,193 | $(12,533)$ |
| Justices of the Peace | 8,200 | 8,200 | 9,361 | 1,161 |
| Justices of the Peace | 9,950 | 9,950 | 5,896 | $(4,055)$ |
| Justices of the Peace | 11,875 | 11,875 | 8,248 | $(3,627)$ |
| Justices of the Peace | 13,590 | 13,590 | 17,518 | 3,928 |
| Justices of the Peace | 2,850 | 2,850 | 1,974 | (876) |
| Justices of the Peace | 9,222 | 9,222 | 7,687 | $(1,536)$ |
| Justices of the Peace | 4,223 | 4,223 | 20,215 | 15,992 |
| County Attorney | 107,493 | 107,493 | 80,920 | $(26,573)$ |
| Justices of the Peace | 50 | 50 | 434 | 384 |
| Inspections | 134,640 | 134,640 | 69,400 | $(65,240)$ |
| Beer, Wine, Liquor Commissions | 9,550 | 9,550 | 11,581 | 2,031 |
| Tax Assessor/Collector-Auto | 793,940 | 793,940 | 1,010,195 | 216,255 |
| Jail-State, Other | 64,992 | 64,992 | 90,766 | 25,774 |
| Jail-Federal | 6,798,125 | 6,798,125 | 6,015,591 | $(782,534)$ |
| Service Fees | 7,218 | 7,218 | 8,803 | 1,585 |
| Constable Precinct \#1 | 1,710 | 1,710 | 60 | $(1,650)$ |
| Service Fees | 118,500 | 118,500 | 170,242 | 51,742 |
| Constable Precinct \#2 | 61,677 | 61,677 | 12,035 | $(49,642)$ |
| Service Fees | 1,500 | 1,500 | 950 | (550) |
| Service Fees | 64,832 | 64,832 | 97,534 | 32,702 |
| Constable Precinct \#3 | 1,763 | 1,763 | 2,525 | 762 |
| Service Fees | 16,735 | 16,735 | 19,123 | 2,388 |
| Constable Precinct \#4 | 7,250 | 7,250 | 10,045 | 2,795 |
| Service Fees | 155,000 | 155,000 | 211,033 | 56,033 |
| Constable Precinct \#5 | 7,932 | 7,932 | 6,600 | $(1,332)$ |
| Sheriff Fees | 325,000 | 325,000 | 296,660 | $(28,340)$ |
| Sheriff Arrest Fees | 9,050 | 9,050 | 17,250 | 8,200 |
| Registration Fees-Flu Vaccine | 10,300 | 10,300 | 5,758 | $(4,542)$ |
| Subdivision Plat InspectionFee | 4,900 | 4,900 | 3,600 | $(1,300)$ |


|  |  | Original <br> Budget | Final Budget | Actual | Variance <br> with Final Budget Positive(Negative) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inspections | 75,000 | 75,000 | 85,450 | 10,450 |
|  | Septic Tank Fees | 53,050 | 53,050 | 51,040 | $(2,010)$ |
| Total | Charges for Services | 11,159,193 | 11,159,193 | 10,203,864 | $(955,329)$ |
| Fines and Forfeitures |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fee Revenue | 1,061,000 | 1,061,000 | 1,048,819 | $(12,181)$ |
|  | Fee Revenue | 251,200 | 251,200 | 198,610 | $(52,590)$ |
|  | Court Cost | 751 | 751 | 929 | 178 |
|  | Fines | 472,000 | 472,000 | 376,620 | $(95,380)$ |
|  | JPTech Fee | - | 1,800 | - | $(1,800)$ |
|  | Fee Revenue | 37,595 | 37,595 | 32,393 | $(5,202)$ |
|  | Court Cost | 300 | 300 | 445 | 145 |
|  | Fines | - | - | 4 | 4 |
|  | Fee Revenue | 155,139 | 155,139 | 180,266 | 25,127 |
|  | Fee Revenue | 59,190 | 59,190 | 65,964 | 6,774 |
|  | District Clerk | 610,000 | 610,000 | 446,699 | $(163,301)$ |
|  | Fines | 36,215 | 36,215 | 26,238 | $(9,977)$ |
|  | Fee Revenue | 3,061 | 3,061 | - | $(3,061)$ |
|  | JPTech Fee | 110,123 | 110,123 | 85,744 | $(24,379)$ |
|  | Fee Revenue | 198,741 | 198,741 | 313,788 | 115,047 |
|  | Court Cost | 12,783 | 12,783 | 13,529 | 746 |
|  | Fines | 4,550 | 4,550 | 6,412 | 1,862 |
|  | Fee Revenue | 364,085 | 364,085 | 403,595 | 39,510 |
|  | Court Cost | 14,110 | 14,110 | 16,344 | 2,234 |
|  | Fines | 6,900 | 6,900 | 7,677 | 777 |
|  | Fee Revenue | 296,500 | 296,500 | 434,894 | 138,394 |
|  | Court Cost | 10,670 | 10,670 | 14,454 | 3,784 |
|  | Fines | 5,930 | 5,930 | 6,685 | 755 |
|  | Fee Revenue | 106,740 | 106,740 | 194,121 | 87,381 |
|  | Court Cost | 6,685 | 6,685 | 8,980 | 2,295 |
|  | Fines | 5,650 | 5,650 | 7,058 | 1,408 |
|  | Fee Revenue | 131,703 | 131,703 | 234,063 | 102,360 |
|  | Court Cost | 6,485 | 6,485 | 9,403 | 2,918 |
|  | Fines | 4,205 | 4,205 | 6,577 | 2,372 |
|  | Fee Revenue | 95,540 | 95,540 | 132,775 | 37,235 |
|  | Court Cost | 4,420 | 4,420 | 5,899 | 1,479 |
|  | Fines | 3,260 | 3,260 | 3,870 | 610 |
|  | Fee Revenue | 199,760 | 199,760 | 273,966 | 74,206 |
|  | Court Cost | 10,200 | 10,200 | 13,950 | 3,750 |
|  | Fines | 6,795 | 6,795 | 8,618 | 1,823 |
|  | Fee Revenue | 195,703 | 195,703 | 289,629 | 93,926 |
|  | Court Cost | 7,565 | 7,565 | 11,660 | 4,095 |
|  | Fines | 5,290 | 5,290 | 6,181 | 891 |
|  | Fee Revenue | 80,131 | 80,131 | 169,059 | 88,928 |
|  | Court Cost | 5,161 | 5,161 | 8,319 | 3,158 |
|  | Fines | 64 | 64 | 4,571 | 4,507 |
|  | Fee Revenue | 75,000 | 75,000 | 74,086 | (914) |
|  | Court Cost | 3,750 | 3,750 | 3,017 | (733) |
|  | Fines | 5,393 | 5,393 | 2,480 | $(2,913)$ |
|  | Fee Revenue | 1,581 | 1,581 | 4,870 | 3,289 |
|  | Fee Revenue | - | - | 1,223 | 1,223 |
|  | Fee Revenue | 559 | 559 | 3,141 | 2,582 |
|  | Fee Revenue | 149,700 | 149,700 | 123,112 | $(26,588)$ |


|  |  | Original <br> Budget | Final Budget | Actual | Variance <br> with Final Budget Positive(Negative) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fee Revenue | 108,076 | 108,076 | 77,517 | $(30,559)$ |
|  | Fines | - | - | 292 | 292 |
| Total | Fines and Forfeitures | 4,930,259 | 4,932,059 | 5,358,548 | 426,489 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Copy Reimbursements | 72,757 | 72,757 | 73,702 | 945 |
|  | Interest Income | 2,083 | 2,083 | 2,585 | 502 |
|  | Miscellaneous | - | - | 30 | 30 |
|  | Miscellaneous Revenue | - | - | 228 | 228 |
|  | Settlements | - | - | 55,199 | 55,199 |
|  | Miscellaneous Revenue | - | - | 210 | 210 |
|  | County's Waste Collection fee | 215,000 | 215,000 | 214,172 | (828) |
|  | Interest Income | 17,404 | 17,404 | 59,479 | 42,075 |
|  | Vending Machine Commissions | 3,280 | 3,280 | 4,801 | 1,521 |
|  | Miscellaneous | 55,000 | 55,000 | 234,015 | 179,015 |
|  | Snack Bar Commissions | 3,600 | 3,600 | 3,900 | 300 |
|  | Reimburse - Salaries | 13,000 | 13,000 | 13,000 | - |
|  | Program Revenues | 2,500 | 2,500 | 274 | $(2,226)$ |
|  | Bail Bond Fees | - | - | 19,280 | 19,280 |
|  | Vending Machine Commissions | 200 | 200 | - | (200) |
|  | Copy Reimbursements | 53,880 | 53,880 | 45,240 | $(8,640)$ |
|  | Transaction Fee | 10,120 | 10,120 | 8,213 | $(1,907)$ |
|  | Interest Income | 10,358 | 10,358 | 8,215 | $(2,143)$ |
|  | Miscellaneous | - | - | 150 | 150 |
|  | Long/Short | - | - | (150) | (150) |
|  | Miscellaneous | - | - | 361 | 361 |
|  | Interest Income | - | - | 4 | 4 |
|  | Long/Short | - | - | 0 | 0 |
|  | Copy Reimbursement-Dist Clerk | 175,000 | 175,000 | 155,079 | $(19,921)$ |
|  | District Clerk FAX Fee | 25 | 25 | 35 | 10 |
|  | Transaction Fee | 16,986 | 16,986 | 12,250 | $(4,736)$ |
|  | Interest Income | 25,025 | 25,025 | 17,193 | $(7,832)$ |
|  | Miscellaneous | - | - | 5,584 | 5,584 |
|  | TWX Reimbursement | - | - | 1,050 | 1,050 |
|  | Interest Income | 85 | 85 | 91 | 6 |
|  | Long/Short | - | - | 10 | 10 |
|  | Interest Income | 170 | 170 | 116 | (54) |
|  | Long/Short | - | - | 45 | 45 |
|  | Interest Income | 154 | 154 | 110 | (44) |
|  | Long/Short | 20 | 20 | 0 | (20) |
|  | Interest Income | 75 | 75 | 63 | (12) |
|  | Long/Short | - | - | 684 | 684 |
|  | Interest Income | 60 | 60 | 63 | 3 |
|  | Long/Short | - | - | 1 | 1 |
|  | Interest Income | 70 | 70 | 42 | (28) |
|  | Long/Short | - | - | 100 | 100 |
|  | Interest Income | 125 | 125 | 95 | (30) |
|  | Interest Income | 91 | 91 | 75 | (16) |
|  | Long/Short | - | - |  | 1 |
|  | Interest Income | 234 | 234 | 54 | (180) |
|  | Miscellaneous | 250 | 250 | - | (250) |
|  | Long/Short | - | - | 0 | 0 |
|  | Interest Income | 40 | 40 | 20 | (20) |


|  |  | Original <br> Budget | Final Budget | Actual | Variance with Final Budget Positive(Negative) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Long/Short | - | - | 40 | 40 |
|  | Miscellaneous | - | - | 84,082 | 84,082 |
|  | Audit Fees | 33,633 | 33,633 | 34,241 | 608 |
|  | Miscellaneous | - | - | 25 | 25 |
|  | Vehicle Inventory Tax Surplus | 51,120 | 51,120 | 35,369 | $(15,751)$ |
|  | Tax Commissions-AdValorem | 2,085,000 | 2,085,000 | 2,080,434 | $(4,566)$ |
|  | Insurance Proceeds | - | 9,539 | 9,539 | 0 |
|  | Interest Income | 30,000 | 30,000 | 7,341 | $(22,659)$ |
|  | Miscellaneous | 10,500 | 10,500 | 21,325 | 10,825 |
|  | TWX Reimbursement | 3,446 | 3,446 | 4,298 | 852 |
|  | Long/Short | 2,500 | 2,500 | 6,982 | 4,482 |
|  | Vehicle Inventory Tax Surplus | 147,855 | 147,855 | 79,755 | $(68,100)$ |
|  | Land Rental | 22,320 | 22,320 | 22,320 | - |
|  | Reimburse - Salaries | 136,375 | 136,375 | 128,599 | $(7,776)$ |
|  | Miscellaneous | - | - | 15,526 | 15,526 |
|  | Pay Phones Commissions | 650,000 | 650,000 | 619,794 | $(30,206)$ |
|  | Reimbursement Inmate damages | 500 | 500 | 329 | (171) |
|  | Land Rental | - | - | 36,000 | 36,000 |
|  | Miscellaneous | 16,500 | 16,500 | 14,201 | $(2,299)$ |
|  | Program Revenues | - | - | 50 | 50 |
|  | Long/Short | - | - | (60) | (60) |
|  | Insurance Proceeds | - | - | 10,227 | 10,227 |
|  | Program Revenues | 276,665 | 276,665 | 253,746 | $(22,919)$ |
|  | Insurance Proceeds | - | - | 7,790 | 7,790 |
|  | Miscellaneous | 8,500 | 8,500 | 5,322 | $(3,178)$ |
|  | Miscellaneous | 5,800 | 5,800 | 9,743 | 3,943 |
|  | Restitution Rental | 24,000 | 24,000 | 24,000 | 0 |
|  | Miscellaneous | - | - | 270 | 270 |
|  | Program Revenues | - | - | 74 | 74 |
|  | Interest Income | 325 | 325 | 191 | (134) |
|  | Miscellaneous | 3,200 | 3,200 | 7,477 | 4,277 |
|  | Insurance Proceeds | - | 10,675 | 10,675 | 0 |
|  | Miscellaneous | 13,185 | 13,185 | 14,766 | 1,581 |
|  | Miscellaneous | - | - | 692 | 692 |
| Total | Miscellaneous | 4,199,016 | 4,219,230 | 4,480,829 | 261,599 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Financing Proceeds | - | 1,023,841 | 1,023,841 | 0 |
|  | Sale of Surplus | - | - | 85,610 | 85,610 |
|  | Sale of Land | - | - | 500 | 500 |
|  | Sale of Surplus | - | - | 3,040 | 3,040 |
| Total | OTHER FINANCING SOURCES | - | 1,023,841 | 1,112,991 | 89,150 |
| TOTAL REVENUES \& OPERATING TRANSFERS |  | \$77,000,532 | \$78,412,823 | \$78,762,951 | \$ 350,128 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS<br>SCHEDULE OF GENERAL FUND<br>EXPENDITURES COMPARED WITH BUDGET (GAAP BASIS)<br>FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

## GENERAL GOVERNMENT <br> COUNTY JUDGE <br> HUMAN RESOURCES <br> CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION <br> COUNTY CLERK <br> RECORDS MANAGEMENT <br> OLD RECORDS RETRIEVAL <br> VETERAN'S SERVICE OFFICE <br> EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT <br> MAIL ROOM <br> DATA PROCESSING <br> GENERAL ADMINISTRATION <br> CIVIL DIVISION <br> COMMISSIONER PCT. \#1 <br> COMMISSIONER PCT. \#2 <br> COMMISSIONER PCT. \#3 <br> COMMISSIONER PCT. \#4 <br> REPRODUCTION <br> P.D.\& M. CENSUS <br> PROGRAM, DEVELOPMENT \& MGT <br> VEHICLE MAINTENANCE <br> VOTER REGISTRATION \& ELECTIONS <br> COUNTY AUDITOR <br> COUNTY PURCHASING <br> MOTOR VEHICLE INSPECTION <br> COUNTY TREASURER <br> TAX ASSESSOR/COLLECTOR <br> AUTO THEFT TASK FORCE <br> M\&O VETERANS' OFFICE <br> M\&O ELECTIONS OFFICE <br> M\&O LEVEE ST. ANNEX <br> M\&O CAMERON PARK LAW ENFORCEMT <br> M\&O LOS FRESNOS <br> M\&O RIO HONDO ANNEX <br> M\&O PORT ISABEL ANNEX <br> M\&O DANCY BUILDING <br> M\&O SANTA ROSA TECHNOLOGY CTR <br> M\&O HARLINGEN BUILDING <br> M\&O COURTHOUSE BUILDING <br> M\&O SAN BENITO ANNEX <br> M\&O RECORDS WAREHOUSE <br> M\&O LA FERIA ANNEX <br> M\&O ARROYO CITY FIRE STATION <br> HISTORICAL COMMITTEE <br> FARM \& HOME DEMONSTRATION <br> M\&O TICK ERRADICATION <br> TOTAL GENERAL GOVERNMENT

LAW ENFORCEMENT \& PUBLIC SAFETY
BAIL BOND ADMINISTRATION
COUNTY CLERK - JUDICIAL
COUNTY COURT AT LAW I

| ORIGINAL BUDGET | FINAL BUDGET | ACTUAL | Variance with Final Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 273,821 | \$ 250,514 | 141,158 | \$ | 109,356 |
| 100,277 | 160,639 | 122,723 |  | 37,916 |
| 94,339 | 96,054 | 94,445 |  | 1,609 |
| 971,415 | 951,727 | 914,689 |  | 37,038 |
| 439,406 | 382,406 | 338,749 |  | 43,657 |
| 486,715 | 486,715 | 111,772 |  | 374,943 |
| 212,483 | 212,483 | 204,219 |  | 8,264 |
| 505,050 | 594,509 | 557,987 |  | 36,522 |
| 27,144 | 27,144 | 24,038 |  | 3,106 |
| 1,801,542 | 1,994,514 | 1,853,715 |  | 140,799 |
| 2,170,267 | 2,210,539 | 2,209,925 |  | 614 |
| 619,712 | 613,655 | 520,490 |  | 93,165 |
| 72,361 | 74,486 | 74,405 |  | 81 |
| 72,058 | 72,190 | 72,167 |  | 23 |
| 75,562 | 75,562 | 75,389 |  | 173 |
| 72,058 | 72,058 | 71,558 |  | 500 |
| 170,647 | 170,647 | 167,136 |  | 3,511 |
| - | 189,571 | 30,786 |  | 158,785 |
| 360,161 | 364,661 | 359,887 |  | 4,774 |
| 332,643 | 332,643 | 317,683 |  | 14,960 |
| 1,012,579 | 1,173,936 | 1,168,695 |  | 5,241 |
| 1,526,422 | 1,522,002 | 1,473,298 |  | 48,704 |
| 402,423 | 414,823 | 413,216 |  | 1,607 |
| 63,838 | 56,752 | 18,553 |  | 38,199 |
| 259,466 | 267,110 | 266,960 |  | 150 |
| 3,235,187 | 3,250,437 | 3,089,424 |  | 161,013 |
| 147,855 | 147,855 | 79,140 |  | 68,715 |
| 19,200 | 19,200 | 7,106 |  | 12,094 |
| 19,200 | 19,200 | 14,074 |  | 5,126 |
| - | 3,560 | 3,555 |  | 5 |
| 9,350 | 9,350 | 4,469 |  | 4,881 |
| 60,229 | 60,229 | 38,597 |  | 21,632 |
| 28,576 | 28,576 | 21,506 |  | 7,070 |
| 38,000 | 38,000 | 26,546 |  | 11,454 |
| 402,516 | 402,516 | 350,273 |  | 52,243 |
| 44,056 | 40,496 | 33,307 |  | 7,189 |
| 119,086 | 119,086 | 103,222 |  | 15,864 |
| 1,800,215 | 1,815,948 | 1,556,142 |  | 259,806 |
| 304,144 | 304,144 | 286,678 |  | 17,466 |
| 40,425 | 40,425 | 39,010 |  | 1,415 |
| 67,638 | 68,463 | 64,780 |  | 3,683 |
| 9,000 | 8,175 | 6,066 |  | 2,109 |
| 2,700 | 2,700 | 949 |  | 1,751 |
| 277,539 | 309,116 | 276,244 |  | 32,873 |
| 10,125 | 10,125 | 9,251 |  | 874 |
| 18,757,430 | 19,464,941 | 17,613,980 |  | 1,850,961 |


| 57,177 | 58,045 | 56,275 | 1,770 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1,033,062$ | $1,033,062$ | 979,441 | 53,621 |
| 619,139 | 619,139 | 596,769 | 22,370 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS<br>SCHEDULE OF GENERAL FUND<br>EXPENDITURES COMPARED WITH BUDGET (GAAP BASIS)<br>FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ORIGINAL } \\ \text { BUDGET } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FINAL } \\ \text { BUDGET } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ACTUAL | Variance with Final Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROBATE COURT | 188,960 | 191,560 | 164,812 | 26,748 |
| COUNTY COURT AT LAW II | 533,408 | 533,408 | 530,111 | 3,297 |
| COUNTY COURT AT LAW III | 534,519 | 535,640 | 531,432 | 4,208 |
| DISTRICT COURTS | 3,391,479 | 3,389,065 | 3,328,361 | 60,704 |
| INDIGENT DEFENSE | 2,474,597 | 2,466,069 | 2,259,858 | 206,211 |
| CRIMINAL HEARINGS OFFICER | 190,218 | 196,083 | 193,771 | 2,312 |
| JUVENILE COURT | 334,907 | 344,921 | 332,579 | 12,342 |
| M\&O MAGISTRATE COURT | 19,225 | 6,825 | - | 6,825 |
| DISTRICT CLERK | 2,179,670 | 2,205,032 | 2,114,665 | 90,367 |
| JUSTICE OF PEACE ADMIN. | 120,123 | 120,123 | 86,370 | 33,753 |
| JUSTICE OF THE PEACE \#1 | 206,003 | 206,003 | 203,040 | 2,963 |
| JUSTICE OF THE PEACE \#2-1 | 290,230 | 290,230 | 288,017 | 2,213 |
| JUSTICE OF THE PEACE \#2-2 | 251,423 | 251,423 | 240,611 | 10,812 |
| JUSTICE OF THE PEACE \#3-1 | 165,558 | 165,558 | 162,779 | 2,779 |
| JUSTICE OF THE PEACE \#3-2 | 166,008 | 166,008 | 159,184 | 6,824 |
| JUSTICE OF THE PEACE \#4 | 163,176 | 163,676 | 154,581 | 9,095 |
| JUSTICE OF THE PEACE \#5-1 | 261,887 | 270,992 | 270,846 | 146 |
| JUSTICE OF THE PEACE \#5-3 | 213,054 | 214,254 | 206,662 | 7,592 |
| JUSTICE OF THE PEACE \#5-2 | 212,504 | 218,371 | 217,927 | 444 |
| JUSTICE OF THE PEACE 2-3 | 210,263 | 210,263 | 200,495 | 9,768 |
| DISTRICT ATTORNEY | 4,614,883 | 4,614,883 | 4,512,660 | 102,223 |
| JAIL, DETENTION CENTER 1 \& 2 | 15,874,678 | 16,094,609 | 15,742,224 | 352,385 |
| M\&O JAIL | 1,952,521 | 1,972,521 | 1,954,762 | 17,759 |
| JAIL INFIRMARY | 3,213,819 | 3,219,084 | 3,079,899 | 139,185 |
| M\&O DARRELL HESTER BUILDING | 260,023 | 266,348 | 255,118 | 11,230 |
| M\&O ORANGE ST. | 58,732 | 58,732 | 52,240 | 6,492 |
| CONSTABLE PCT\#1 | 346,879 | 348,168 | 308,940 | 39,228 |
| CONSTABLE PCT\#2 | 458,685 | 491,345 | 470,288 | 21,057 |
| PCT 2 SECURITY | 719,222 | 718,822 | 713,257 | 5,565 |
| CONSTABLE PCT\#3 | 629,273 | 702,424 | 680,982 | 21,442 |
| CONSTABLE PCT\#4 | 520,060 | 579,094 | 555,027 | 24,067 |
| MENTAL HEALTH TRANSPORT | 298,244 | 298,244 | 289,913 | 8,331 |
| CONSTABLE PCT\#5 | 722,861 | 753,761 | 711,809 | 41,952 |
| SHERIFF'S OFFICE | 6,522,960 | 6,550,585 | 6,336,062 | 214,523 |
| M\&O SHERIFF'S OFFICE | 431,335 | 473,700 | 437,338 | 36,362 |
| SHERIFF'S AUTO THEFT | 398,274 | 406,109 | 404,789 | 1,320 |
| JUVENILE BOOTCAMP | 1,590,472 | 1,590,472 | 1,578,476 | 11,996 |
| JUVENILE PROBATION | 2,310,346 | 2,370,212 | 2,198,981 | 171,231 |
| JUVENILE DETENTION | 2,834,599 | 2,834,599 | 2,832,150 | 2,449 |
| ADULT PROBATION | 168,775 | 171,275 | 157,982 | 13,293 |
| TOTAL LAW ENFORCEMENT \& PUBLIC SAFETY | 57,743,231 | 58,370,737 | 56,551,485 | 1,819,252 |
| HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| M\&O BROWNSVILLE HEALTH CENTER | 104,889 | 119,862 | 104,164 | 15,698 |
| M\&O FATHER O'BRIEN HLTH CLINIC | 70,760 | 70,760 | 62,402 | 8,358 |
| M\&O HARLINGEN HEALTH BUILDING | 69,951 | 69,951 | 62,446 | 7,505 |
| HEALTH DEPARTMENT | 1,942,326 | 1,942,326 | 1,710,621 | 231,705 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | 734,920 | 793,179 | 757,569 | 35,610 |
| TOTAL HEALTH | 2,922,846 | 2,996,078 | 2,697,203 | 298,875 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF GENERAL FUND
EXPENDITURES COMPARED WITH BUDGET (GAAP BASIS) FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

## WELFARE

INDIGENT SERVICES/AUTOPSIES
CHILD WELFARE
CHILD PROTECTIVE LEGAL ADMIN
INDIGENT HEALTH CLAIMS
TOTAL WELFARE

TOTAL GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES

| ORIGINAL BUDGET | $\begin{gathered} \text { FINAL } \\ \text { BUDGET } \end{gathered}$ | ACTUAL | Variance with Final Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 733,721 | 782,806 | 782,310 | 496 |
| 681,980 | 666,080 | 665,933 | 147 |
| 137,653 | 137,653 | 135,840 | 1,813 |
| 3,135,971 | 3,135,971 | 3,135,971 | - |
| 4,689,325 | 4,722,510 | 4,720,055 | 2,455 |
| \$ 84,112,832 | \$ 85,554,266 | \$ 81,582,723 | \$ 3,971,543 |

# CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS <br> SCHEDULE OF REVENUES BUDGET AND ACTUAL <br> SPECIAL ROAD AND BRIDGE FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 

|  | Budgeted Amounts |  |  |  | ACTUAL |  | Variance with Final Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Original |  | Final |  |  |  |  |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tax Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current advalorem taxes | \$ | 7,201,809 | \$ | 7,201,809 | \$ | 7,248,694 | \$ | 46,885 |
| Delinquent advalorem taxes |  | 250,495 |  | 250,495 |  | 205,371 |  | $(45,124)$ |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discounts |  | $(144,298)$ |  | $(144,298)$ |  | $(153,061)$ |  | $(8,763)$ |
| Commissions |  | $(74,941)$ |  | $(74,941)$ |  | $(74,239)$ |  | 702 |
| Insolvents and adjustments |  | $(37,262)$ |  | $(37,262)$ |  | $(34,701)$ |  | 2,561 |
| Penalties and interest |  | 186,072 |  | 186,072 |  | 166,067 |  | $(20,005)$ |
| Total Tax Revenues |  | 7,381,875 |  | 7,381,875 |  | 7,358,131 |  | $(23,744)$ |
| Licenses and Permits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits and books |  | 285,351 |  | 285,351 |  | 421,838 |  | 136,487 |
| Automobile registration fees |  | 3,025,000 |  | 3,025,000 |  | 3,144,617 |  | 119,617 |
| Total Licenses and Permits |  | 3,310,351 |  | 3,310,351 |  | 3,566,455 |  | 256,104 |
| Intergovernmental Revenues: (State shared) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auto license revenue |  | 360,000 |  | 360,000 |  | 360,000 |  | - |
| Overweight fees |  | 31,301 |  | 31,301 |  | 132,958 |  | 101,657 |
| Contributions from Other Entities |  | - |  | 380,395 |  | 300,466 |  | $(79,929)$ |
| Indirect Cost Revenue |  | - |  | - |  | 24,837 |  | 24,837 |
| State Revenue |  | - |  | 215,901 |  | 82,221 |  | $(133,680)$ |
| Total Intergovernmental Revenues (State shared) |  | 391,301 |  | 987,597 |  | 900,481 |  | $(87,116)$ |
| Charges for Services: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Review fees |  | 24,022 |  | 24,022 |  | 27,167 |  | 3,145 |
| Total Charges for Services |  | 24,022 |  | 24,022 |  | 27,167 |  | 3,145 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recording Fees |  | 4,121 |  | 4,121 |  | 4,766 |  | 645 |
| Commercial Violations |  | 5,000 |  | 5,000 |  | 74,314 |  | 69,314 |
| Bond Forfeitures |  | 104,250 |  | 104,250 |  | 27,310 |  | $(76,940)$ |
| Interest Income |  | 11,966 |  | 11,966 |  | 17,054 |  | 5,088 |
| Program Revenues |  | 228,000 |  | 228,000 |  | 228,210 |  | 210 |
| Miscellaneous |  | - |  | - |  | 175 |  | 175 |
| Insurance Proceeds |  | - |  | - |  | 19,901 |  | 19,901 |
| Total Miscellaneous Revenues |  | 353,337 |  | 353,337 |  | 371,729 |  | 18,392 |
| Other Financing Sources: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financing Proceeds |  | - |  | 1,016,431 |  | 1,016,431 |  | 0 |
| Gain on Sale of Surplus |  | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |  | 66,425 |  | 16,425 |
| Total Other Financing Sources |  | 50,000 |  | 1,066,431 |  | 1,082,856 |  | 16,425 |
| TOTAL REVENUES AND OTHER FINANCING |  | 11,510,886 | \$ | 13,123,613 | \$ | 13,306,819 | \$ | 183,206 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF ROAD AND BRIDGE FUND
EXPENDITURES COMPARED WITH BUDGET (GAAP BASIS)
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | Budgeted Amounts |  |  |  | Actual |  | Variance with Final Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original |  | Final |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIGHWAYS AND STREETS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GIS | \$ | 276,993 | \$ | 276,927 | \$ | 234,643 | \$ | 42,284 |
| District Attorney |  | 39,445 |  | 39,511 |  | 39,305 |  | 206 |
| Precinct \#1 Commissioner Staff |  | 104,429 |  | 104,429 |  | 99,418 |  | 5,011 |
| Precinct \#2 Commissioner Staff |  | 106,075 |  | 106,075 |  | 96,565 |  | 9,510 |
| Precinct \#3 Commissioner Staff |  | 109,689 |  | 109,689 |  | 104,850 |  | 4,839 |
| Precinct \#4 Commissioner Staff |  | 105,704 |  | 105,704 |  | 98,872 |  | 6,832 |
| Consolidated Road Districts M \& O |  | 9,022,323 |  | 11,457,497 |  | 9,958,838 |  | 1,498,659 |
| CTIF Projects |  | - |  | 225,040 |  | 148,520 |  | 76,520 |
| Engineering \& Right-of-Way |  | 945,061 |  | 964,013 |  | 804,120 |  | 159,893 |
| Planning and Inspection |  | 801,167 |  | 808,350 |  | 674,380 |  | 133,970 |
| TOTAL HIGHWAYS AND STREETS | \$ | 11,510,886 |  | 14,197,235 |  | 12,259,511 | \$ | 1,937,724 |


|  | CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS <br> SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE 2016 CERTIFICATES OF OBLIGATION FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 |  |  |  |  |  | BUDGET FOR THE PROJECT |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CURRENTYEAR |  | PRIOR YEARS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CUMULATIVE } \\ \text { THROUGH } \\ 9 / 30 / 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Revenue | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Interest income |  | 167 |  | - |  | 167 |  | - |  | 167 |
| Total Miscellaneous |  | 167 |  | - |  | 167 |  | - |  | 167 |
| TOTAL REVENUES |  | 167 |  | - |  | 167 |  | - |  | 167 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Maintenance Building |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,377,300 |  | 1,377,300 |
| Levee St.Annex |  | 3,099,432 |  | - |  | 3,099,432 |  | 5,850,000 |  | 2,750,568 |
| IT Center Relocation |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 250,000 |  | 250,000 |
| Rio Hondo Annex |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | 154,440 |  | 154,440 |
| Mary Lucio Health Clinic |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 465,750 |  | 465,750 |
| Dancy Building |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,212,380 |  | 2,212,380 |
| Jail Detention Center |  | 67,703 |  | - |  | 67,703 |  | 265,000 |  | 197,297 |
| Judicial Admin Renovation |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,000,000 |  | 2,000,000 |
| Streetlight Program |  | 228,856 |  | - |  | 228,856 |  | 500,000 |  | 271,144 |
| Juvenile Detention Building |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,992,920 |  | 1,992,920 |
| Adult Probation Building Repairs |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 50,000 |  | 50,000 |
| Precincts Offices |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3,306,000 |  | 3,306,000 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES |  | 3,395,991 |  | - |  | 3,395,991 |  | 18,423,790 |  | 15,027,799 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(3,395,824)$ |  | - |  | (3,395,824) |  | (18,423,790) |  | 15,027,966 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bond issuance |  | 16,260,000 |  | - |  | 16,260,000 |  | 16,260,000 |  | - |
| Bond Discount |  | $(98,210)$ |  | - |  | $(98,210)$ |  | $(98,211)$ |  | 1 |
| Bond Premium |  | 2,403,962 |  | - |  | 2,403,962 |  | 2,403,963 |  | (1) |
| Bond issue cost |  | $(140,379)$ |  | - |  | $(140,379)$ |  | $(141,962)$ |  | 1,583 |
| Transfers in |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfers (out) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOURCES (USES) |  | 18,425,373 |  | - |  | 18,425,373 |  | 18,423,790 |  | 1,583 |
| Excess of Revenues and Other Financing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sources Over (Under) Expenditures and Other Financing Uses |  | 15,029,549 |  | - |  | 15,029,549 |  | - |  | 15,029,549 |
| FUND BALANCE - SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | 15,029,549 | \$ | - | \$ | 15,029,549 | \$ | - | \$ | $\underline{\text { 15,029,549 }}$ |

## SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS

Special Revenue Funds are used to account for specific revenues that are legally restricted to expenditures for particular purposes.

## SIGNIFICANT FUNDS

WIC Fund -

Health Block Fund -

Drug Forfeiture Trust Fund

To account for grant proceeds awarded for the funding of a nutritional program for women, infants and children.

To account for grant proceeds used to provide pre-natal care, preventive child health care, family planning and prevention and control of tuberculosis.

To account for property seized under state and federal drug laws for use in law enforcement activities.

## OTHER FUNDS

Federal Task Force Grant - Sheriff Fund Juvenile Programs Fund Colonia Paving Project Fund Lateral Road Fund
Law Library Fund
Other Governmental Elections Fund
Federal Block Grant Fund
Chapter 19 Fund
Federal Law Enforcement Fund
O.N.D.C.P. Fund

Border Health Issues Fund
TDH Immunization Action Plan Fund
Crime Victims Assistance Fund
Tuberculosis Program Fund
Del Mar Heights Fund
Valle Escondido Sewer Project Fund
Community Corrections Assist. Plan Fund

Self Help Centers Fund
Local Solid Waste Enforcement Fund
Laguna Madre Water \& Sewer
Home Disaster Assistance Grant Fund
Encumbered Pre-Trial Release Fund
Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Fund
Texas Juvenile Probation Commission Fund
Juvenile Services Fund
D. A. Hot Check Fee/Race Track Prosecution Fund Tax Assessor VIT
Laguna Heights
Pre-Trial Intervention Fund
West Rail Relocation Fund
Colonia Street Light Fund
Fifth Judicial Admin. Fund

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL

FEDERAL TASK FORCE GRANT
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016


CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL
COLONIA PAVING PROJECT FUND
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

## REVENUES <br> ROAD PROJECTS AFA <br> TOTAL REVENUES:

## EXPENDITURES

US 77/83 S. Corridor
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:

Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures


OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)
Transfer In
Transfer Out
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)

Net Change in Fund Balance

Fund Balance October 1, 2015
FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016


CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL

LATERAL ROAD FUND
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UNITIZED ROAD SYSTEM | \$ | 321,200 | \$ | 149,735 | \$ | $(171,465)$ |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 321,200 |  | 149,735 |  | $(171,465)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UNITIZED ROAD SYSTEM |  | 671,900 |  | 233,191 |  | 438,709 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 671,900 |  | 233,191 |  | 438,709 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(350,700)$ |  | $(83,456)$ |  | 267,244 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | $(350,700)$ |  | $(83,456)$ |  | 267,244 |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 430,953 |  | 430,953 |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | 80,253 | \$ | 347,497 | \$ | 267,244 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
LAW LIBRARY FUND
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

REVENUES
LAW LIBRARY
LAW LIBRARY
TOTAL REVENUES:
EXPENDITURES
LAW LIBRARY
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:

Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)
Transfer In
Transfer Out
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)

Net Change in Fund Balance

Fund Balance October 1, 2015
FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

| BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 183,000 | \$ | 192,177 | \$ | 9,177 |
|  | 650 |  | 540 |  | (110) |
|  | 183,650 |  | 192,717 |  | 9,067 |


| 183,689 | 159,310 | 24,379 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 183,689 | 159,310 | 24,379 |
| (39) | 33,407 | 33,446 |



CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL
OTHER GOVERNMENTAL ELECTIONS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTHER GOVT ELECTION | \$ | 1,000 | \$ | - | \$ | $(1,000)$ |
| ELECTIONS - GENERAL |  | 31,655 |  | 31,655 |  | - |
| ELECTIONS-REPUBLICAN |  | 125,350 |  | 125,350 |  | - |
| ELECTIONS-DEMOCRATIC |  | 172,895 |  | 172,895 |  | - |
| ELECTIONS-DEMOCRATIC RUNOFF |  | 143,754 |  | 143,753 |  | (1) |
| ELECTIONS-REPUBLICAN RUNOFF |  | 85,137 |  | 85,137 |  | - |
| LA FERIA ISD |  | 6,422 |  | 6,422 |  | - |
| CITY OF LOS FRESNOS |  | 8,441 |  | 8,441 |  | - |
| LOS FRESNOS CISD |  | 28,905 |  | 28,905 |  | - |
| CITY OF RIO HONDO |  | 11,074 |  | - |  | $(11,074)$ |
| TX SOUTHMOST COLLEGE |  | 98,748 |  | 98,747 |  | (1) |
| BROWNSVILLE NAVIGATION |  | 90,505 |  | 90,505 |  | - |
| CITY OF LA FERIA |  | 7,339 |  | 7,339 |  | - |
| BROWNSVILLE ISD |  | 110,017 |  | 110,017 |  | - |
| RIO HONDO ISD |  | 10,128 |  | 10,128 |  | - |
| CITY OF PORT ISABEL |  | 9,206 |  | 9,206 |  | - |
| SANTA MARIA ISD |  | 8,771 |  | 8,771 |  | - |
| TX SOUTHMOST JR COLLEGE RUNOFF |  | 126,923 |  | 126,922 |  | (1) |
| ELECTIONS - GENERAL |  | 71,819 |  | 71,819 |  | - |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 1,148,089 |  | 1,136,012 |  | $(12,077)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ELECTIONS - GENERAL |  | 118,468 |  | 118,468 |  | - |
| ELECTIONS-REPUBLICAN |  | 125,350 |  | 125,349 |  | 1 |
| ELECTIONS-DEMOCRATIC |  | 172,896 |  | 172,896 |  | - |
| ELECTIONS-DEMOCRATIC RUNOFF |  | 143,755 |  | 143,755 |  | - |
| ELECTIONS-REPUBLICAN RUNOFF |  | 85,138 |  | 85,137 |  | 1 |
| LA FERIA ISD |  | 6,418 |  | 6,418 |  | - |
| CITY OF LOS FRESNOS |  | 8,443 |  | 8,442 |  | 1 |
| LOS FRESNOS CISD |  | 28,907 |  | 28,906 |  | 1 |
| CITY OF RIO HONDO |  | 7,941 |  | - |  | 7,941 |
| TX SOUTHMOST COLLEGE |  | 98,748 |  | 98,747 |  | 1 |
| BROWNSVILLE NAVIGATION |  | 90,505 |  | 90,505 |  | - |
| CITY OF LA FERIA |  | 7,340 |  | 7,338 |  | 2 |
| BROWNSVILLE ISD |  | 110,018 |  | 110,017 |  | 1 |
| RIO HONDO ISD |  | 10,128 |  | 10,128 |  | - |
| CITY OF PORT ISABEL |  | 9,205 |  | 9,206 |  | (1) |
| SANTA MARIA ISD |  | 8,771 |  | 8,771 |  | - |
| TX SOUTHMOST JR COLLEGE RUNOFF |  | 126,923 |  | 126,923 |  | - |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 1,158,954 |  | 1,151,006 |  | 7,948 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(10,865)$ |  | $(14,994)$ |  | $(4,129)$ |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | $(10,865)$ |  | $(14,994)$ |  | $(4,129)$ |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 19,494 |  | 19,494 |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | 8,629 | \$ | 4,500 | \$ | $(4,129)$ |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL
FEDERAL BLOCK GRANT
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  |  | UDGET |  | AL |  | diance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VETERANS COURT GRANT FY16 | \$ | 187,000 | \$ | 23,665 | \$ | $(163,335)$ |
| VETERANS COURT GRANT PARTICIPANT FEES FY16 |  | 4,466 |  | 20,660 |  | 16,194 |
| VETERANS COURT FUND |  | 14,775 |  | - |  | $(14,775)$ |
| VETERANS COURT GRANT FY17 |  | 213,000 |  | 249 |  | $(212,751)$ |
| VETERANS COURT GRANT PARTICIPANT FEES FY17 |  | - |  | 1,168 |  | 1,168 |
| CCDA ABTPA FY17 |  | 82,500 |  | 4,492 |  | $(78,008)$ |
| CCDA ABTPA FY16 |  | 44,000 |  | 41,363 |  | $(2,637)$ |
| CCDA SWBAMLA FAST |  | 1,094,114 |  | 218,134 |  | $(875,980)$ |
| BORDER PROSECUTION UNIT FY16 |  | 250,000 |  | 167,544 |  | $(82,456)$ |
| BORDER PROSECUTION UNIT FY17 |  | 249,811 |  | 16,265 |  | $(233,546)$ |
| MENTAL HEALTH PROSECUTOR |  | 171,970 |  | 115,627 |  | $(56,343)$ |
| CCTAC ABTPA FY16 |  | 89,483 |  | 45,177 |  | $(44,306)$ |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 2,401,119 |  | 654,344 |  | (1,746,775) |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VETERANS COURT GRANT FY16 |  | 191,466 |  | 44,325 |  | 147,141 |
| VETERANS COURT FUND |  | 14,775 |  | 4,103 |  | 10,672 |
| VETERANS COURT GRANT FY17 |  | 213,000 |  | 1,417 |  | 211,583 |
| CCDA ABTPA FY17 |  | 106,904 |  | 4,492 |  | 102,412 |
| CCDA ABTPA FY16 |  | 56,411 |  | 53,669 |  | 2,742 |
| CCDA SWBAMLA FAST |  | 1,094,114 |  | 218,134 |  | 875,980 |
| BORDER PROSECUTION UNIT FY16 |  | 250,000 |  | 167,543 |  | 82,457 |
| BORDER PROSECUTION UNIT FY17 |  | 279,811 |  | 16,265 |  | 263,546 |
| MENTAL HEALTH PROSECUTOR |  | 171,970 |  | 115,628 |  | 56,342 |
| CCTAC ABTPA FY16 |  | 89,483 |  | 79,437 |  | 10,046 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 2,467,934 |  | 705,013 |  | 1,762,921 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(66,815)$ |  | $(50,669)$ |  | 16,146 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | 57,522 |  | 46,565 |  | $(10,957)$ |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | 57,522 |  | 46,565 |  | $(10,957)$ |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | $(9,293)$ |  | $(4,104)$ |  | 5,189 |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 29,596 |  | 29,596 |  | - |
| Prior Period Adjustment |  | $(2,530)$ |  | $(2,530)$ |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | $\underline{17,773}$ | \$ | 22,962 | \$ | 5,189 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
CHAPTER 19 -ELECTIONS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

## REVENUES <br> CHAPTER 19 FUNDS

TOTAL REVENUES:

## EXPENDITURES

CHAPTER 19 FUNDS
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:

| BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 110,014 | \$ | 110,013 | \$ | (1) |
|  | 110,014 |  | 110,013 |  | (1) |
|  | 110,014 |  | 110,013 |  | 1 |
|  | 110,014 |  | 110,013 |  | 1 |

Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures $\qquad$
$\qquad$


OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)
Transfer In


Net Change in Fund Balance

Fund Balance October 1, 2015
FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016


CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JAG 2014 | \$ | 12,996 | \$ | 1,369 | \$ | $(11,627)$ |
| JAG 2015 |  | 10,642 |  | 10,623 |  | (19) |
| LBSP 2016 |  | 65,000 |  | 63,675 |  | $(1,325)$ |
| OPSG 14 |  | 1,655,782 |  | 1,028,974 |  | $(626,808)$ |
| OPSG 15 |  | 809,688 |  | 258,377 |  | $(551,311)$ |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 2,554,108 |  | 1,363,018 |  | $(1,191,090)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JAG 2014 |  | 12,996 |  | 1,369 |  | 11,627 |
| JAG 2015 |  | 10,642 |  | 10,623 |  | 19 |
| LBSP 2016 |  | 65,000 |  | 63,675 |  | 1,325 |
| OPSG 14 |  | 1,655,782 |  | 1,028,974 |  | 626,808 |
| OPSG 15 |  | 809,688 |  | 258,377 |  | 551,311 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 2,554,108 |  | 1,363,018 |  | 1,191,090 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
O.N.D.C.P.

FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016
revenues
Bro. HIDTA Investigative Task
Unified Narcotics Intelligence
South Texas HIDTA(STHIC)
Directors Admn Support Element
White Sands HIDTA Task Force
Laredo Intelligence Support Center
South TX HIDTA Training \& Tech
Austin Area HIDTA Task Force
SI-DIRECTORS ADMIN SUPPORT
LAREDO DEA HIDTA
DHE AUSTIN HIDTA
MCALLEN DEA HIDTA TASK FORCE NP REGIONAL TRAINING \& TECHNOL
SI-STX TRAINING \& TECHNOLOGY
PREV \& DEMAND RDTN INITIATIVE
TRAVIS CO INV. INIT.
BRO HIDTA INV TF
UNITED NARC INT TF
SO TX HIDTA INT CTR (STHIC)
MCALLEN (MUILT) INT CTR
DIR ADMIN SUP EL
WHITE SANDS HIDTA TF
SO TX HIDTA TRAINING
LAREDO INT. SUP CTR (LISC)
DEA EAGLE PASS
DHI-SO.TX.HIDTA LAREDO SOUTH TEXAS HIDTA TRAINING HIDTA DIRECTORS ADMIN SUPPORT UNIFIED NARC INT TF
SO TX HIDTA INT CTR (STHIC)
RGV FIN HIDTA TASK FORCE
DIR ADMIN SUPPORT ELEMENT
WHITE SANDS HIDTA TASK FORCE
AUSTIN AREA HIDTA TF
PREVEN \& DEMAND RED INITIATIVE
LAREDO INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT CT STX HIDTA SUP SVC INITIATIVE BRO HIDTA TASK FORCE
McALLEN DEA HIDTA TASK FORCE
total revenues:

## EXPENDITURES

Bro. HIDTA Investigative Task Bro. HIDTA Investigative Task Unified Narcotics Intelligence South Texas HIDTA(STHIC) Directors Admn Support Element White Sands HIDTA Task Force Laredo Intelligence Support Center South TX HIDTA Training \& Tech Austin Area HIDTA Task Force SI-DIRECTORS ADMIN SUPPORT

| BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 233,483 | \$ | 39,332 | \$ | $(194,151)$ |
|  | 483,262 |  | 10,736 |  | $(472,526)$ |
|  | 186,908 |  | 10,185 |  | $(176,723)$ |
|  | 536,404 |  | 197,550 |  | $(338,854)$ |
|  | 256,216 |  | 111,291 |  | $(144,925)$ |
|  | 98,149 |  | 5,843 |  | $(92,306)$ |
|  | 314,739 |  | 96,766 |  | $(217,973)$ |
|  | 140,658 |  | 49,043 |  | $(91,615)$ |
|  | 125,000 |  | 14,525 |  | $(110,475)$ |
|  | 125,600 |  | 125,314 |  | (286) |
|  | 46,870 |  | 25,425 |  | $(21,445)$ |
|  | 25,004 |  | 25,004 |  | - |
|  | 50,000 |  | 33,574 |  | $(16,426)$ |
|  | 125,000 |  | - |  | $(125,000)$ |
|  | 30,000 |  | - |  | $(30,000)$ |
|  | 57,040 |  | 42,301 |  | $(14,739)$ |
|  | 132,860 |  | 72,780 |  | $(60,080)$ |
|  | 475,262 |  | 340,559 |  | $(134,703)$ |
|  | 187,321 |  | 77,784 |  | $(109,537)$ |
|  | 156,720 |  | 103,250 |  | $(53,470)$ |
|  | 441,537 |  | 306,726 |  | $(134,811)$ |
|  | 111,590 |  | 85,104 |  | $(26,486)$ |
|  | 510,101 |  | 367,688 |  | $(142,413)$ |
|  | 99,008 |  | 92,374 |  | $(6,634)$ |
|  | 119,939 |  | 49,115 |  | $(70,824)$ |
|  | 524,660 |  | - |  | $(524,660)$ |
|  | 378,665 |  | - |  | $(378,665)$ |
|  | 945,519 |  | 106,078 |  | $(839,441)$ |
|  | 483,262 |  | 152,877 |  | $(330,385)$ |
|  | 110,191 |  | 45,603 |  | $(64,588)$ |
|  | 156,720 |  | 53,470 |  | $(103,250)$ |
|  | 980,751 |  | 348,874 |  | $(631,877)$ |
|  | 145,843 |  | 52,611 |  | $(93,232)$ |
|  | 87,040 |  | 8,574 |  | $(78,466)$ |
|  | 97,254 |  | 53,723 |  | $(43,531)$ |
|  | 99,008 |  | 10,237 |  | $(88,771)$ |
|  | 276,091 |  | 59,265 |  | $(216,826)$ |
|  | 269,423 |  | 67,767 |  | $(201,656)$ |
|  | 17,996 |  | - |  | $(17,996)$ |
|  | $\underline{\text { 9,641,094 }}$ |  | 3,241,348 |  | $(6,399,746)$ |


| 233,483 | 39,332 | 194,151 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 483,262 | 10,736 | 472,526 |
| 186,908 | 10,185 | 176,723 |
| 536,404 | 197,549 | 338,855 |
| 256,216 | 111,290 | 144,926 |
| 98,149 | 5,842 | 92,307 |
| 314,739 | 96,767 | 217,972 |
| 140,658 | 49,043 | 91,615 |
| 125,000 | 14,525 | 110,475 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
O.N.D.C.P.

FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  |  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAREDO DEA HIDTA |  | 125,600 |  | 125,314 |  | 286 |
| DHE AUSTIN HIDTA |  | 46,870 |  | 25,425 |  | 21,445 |
| MCALLEN DEA HIDTA TASK FORCE |  | 25,004 |  | 25,004 |  | 0 |
| NP REGIONAL TRAINING \& TECHNOL |  | 50,000 |  | 33,574 |  | 16,426 |
| SI-STX TRAINING \& TECHNOLOGY |  | 125,000 |  | - |  | 125,000 |
| PREV \& DEMAND RDTN INITIATIVE |  | 30,000 |  | - |  | 30,000 |
| TRAVIS CO INV. INIT. |  | 57,040 |  | 42,300 |  | 14,740 |
| BRO HIDTA INV TF |  | 132,860 |  | 72,781 |  | 60,079 |
| UNITED NARC INT TF |  | 475,262 |  | 340,558 |  | 134,704 |
| SO TX HIDTA INT CTR (STHIC) |  | 187,321 |  | 77,784 |  | 109,537 |
| MCALLEN (MUILT) INT CTR |  | 156,720 |  | 103,250 |  | 53,470 |
| DIR ADMIN SUP EL |  | 441,537 |  | 306,726 |  | 134,811 |
| WHITE SANDS HIDTA TF |  | 111,590 |  | 85,104 |  | 26,486 |
| SO TX HIDTA TRAINING |  | 510,101 |  | 367,688 |  | 142,413 |
| LAREDO INT. SUP CTR (LISC) |  | 99,008 |  | 92,374 |  | 6,634 |
| DEA EAGLE PASS |  | 119,939 |  | 49,115 |  | 70,824 |
| DHI-SO.TX.HIDTA LAREDO |  | 524,660 |  |  |  | 524,660 |
| SOUTH TEXAS HIDTA TRAINING |  | 378,665 |  | - |  | 378,665 |
| HIDTA DIRECTORS ADMIN SUPPORT |  | 945,519 |  | 106,078 |  | 839,441 |
| UNIFIED NARC INT TF |  | 483,262 |  | 152,877 |  | 330,385 |
| SO TX HIDTA INT CTR (STHIC) |  | 110,191 |  | 45,603 |  | 64,588 |
| RGV FIN HIDTA TASK FORCE |  | 156,720 |  | 53,470 |  | 103,250 |
| DIR ADMIN SUPPORT ELEMENT |  | 980,751 |  | 348,874 |  | 631,877 |
| WHITE SANDS HIDTA TASK FORCE |  | 145,843 |  | 52,613 |  | 93,230 |
| AUSTIN AREA HIDTA TF |  | 87,040 |  | 8,575 |  | 78,465 |
| PREVEN \& DEMAND RED INITIATIVE |  | 97,254 |  | 53,723 |  | 43,531 |
| LAREDO INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT CT |  | 99,008 |  | 10,237 |  | 88,771 |
| STX HIDTA SUP SVC INITIATIVE |  | 276,091 |  | 59,265 |  | 216,826 |
| BRO HIDTA TASK FORCE |  | 269,423 |  | 67,767 |  | 201,656 |
| McALLEN DEA HIDTA TASK FORCE |  | 17,996 |  | - |  | 17,996 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 9,641,094 |  | 3,241,348 |  | $\underline{6,399,746}$ |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 3,472 |  | 3,472 |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | 3,472 | \$ | 3,472 | \$ | - |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
BORDER HEALTH ISSUES
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IDCU/SUR | \$ | 73,433 | \$ | 55,694 | \$ | $(17,739)$ |
| IDCU/SUR |  | 73,433 |  | 8,214 |  | $(65,219)$ |
| IDCU/SUREB |  | 128,508 |  | 46,124 |  | $(82,384)$ |
| CPS/OT-Unique CPS-One Time Dis |  | 56,132 |  | 48,006 |  | $(8,126)$ |
| HPP EBOLA CONTRACT |  | 68,057 |  | 27,666 |  | $(40,391)$ |
| HPP GRANT |  | 514,645 |  | 353,875 |  | $(160,770)$ |
| HPP GRANT |  | 530,033 |  | 125,696 |  | $(404,337)$ |
| EBOLA CONTRACT |  | 31,391 |  | 18,290 |  | $(13,101)$ |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 1,475,632 |  | 683,565 |  | $(792,067)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IDCU/SUR |  | 73,433 |  | 55,694 |  | 17,739 |
| IDCU/SUR |  | 73,433 |  | 8,214 |  | 65,219 |
| IDCU/SUREB |  | 128,508 |  | 46,124 |  | 82,384 |
| CPS/OT-Unique CPS-One Time Dis |  | 56,132 |  | 53,109 |  | 3,023 |
| HPP EBOLA CONTRACT |  | 68,057 |  | 27,666 |  | 40,391 |
| HPP GRANT |  | 514,645 |  | 353,875 |  | 160,770 |
| HPP GRANT |  | 530,033 |  | 125,696 |  | 404,337 |
| EBOLA CONTRACT |  | 31,391 |  | 18,290 |  | 13,101 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 1,475,632 |  | 688,668 |  | 786,964 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | - |  | $(5,103)$ |  | $(5,103)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | 5,103 |  | 5,103 |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | 5,103 |  | 5,103 |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL

TDH IMMUNIZATIONACTION PLAN FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IMMUNIZATION | \$ | 347,500 | \$ | 322,030 | \$ | $(25,470)$ |
| IMMUNIZATION |  | 347,500 |  | 22,338 |  | $(325,162)$ |
| PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY PREPAR |  | 381,581 |  | 266,573 |  | $(115,008)$ |
| PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY PREPAR |  | 346,892 |  | 63,565 |  | $(283,327)$ |
| RLSS-LPHS |  | 78,428 |  | 72,356 |  | $(6,072)$ |
| RLSS/LPHS |  | 78,428 |  | 5,914 |  | $(72,514)$ |
| PROGRAM INCOME-IMMUNIZATIONS |  | 71,400 |  | 82,382 |  | 10,982 |
| PROGRAM INCOME-IMMUNIZATIONS |  | 70,000 |  | 8,076 |  | $(61,924)$ |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 1,721,729 |  | 843,234 |  | $(878,495)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IMMUNIZATION |  | 347,500 |  | 328,696 |  | 18,804 |
| IMMUNIZATION |  | 347,500 |  | 18,366 |  | 329,134 |
| PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY PREPAR |  | 381,581 |  | 292,589 |  | 88,992 |
| PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY PREPAR |  | 346,892 |  | 72,237 |  | 274,655 |
| HEALTH GRANT / SURPLUS |  | - |  | 587 |  | (587) |
| RLSS-LPHS |  | 78,428 |  | 72,356 |  | 6,072 |
| RLSS/LPHS |  | 78,428 |  | 5,914 |  | 72,514 |
| PROGRAM INCOME-IMMUNIZATIONS |  | 71,400 |  | 67,393 |  | 4,007 |
| IMMUNIZATION |  | 70,000 |  | 4,937 |  | 65,063 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 1,721,729 |  | 863,075 |  | 858,654 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | - |  | $(19,841)$ |  | $(19,841)$ |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | 34,689 |  | 34,689 |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | 34,689 |  | 34,689 |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | - |  | 14,848 |  | 14,848 |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 95,229 |  | 95,229 |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | 95,229 | \$ | 110,077 | \$ | 14,848 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
VICTIMS OF CRIME
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CVU JUROR DONATIONS | \$ | 579 | \$ | 1,369 | \$ | 790 |
| VOCA FY16 |  | 242,831 |  | 226,771 |  | $(16,060)$ |
| VAWA FY16 |  | 223,829 |  | 202,455 |  | $(21,374)$ |
| VAWA FY17 |  | 273,160 |  | 15,735 |  | $(257,425)$ |
| SANVS VINE FY16 |  | 23,765 |  | 21,785 |  | $(1,980)$ |
| SANVS VINE FY17 |  | 23,765 |  | 1,980 |  | $(21,785)$ |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 787,929 |  | 470,095 |  | $(317,834)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CVU JUROR DONATIONS |  | 4,167 |  | 3,796 |  | 371 |
| VOCA FY16 |  | 244,333 |  | 224,264 |  | 20,069 |
| VOCA FY16 MATCH |  | 59,206 |  | 59,199 |  | 7 |
| VAWA FY16 |  | 231,782 |  | 198,907 |  | 32,875 |
| VAWA FY17 |  | 411,771 |  | 15,735 |  | 396,036 |
| VAWA FY16 MATCH |  | 112,570 |  | 112,563 |  | 7 |
| VAWA FY17 Match |  | 8,476 |  | 8,472 |  | 4 |
| SANVS VINE FY16 |  | 23,765 |  | 21,785 |  | 1,980 |
| SANVS VINE FY17 |  | 23,765 |  | 1,980 |  | 21,785 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 1,119,835 |  | 646,701 |  | 473,134 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(331,906)$ |  | $(176,606)$ |  | 155,300 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | 243,772 |  | 174,179 |  | $(69,593)$ |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | 243,772 |  | 174,179 |  | $(69,593)$ |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | $(88,134)$ |  | $(2,427)$ |  | 85,707 |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 3,589 |  | 3,589 |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | $(84,545)$ | \$ | 1,162 | \$ | 85,707 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
TUBERCULOSIS PROGRAM
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TB STATE | \$ | 266,746 | \$ | 242,583 | \$ | $(24,163)$ |
| TB STATE |  | 266,746 |  | 19,931 |  | $(246,815)$ |
| TB-FEDERAL |  | 233,305 |  | 78,192 |  | $(155,113)$ |
| TB FEDERAL |  | 193,938 |  | 131,832 |  | $(62,106)$ |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 960,735 |  | 472,538 |  | $(488,197)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TB STATE |  | 266,746 |  | 242,608 |  | 24,138 |
| TB STATE |  | 266,746 |  | 19,931 |  | 246,815 |
| TB-FEDERAL |  | 233,305 |  | 78,192 |  | 155,113 |
| TB FEDERAL |  | 193,938 |  | 131,832 |  | 62,106 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 960,735 |  | 472,563 |  | 488,172 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | - |  | (25) |  | (25) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sale of Capital Assets |  | - |  | 25 |  | 25 |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | 25 |  | 25 |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 25 |  | 25 |  | - |
| Prior Period Adjustment |  | (25) |  | (25) |  |  |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS<br>SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL<br>HEALTH BLOCK GRANT<br>FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TITLE V CHS FEES | \$ | 20 | \$ | 8 | \$ | (12) |
| TITLE V CHS FEES |  | 8,249 |  | 5,968 |  | $(2,281)$ |
| TITLE V CHS FEES |  | 20 |  | - |  | (20) |
| TITLE V CHS FEES |  | 8,249 |  | 443 |  | $(7,806)$ |
| CHS PRENATAL |  | 6,000 |  | 4,288 |  | $(1,712)$ |
| CHS PRENATAL |  | 24,805 |  | 22,170 |  | $(2,635)$ |
| CHS PRENATAL |  | 6,000 |  | 1,099 |  | $(4,901)$ |
| CHS PRENATAL |  | 23,069 |  | 1,992 |  | $(21,077)$ |
| WHFPT Outreach |  | 16,000 |  | 16,000 |  | - |
| CSHCN CASE MANAGEMENT |  | 94,985 |  | 89,837 |  | $(5,148)$ |
| CSHCN CASE MANAGEMENT |  | 108,503 |  | 4,828 |  | $(103,675)$ |
| M ${ }^{\text {CARE NAVIGATION CSHCN-CM }}$ |  | 552,819 |  | - |  | $(552,819)$ |
| M ${ }^{\text {C CARE NAVIGATION CSHCN-CM }}$ |  | - |  | 379,724 |  | 379,724 |
| MH CARE NAVIGATION PDICN |  | 421,696 |  | - |  | $(421,696)$ |
| MH CARE NAVIGATION PDICN |  | - |  | 249,880 |  | 249,880 |
| MCH/HEALTH GRANT |  | - |  | 654 |  | 654 |
| CHIPS PRE-NATAL |  | 91,000 |  | 98,281 |  | 7,281 |
| CHIPS PRE-NATAL |  | 49,000 |  | 48,794 |  | (206) |
| CHIPS PRE-NATAL |  | 50 |  | - |  | (50) |
| CHIPS PRE-NATAL |  | 100 |  | - |  | (100) |
| CHIPS PRE-NATAL |  | 105,000 |  | 2,421 |  | $(102,579)$ |
| CHIPS PRE-NATAL |  | 51,000 |  | 880 |  | $(50,120)$ |
| MAC |  | - |  | 82,022 |  | 82,022 |
| MAC |  | 111,776 |  | - |  | $(111,776)$ |
| TITLE X FAMILY PLANNING |  | - |  | 1,041 |  | 1,041 |
| TITLE X FAMILY PLANNING |  | 123,249 |  | 74,970 |  | $(48,279)$ |
| TITLE X FAMILY PLANNING |  | 500 |  | 1,333 |  | 833 |
| TITLE X FAMILY PLANNING |  | 144,000 |  | 80,911 |  | $(63,089)$ |
| MCH PROGRAM INCOME |  | 22,500 |  | 38,821 |  | 16,321 |
| MCH PROGRAM INCOME |  | 8,000 |  | 7,387 |  | (613) |
| MCH PROGRAM INCOME |  | 50 |  | - |  | (50) |
| MCH PROGRAM INCOME |  | 450 |  | 37 |  | (413) |
| MCH PROGRAM INCOME |  | - |  | 42 |  | 42 |
| TITLE VA MCH |  | 40,000 |  | 762 |  | $(39,238)$ |
| TITLE VA MCH |  | 8,000 |  | 125 |  | $(7,875)$ |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 2,025,090 |  | 1,214,718 |  | $(810,372)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TITLE V CHS FEES |  | 8,269 |  | 990 |  | 7,279 |
| TITLE V CHS FEES |  | 8,269 |  | 264 |  | 8,005 |
| CHS PRENATAL |  | 30,805 |  | 28,522 |  | 2,283 |
| CHS PRENATAL |  | 29,069 |  | 2,475 |  | 26,594 |
| WHFPT Outreach |  | 16,000 |  | - |  | 16,000 |
| CSHCN CASE MANAGEMENT |  | 94,986 |  | 90,171 |  | 4,815 |
| CSHCN CASE MANAGEMENT |  | 108,503 |  | 4,827 |  | 103,676 |

```
CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL
HEALTH BLOCK GRANT
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016
```

```
MH CARE NAVIGATION CSHCN-CM
MH CARE NAVIGATION PDICN
CHIPS PRE-NATAL
CHIPS PRE-NATAL
MAC
MAC
TITLE X FAMILY PLANNING
TITLE X FAMILY PLANNING
MCH PROGRAM INCOME
TITLE VA MCH
HEALTH GRANTS
```


## TOTAL EXPENDITURES:

Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)
Transfer In
Transfer Out
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)

Net Change in Fund Balance

Fund Balance October 1, 2015
Prior Period Adjustment
FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 552,819 |  | 379,724 |  | 173,095 |
|  | 421,696 |  | 249,878 |  | 171,818 |
|  | 140,150 |  | 118,375 |  | 21,775 |
|  | 156,000 |  | 11,371 |  | 144,629 |
|  | 111,776 |  | 90,174 |  | 21,602 |
|  | - |  | 600 |  | (600) |
|  | 123,249 |  | 95,481 |  | 27,768 |
|  | 144,500 |  | 49,444 |  | 95,056 |
|  | 31,000 |  | 26,066 |  | 4,934 |
|  | 48,000 |  | 2,246 |  | 45,754 |
|  | 102,219 |  | 4,274 |  | 97,945 |
|  | 2,127,310 |  | 1,154,882 |  | 972,428 |
|  | $(102,220)$ |  | 59,836 |  | 162,056 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | $(102,220)$ |  | 59,836 |  | 162,056 |
|  | 599,306 |  | 599,306 |  | - |
|  | $(15,000)$ |  | $(15,000)$ |  | - |
| \$ | 482,086 | \$ | 644,142 | \$ | 162,056 |

## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL
DEL MAR HEIGHTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEL MAR HEIGHTS | \$ | 230,870 | \$ | 1,002 | \$ | $(229,868)$ |
| DEL MAR HEIGHTS |  | 500,000 |  | 330,084 |  | $(169,916)$ |
| DEL MAR HEIGHTS |  | 52,500 |  | 1,659 |  | $(50,841)$ |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 783,370 |  | 332,745 |  | $(450,625)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEL MAR HEIGHTS |  | 230,870 |  | 1,002 |  | 229,868 |
| DEL MAR HEIGHTS |  | 552,500 |  | 331,743 |  | 220,757 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 783,370 |  | 332,745 |  | 450,625 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
VALLE ESCONDIDO SEWER PROJECT
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LAS PALMAS SUBD-SEWER LINE IMP | \$ | 480,302 | \$ | 2,031 | \$ | $(478,271)$ |
| LAS PALMAS SUBD-SEWER LINE IMP |  | 569,888 |  | - |  | $(569,888)$ |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 1,050,190 |  | 2,031 |  | (1,048,159) |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LAS PALMAS SUBD-SEWER LINE IMP |  | 1,050,190 |  | 2,031 |  | 1,048,159 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 1,050,190 |  | 2,031 |  | 1,048,159 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |

# CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS <br> SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL <br> COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS ASSISTANCE PLAN FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS | \$ | - | \$ | 421 | \$ | 421 |
| GRANT S |  | 265,609 |  | 255,090 |  | $(10,519)$ |
| GRANT S" PREV \& INTERV DEMO" |  | 126,924 |  | 10,373 |  | $(116,551)$ |
| TITLE IV-E ENHANCEMENT |  | - |  | 6,071 |  | 6,071 |
| TITLE IV-E ENHANCEMENT |  | - |  | 803 |  | 803 |
| TITLE IV-E ENHANCEMENT |  | - |  | 556 |  | 556 |
| GRANT B" BORDER PROJECT" |  | 24,954 |  | 23,535 |  | $(1,419)$ |
| BORDER PROJECT B"" |  | 24,954 |  | 1,998 |  | $(22,956)$ |
| BROWNSVILLE CDBG |  | 103,450 |  | 30,114 |  | $(73,336)$ |
| TITLE IV-E REIMBURSEMENT |  | - |  | 15,745 |  | 15,745 |
| TITLE IV-E REIMBURSEMENT |  | - |  | 4,691 |  | 4,691 |
| TITLE IV-E REIMBURSEMENT |  | - |  | 8,496 |  | 8,496 |
| TITLE IV-E REIMBURSEMENT |  | - |  | 633 |  | 633 |
| HARLINGEN OUTREACH CENTER |  | - |  | 28,873 |  | 28,873 |
| HARLINGEN OUTREACH CENTER |  | - |  | 2,650 |  | 2,650 |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 545,891 |  | 390,049 |  | $(155,842)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRANT S |  | 265,609 |  | 255,090 |  | 10,519 |
| GRANT S" PREV \& INTERV DEMO" |  | 126,924 |  | 10,373 |  | 116,551 |
| TITLE IV-E ENHANCEMENT |  | 388,390 |  | 32,403 |  | 355,987 |
| TITLE IV-E ENHANCEMENT |  | 314,499 |  | 1,415 |  | 313,084 |
| GRANT B" BORDER PROJECT" |  | 24,954 |  | 23,535 |  | 1,419 |
| BORDER PROJECT B"" |  | 24,954 |  | 1,998 |  | 22,956 |
| BROWNSVILLE CDBG |  | 103,450 |  | 30,114 |  | 73,336 |
| TITLE IV-E REIMBURSEMENT |  | 413,972 |  | - |  | 413,972 |
| TITLE IV-E REIMBURSEMENT |  | 469,315 |  | - |  | 469,315 |
| HARLINGEN OUTREACH CENTER |  | 50,801 |  | 2,853 |  | 47,948 |
| HARLINGEN OUTREACH CENTER |  | 75,927 |  | 1,055 |  | 74,872 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 2,258,795 |  | 358,836 |  | 1,899,959 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(1,712,904)$ |  | 31,213 |  | 1,744,117 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | (421) |  | (421) |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | (421) |  | (421) |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | $(1,712,904)$ |  | 30,792 |  | 1,743,696 |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 3,411,932 |  | 3,411,932 |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | 1,699,028 | \$ | 3,442,724 | \$ | 1,743,696 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL

WIC
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WIC-HEALTH DEPARTMENT | \$ | 4,384,036 | \$ | - | \$ | $(4,384,036)$ |
| WIC-HEALTH DEPARTMENT |  | 3,916,807 |  | 3,773,842 |  | $(142,965)$ |
| WIC-HEALTH DEPARTMENT |  | - |  | 1,350 |  | 1,350 |
| LACTATION |  | 14,000 |  | 13,998 |  | (2) |
| OBESITY |  | 13,260 |  | 13,256 |  | (4) |
| PEER COUNSELOR |  | 331,682 |  | 323,844 |  | $(7,838)$ |
| REGISTERED DIETICIAN |  | 55,000 |  | 61,066 |  | 6,066 |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 8,714,785 |  | 4,187,356 |  | $\underline{(4,527,429)}$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WIC-HEALTH DEPARTMENT |  | 4,384,036 |  | - |  | 4,384,036 |
| WIC-HEALTH DEPARTMENT |  | 3,910,162 |  | 3,776,367 |  | 133,795 |
| LACTATION |  | 14,000 |  | 13,998 |  | 2 |
| OBESITY |  | 13,260 |  | 13,256 |  | 4 |
| PEER COUNSELOR |  | 331,682 |  | 323,844 |  | 7,838 |
| REGISTERED DIETICIAN |  | 61,645 |  | 61,066 |  | 579 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 8,714,785 |  | 4,188,531 |  | 4,526,254 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | - |  | $(1,175)$ |  | $(1,175)$ |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sale of Capital Assets |  | - |  | 1,175 |  | 1,175 |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | 1,175 |  | 1,175 |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 470 |  | 470 |  | - |
| Prior Period Adjustment |  | (470) |  | (470) |  |  |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
JUVENILE PROGRAMS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JUVENILE SURVEILLANCE | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JUVENILE SURVEILLANCE |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | - |
| Prior Period Adjustment |  | (2) |  | (2) |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
SELF HELP CENTER
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P D \& M | \$ | 1,578,000 | \$ | 461,510 | \$ | $(1,116,490)$ |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 1,578,000 |  | 461,510 |  | $(1,116,490)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P D \& M |  | 1,578,000 |  | 461,510 |  | 1,116,490 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 1,578,000 |  | 461,510 |  | 1,116,490 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
LOCAL SOLID WASTE ENFORCEMENT
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

REVENUES
TOTAL REVENUES:


Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)
Transfer In
39,804
39,804
Transfer Out
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)

| 39,804 | - | 39,804 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - |
|  | - | 39,804 |

Net Change in Fund Balance

Fund Balance October 1, 2015
FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016


CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
HOME DISASTER ASSISTANCE GRANT
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

## REVENUES

DISASTER RECOVERY 2.1
DISASTER RECOVERY 2.1
TOTAL REVENUES:

## EXPENDITURES

DISASTER RECOVERY 2.1
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:

| BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 7,623,901 | \$ | 425,555 | \$ | $(7,198,346)$ |
|  | - |  | 49,500 |  | 49,500 |
|  | 7,623,901 |  | 475,055 |  | $(7,148,846)$ |

$7,623,901$

Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)
Transfer In
Transfer Out
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)
Net Change in Fund Balance

Fund Balance October 1, 2015
FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016


CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
ENCUMBERED PRE-TRIAL RELEASE
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DIVERT COURT | \$ | 28,000 | \$ | 23,085 | \$ | $(4,915)$ |
| DRUG COURT |  | 181,024 |  | 173,051 |  | $(7,973)$ |
| DRUG COURT |  | 36,329 |  | 33,104 |  | $(3,225)$ |
| ADULT PROBATION |  | 135,335 |  | 136,073 |  | 738 |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 380,688 |  | 365,313 |  | $\underline{(15,375)}$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DIVERT COURT |  | 45,230 |  | 25,971 |  | 19,259 |
| DRUG COURT |  | 217,355 |  | 209,381 |  | 7,973 |
| ADULT PROBATION |  | 397,925 |  | 395,502 |  | 2,424 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 660,510 |  | 630,854 |  | 29,656 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(279,822)$ |  | $(265,541)$ |  | 14,281 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | 288,000 |  | 259,429 |  | $(28,571)$ |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | 288,000 |  | 259,429 |  | $(28,571)$ |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | 8,178 |  | $(6,112)$ |  | $(14,290)$ |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 49,986 |  | 49,986 |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | 58,164 | \$ | 43,874 | \$ | $(14,290)$ |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
JUVENILE JUSTICE ALT ED
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BISD JPO | \$ | 96,667 | \$ | 82,738 | \$ | $(13,929)$ |
| BISD JPO |  | 140,000 |  | 10,870 |  | $(129,130)$ |
| SBCISD JPO |  | 40,000 |  | 37,038 |  | $(2,962)$ |
| SBCISD Probation Officers |  | 40,000 |  | 3,283 |  | $(36,717)$ |
| HCISD JPO |  | 60,000 |  | 56,902 |  | $(3,098)$ |
| HCISD Probation Officers |  | 60,000 |  | 5,257 |  | $(54,743)$ |
| JJAEP |  | 245,000 |  | 90,147 |  | $(154,853)$ |
| JJAEP |  | 785,000 |  | 479,192 |  | $(305,808)$ |
| JJAEP |  | 21,056 |  | 21,055 |  | (1) |
| JJAEP |  | 245,000 |  | 29,400 |  | $(215,600)$ |
| JJAEP |  | 778,003 |  | 87,376 |  | $(690,627)$ |
| JJAEP |  | - |  | 65,690 |  | 65,690 |
| JJAEP |  | 21,056 |  | 10,528 |  | $(10,528)$ |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 2,531,782 |  | 979,476 |  | $(1,552,306)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BISD JPO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BISD JPO |  | 96,667 |  | 82,738 |  | 13,929 |
| BISD JPO |  | 140,000 |  | 10,870 |  | 129,130 |
| SBCISD JPO |  | 40,000 |  | 37,038 |  | 2,962 |
| SBCISD Probation Officers |  | 40,000 |  | 3,283 |  | 36,717 |
| HCISD JPO |  | 60,000 |  | 56,902 |  | 3,098 |
| HCISD Probation Officers |  | 60,000 |  | 5,257 |  | 54,743 |
| JJAEP |  | 1,051,056 |  | 629,351 |  | 421,705 |
| JJAEP |  | 1,044,059 |  | 128,056 |  | 916,003 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 2,531,782 |  | 953,495 |  | 1,578,287 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | - |  | 25,981 |  | 25,981 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | $(25,981)$ |  | $(25,981)$ |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | $(25,981)$ |  | $(25,981)$ |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS<br>SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL<br>TEXAS JUVENILE PROBATION COMMISSION<br>FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JUVENILE PROBATION COMMISSION | \$ | - | \$ | 15,137 | \$ | 15,137 |
| JUVENILE PROBATION COMMISSION |  |  |  | 6,187 |  | 6,187 |
| JUVENILE PROBATION COMMISSION |  | - |  | 1,903 |  | 1,903 |
| JUVENILE PROBATION COMMISSION |  | - |  | 9,383 |  | 9,383 |
| GRANT R |  | 100,000 |  | 12,497 |  | $(87,503)$ |
| REGIONALIZATION GRANT R"" |  | 100,000 |  | 12,119 |  | $(87,881)$ |
| DETENTION CENTER REVENUE |  | - |  | 39,316 |  | 39,316 |
| DETENTION CENTER REVENUE |  | - |  | 325 |  | 325 |
| BOOT CAMP/VOCATIONAL PROGRAM |  | - |  | 132,120 |  | 132,120 |
| BOOT CAMP/VOCATIONAL PROGRAM |  | - |  | 720 |  | 720 |
| STATE AID A"" |  | 2,839,396 |  | 2,704,231 |  | $(135,165)$ |
| STATE AID A"" |  | 2,711,270 |  | 205,246 |  | $(2,506,024)$ |
| JUVENILE FEE REVENUE |  | - |  | 117 |  | 117 |
| M" GRANT SPECIAL NEEDS" |  | 107,163 |  | 101,493 |  | $(5,670)$ |
| M" GRANT SPECIAL NEEDS" |  | 107,163 |  | 8,738 |  | $(98,425)$ |
| LIFE/BRAVE REVENUE |  | - |  | 23,845 |  | 23,845 |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 5,964,992 |  | 3,273,377 |  | $(2,691,615)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRANT R |  | 100,000 |  | 12,497 |  | 87,503 |
| REGIONALIZATION GRANT R"" |  | 100,000 |  | 12,119 |  | 87,881 |
| DETENTION CENTER REVENUE |  | 180,028 |  | 17,807 |  | 162,221 |
| DETENTION CENTER REVENUE |  | 197,447 |  | 688 |  | 196,759 |
| BOOT CAMP/VOCATIONAL PROGRAM |  | 435,523 |  | 84,257 |  | 351,266 |
| BOOTCAMP REVENUE |  | 516,741 |  | 5,403 |  | 511,338 |
| STATE AID A"" |  | 2,839,396 |  | 2,704,231 |  | 135,165 |
| STATE AID A"" |  | 2,711,270 |  | 205,246 |  | 2,506,024 |
| JUVENILE FEE REVENUE |  | 299,210 |  | 10,809 |  | 288,401 |
| fee revenue |  | 287,232 |  | 252 |  | 286,980 |
| M" GRANT SPECIAL NEEDS" |  | 107,163 |  | 101,493 |  | 5,670 |
| M" GRANT SPECIAL NEEDS" |  | 107,163 |  | 8,739 |  | 98,424 |
| LIFE/BRAVE REVENUE |  | 6,175 |  | 2,571 |  | 3,604 |
| LIFE/BRAVE REVENUE |  | 27,420 |  | 74 |  | 27,346 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 7,914,768 |  | 3,166,186 |  | 4,748,582 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | (1,949,776) |  | 107,191 |  | 2,056,967 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | 421 |  | 421 |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | 421 |  | 421 |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | (1,949,776) |  | 107,612 |  | 2,057,388 |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 955,141 |  | 955,141 |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 |  | $(994,635)$ | \$ | 1,062,753 | \$ | 2,057,388 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL
JUVENILE SERVICES
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PURCHASE OF JUV. JUSTICE. ALT. | \$ | 37,973 | \$ | 30,164 | \$ | $(7,809)$ |
| PURCHASE OF JUV. JUSTICE. ALT. |  | 40,859 |  | 3,013 |  | $(37,846)$ |
| DESTINY GRANT |  | 45,088 |  | 43,457 |  | $(1,631)$ |
| DESTINY GRANT |  | 61,500 |  | 1,511 |  | $(59,989)$ |
| ASSISTANCE/FAMILIES OF ASSAULT |  | 43,334 |  | 41,913 |  | $(1,421)$ |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 228,754 |  | 120,058 |  | $(108,696)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PURCHASE OF JUV. JUSTICE. ALT. |  | 37,973 |  | 30,165 |  | 7,808 |
| JUVENILE PROBATION |  | 40,860 |  | 3,013 |  | 37,847 |
| DESTINY GRANT |  | 45,087 |  | 43,457 |  | 1,630 |
| DESTINY GRANT |  | 61,500 |  | 1,510 |  | 59,990 |
| ASSISTANCE/FAMILIES OF ASSAULT |  | 54,167 |  | 52,348 |  | 1,819 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 239,587 |  | 130,493 |  | 109,094 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(10,833)$ |  | $(10,435)$ |  | 398 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | 10,435 |  | 10,435 |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | 10,435 |  | 10,435 |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | $(10,833)$ |  | (0) |  | 10,833 |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | $(10,833)$ | \$ | (0) | \$ | 10,833 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
D.A. HOT CHECK

FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRICT ATTORNEY | \$ | 100,000 | \$ | 7,000 | \$ | $(93,000)$ |
| DISTRICT ATTORNEY |  | - |  | 90 |  | 90 |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 100,000 |  | 7,090 |  | $(92,910)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISTRICT ATTORNEY |  | 100,000 |  | 36,222 |  | 63,778 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 100,000 |  | 36,222 |  | 63,778 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | - |  | $(29,132)$ |  | $(29,132)$ |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | - |  | $(29,132)$ |  | $(29,132)$ |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 84,199 |  | 84,199 |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | 84,199 | \$ | 55,067 | \$ | (29,132) |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL
TAX ASSESSOR V. I. T.
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAX ASSESSOR V IT | \$ | 102,767 | \$ | 102,767 | \$ | - |
| TAX ASSESSOR V IT |  | 2,069 |  | 2,068 |  | (1) |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 104,836 |  | 104,835 |  | (1) |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAX ASSESSOR V IT |  | 80,037 |  | 80,037 |  | - |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 80,037 |  | 80,037 |  | - |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | 24,799 |  | 24,798 |  | (1) |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | 24,799 |  | 24,798 |  | (1) |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 176,586 |  | 176,586 |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | 201,385 | \$ | 201,384 | \$ | (1) |

## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
LAGUNA HEIGHTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EAST RIO HONDO WATER WLL PRJT | \$ | 350,000 | \$ | - | \$ | $(350,000)$ |
| EAST RIO HONDO WATER WLL PRJT |  | 1,756,163 |  | 862,691 |  | $(893,472)$ |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 2,106,163 |  | 862,691 |  | $(1,243,472)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EAST RIO HONDO WATER WLL PRJT |  | 2,106,163 |  | 1,361,581 |  | 744,582 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 2,106,163 |  | 1,361,581 |  | 744,582 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | - |  | $(498,890)$ |  | $(498,890)$ |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | - |  | $(498,890)$ |  | $(498,890)$ |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 503,114 |  | 503,114 |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | 503,114 | \$ | 4,224 | \$ | $(498,890)$ |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
PRE-TRIAL INTERVENTION
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PRE-TRIAL DIVERSION | \$ | - | \$ | 844 | \$ | 844 |
| PRE-TRIAL DIVERSION |  | 320,975 |  | 237,800 |  | $(83,175)$ |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 320,975 |  | 238,644 |  | $(82,331)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PRE-TRIAL DIVERSION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries-Assistants/Deputies |  | 431,476 |  | 321,116 |  | 110,360 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 431,476 |  | 321,116 |  | 110,360 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(110,501)$ |  | $(82,472)$ |  | 28,029 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | $(110,501)$ |  | $(82,472)$ |  | 28,029 |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 610,757 |  | 610,757 |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | 500,256 | \$ | 528,285 | \$ | 28,029 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
WEST RAIL RELOCATION
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016
REVENUES
WEST RAIL RELOCATION

## TOTAL REVENUES:

## EXPENDITURES

WEST RAIL RELOCATION
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:


Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures

## OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)

Transfer In
Transfer Out
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)

Net Change in Fund Balance

Fund Balance October 1, 2015
FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016


CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
DRUG FORFEITURE
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCDA ST FORFEITURES | \$ | - | \$ | 585,833 | \$ | 585,833 |
| CCDA ST FORFEITURE FUNDING ACCOUNT |  | 585,834 |  | 1,321,386 |  | 735,552 |
| CCDA FD DOJ FORFEITURES |  | - |  | 102,661 |  | 102,661 |
| CCDA FD DOJ FORFEITURE FUNDING ACCOUNT |  | 48,404 |  | 48,404 |  | - |
| CCDA FD DOT FORFEITURES |  | - |  | 345,991 |  | 345,991 |
| CCDA FD DOT FORFEITURE FUNDING ACCOUNT |  | 44,093 |  | 44,093 |  | - |
| CCCPCT1 ST FORFEITURES |  | - |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| CCCPCT2 ST FORFEITURES |  | - |  | 5,484 |  | 5,484 |
| CCCPCT3 ST FORFEITURES |  | 10 |  | 10 |  | - |
| CCCPCT3 FD DOT FORFEITURES |  | 7,224 |  | 9,545 |  | 2,321 |
| CCCPCT4 ST FORFEITURES |  | - |  | 15 |  | 15 |
| CCCPCT5 FD DOJ FORFEITURES |  | - |  | 79 |  | 79 |
| CCSO ST FORFEITURES |  | 83,025 |  | 388,253 |  | 305,228 |
| CCSO FD DOT FORFEITURES |  | - |  | 134,519 |  | 134,519 |
| CCSO FD DOJ FORFEITURES |  | - |  | 6,038 |  | 6,038 |
| CCPR ST FORFEITURES |  | - |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 768,590 |  | 2,992,321 |  | 2,223,731 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCDA ST FORFEITURES |  | 600,124 |  | 405,834 |  | 194,290 |
| CCDA ST FORFEITURE FUNDING ACCOUNT |  | 585,834 |  | 585,833 |  | 1 |
| CCDA FD DOJ FORFEITURES |  | 327,093 |  | 102,661 |  | 224,432 |
| CCDA FD DOJ FORFEITURE FUNDING ACCOUNT |  | 102,662 |  | 102,661 |  | 1 |
| CCDA FD DOT FORFEITURES |  | 541,971 |  | 345,993 |  | 195,978 |
| CCDA FD DOT FORFEITURE FUNDING ACCOUNT |  | 345,992 |  | 345,991 |  | 1 |
| CCCPCT1 ST FORFEITURES |  | 200 |  | 200 |  | - |
| CCCPCT2 ST FORFEITURES |  | 9,971 |  | 6,536 |  | 3,435 |
| CCCPCT2 FD DOT FORFEITURES |  | 2,094 |  | 2,094 |  | - |
| CCCPCT3 ST FORFEITURES |  | 3,184 |  | 3,182 |  | 2 |
| CCCPCT3 FD DOT FORFEITURES |  | 7,600 |  | 5,526 |  | 2,074 |
| CCCPCT4 ST FORFEITURES |  | 3,500 |  | 1,194 |  | 2,306 |
| CCCPCT5 FD DOJ FORFEITURES |  | 24,960 |  | 16,090 |  | 8,870 |
| CCSO ST FORFEITURES |  | 406,175 |  | 375,102 |  | 31,073 |
| CCSO FD DOT FORFEITURES |  | 1,254,832 |  | 1,213,864 |  | 40,968 |
| CCSO FD DOJ FORFEITURES |  | 67,479 |  | 67,030 |  | 449 |
| CCPR ST FORFEITURES |  | 743 |  | 743 |  | - |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 4,284,414 |  | 3,580,534 |  | 703,880 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(3,515,824)$ |  | $(588,213)$ |  | 2,927,611 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sale of Capital Assets |  | 47,738 |  | 183,680 |  | 135,942 |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | $(243,859)$ |  | $(185,159)$ |  | 58,700 |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | $(196,121)$ |  | $(1,479)$ |  | 194,642 |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | $(3,711,945)$ |  | $(589,692)$ |  | 3,122,253 |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 6,048,384 |  | 6,048,384 |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 |  | 2,336,439 | \$ | 5,458,692 | \$ | 3,122,253 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL
COLONIA STREET LIGHT
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016
REVENUES
SOLID WASTE COLLECTION
SCOFFLAW
SOLID WASTE/STREET LIGHT
TOTAL REVENUES:

## EXPENDITURES

SCOFFLAW
SOLID WASTE/STREET LIGHT

CAMERON PARK STREET LIGHT
LAGUNA HEIGHTS STREET LIGHT
MEADOW BROOKE SUBDIVISION
RANCHO GRANDE SUBDIVISION
SALDIVAR SUBDIVISION
BENT TREE SUBDIVISION
SAN CARLOS SUBDIVISION
LA PALOMA SUBDIVISION
EL RANCHITO SUBDIVISION
LAS PALMAS SUBDIVISION
PASO REAL SUBDIVISION
OLMITO SUBDIVISIONS
VALLE DE CIPRES SUBDIVISION
SAN PEDRO SUBDIVISION
LUZ DEL CIELO SUBDIVISION
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:

Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures

| BUDGET |  |
| ---: | ---: |
|  |  |
| $\$$ | - |
|  | 89,400 |
| 166,452 |  |
|  | 255,852 |


| ACTUAL |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | 316 |
| $\$$ | 89,400 |
|  | 150,847 |


| VARIANCE |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | 316 |
|  | - |
|  | $(15,605)$ |
|  | $(15,289)$ |


| 89,400 | 80,970 | 8,430 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32,729 | 32,696 | 33 |
| 26,866 | 23,028 | 3,838 |
| 11,933 | 10,432 | 1,501 |
| 3,286 | 1,386 | 1,900 |
| 1,304 | 1,031 | 273 |
| 2,155 | 616 | 1,539 |
| 11,307 | 7,736 | 3,571 |
| 1,610 | 1,601 | 9 |
| 2,448 | 2,112 | 336 |
| 9,793 | 1,471 | 8,322 |
| 5,100 | 3,478 | 1,622 |
| 20,145 | 8,718 | 11,427 |
| 17,821 | 11,901 | 5,920 |
| 7,480 | 7,473 | 7 |
| 6,480 | 5,381 | 1,099 |
| 5,304 | 5,113 | 191 |
| 255,161 | 205,143 | 50,018 |
| 691 | 35,420 | 34,729 |

## OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)

Transfer In
Transfer Out
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)

Net Change in Fund Balance

Fund Balance October 1, 2015
FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016


CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL

LAGUNA MADRE WATER AND SEWER FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P. D. \& M. | \$ | 495,500 | \$ | - | \$ | $(495,500)$ |
| LA FERIA BIXBY/ALTO REAL |  | 215,382 |  | 335 |  | $(215,047)$ |
| LA FERIA BIXBY/ALTO REAL |  | 59,021 |  | 48,145 |  | $(10,876)$ |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 769,903 |  | 48,480 |  | $(721,423)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P. D. \& M. |  | 495,500 |  | - |  | 495,500 |
| LA FERIA BIXBY/ALTO REAL |  | 274,403 |  | 23,921 |  | 250,482 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 769,903 |  | 23,921 |  | 745,982 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | - |  | 24,559 |  | 24,559 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | - |  | 24,559 |  | 24,559 |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | $(24,560)$ |  | $(24,560)$ |  | - |
| Prior Period Adjustment |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | $(24,559)$ | \$ | - | \$ | 24,559 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
FIFTH JUDICIAL REGION
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5TH ADMIN JUDICIAL REGION | \$ | - | \$ | 54,034 | \$ | 54,034 |
| 5TH ADMIN JUDICIAL REGION |  | 169,625 |  | - |  | $(169,625)$ |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 169,625 |  | 54,034 |  | $(115,591)$ |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5TH ADMIN JUDICIAL REGION |  | 169,625 |  | 54,034 |  | 115,591 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 169,625 |  | 54,034 |  | 115,591 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |

## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS - CONTINUED <br> CAPITAL PROJECT FUNDS

These funds are used to account for revenues and expenditures relating to the acquisition of capital facilities, except those for Enterprise Funds, and are principally financed from the sale of bonds.

Project Roadmap Fund - This fund is used to account for the costs of repairing and improving roads and infrastructure in the county.

2011 Certificates of Obligation - This fund is used to account for projects funded with the issuance of Certificates of Obligation in 2011.

2014 Certificates of Obligation - This fund is used to account for projects funded with the issuance of Certificates of Obligation in 2014.

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL
PROJECT ROADMAP
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROJECT ROADMAP | \$ | - | \$ | 29 | \$ | 29 |
| ROAD PROJECTS |  | - |  | 32,919 |  | 32,919 |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | - |  | 32,948 |  | 32,948 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | - |  | 32,948 |  | 32,948 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | - |  | 32,948 |  | 32,948 |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 16,633 |  | 16,633 |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | 16,633 | \$ | 49,581 | \$ | 32,948 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
2011 CERTIFICATES OF OBLIGATION
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

```
REVENUES
    CAPITAL PROJECTS
    CAPITAL PROJECTS
    CAPITAL PROJECTS
    CAPITAL PROJECTS
    DANCY BUILDING RENOVATIONS
TOTAL REVENUES:
```


## EXPENDITURES

CAPITAL PROJECTS
GENERAL ADMINISTRATION
DISTRIC COURT CONSTRUCTION
COURTROOM-MAGISTRATES
M\&O MADISON ST. BLDG. A
LOS FRESNOS ANNEX
M\&O BROWNSVILLE CLINIC
DANCY BUILDING RENOVATIONS JAIL
M\&O COURTHOUSE
JUVENILE DETENTION CTR ADD'N
ROAD PROJECTS
HISTORICAL COMMITTEE
ROAD PROJECTS
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:

Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)
Transfer In
Transfer Out
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)

Net Change in Fund Balance
Fund Balance October 1, 2015
FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

| BUDGET | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 136,158 | \$ | 9,292 | \$ | $(126,866)$ |
| 17,178,100 |  | - |  | $(17,178,100)$ |
| $(112,356)$ |  | - |  | 112,356 |
| 387,744 |  | - |  | $(387,744)$ |
| 47,052 |  | 4,705 |  | $(42,347)$ |
| 17,636,698 |  | 13,997 |  | $\underline{(17,622,701)}$ |
| 253,489 |  | - |  | 253,489 |
| 346,983 |  | 191 |  | 346,792 |
| 2,691,986 |  | 61,264 |  | 2,630,722 |
| 27,556 |  | 1,650 |  | 25,906 |
| 21,183 |  | - |  | 21,183 |
| 1,992,009 |  | - |  | 1,992,009 |
| 200,000 |  | 18,237 |  | 181,763 |
| 190,156 |  | - |  | 190,156 |
| 1,800,000 |  | 493,818 |  | 1,306,182 |
| 89,763 |  | - |  | 89,763 |
| 14,803 |  | - |  | 14,803 |
| 59,081 |  | 46,548 |  | 12,533 |
| 8,770 |  | - |  | 8,770 |
| 9,364,401 |  | 1,018,748 |  | 8,345,653 |
| 17,060,180 |  | 1,640,456 |  | 15,419,724 |


| $576,518 \quad(1,626,459) \quad(2,202,977)$ |
| :--- |


| - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(576,518)$ |  |
|  | $(576,518)$ |
|  | $(576,518)$ |

$-\quad(2,202,977) \quad(2,202,977)$

|  | 3,679,036 | 3,679,036 |  | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 3,679,036 | \$ | 1,476,059 | \$ | $(2,202,977)$ |

## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
2014 CERTIFICATES OF OBLIGATION
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016
REVENUES
2014 CO's
2014 CO's
2014 CO's
2014 CO's

TOTAL REVENUES:

## EXPENDITURES

2014 CO's
JUDICIAL IMPROVEMENTS
Magistrates
VETERENS SERVICE OFFICE
DANCY BUILDING
JAIL IMPROVEMENTS
M\&O COURTHOUSE
SAN BENITO ANNEX
SHERIFF'S OFFICE
PCT 1 ROAD PROJECTS
PCT 2 ROAD PROJECTS
PCT 3 ROAD PROJECTS
PCT 4 ROAD PROJECTS
ANIMAL SHELTER
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:
Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures

OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)
Transfer In
Transfer Out
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)
Net Change in Fund Balance
Fund Balance October 1, 2015
FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

| BUDGET | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 31,978 | \$ | 17,481 | \$ | $(14,497)$ |
| 16,500,000 |  | - |  | $(16,500,000)$ |
| 351,169 |  | - |  | $(351,169)$ |
| $(96,220)$ |  | - |  | 96,220 |
| 16,786,927 |  | 17,481 |  | $(16,769,446)$ |


| 191,571 | - | 191,571 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2,000,000$ | 96,527 | $1,903,473$ |
| 653,536 | 526,878 | 126,658 |
| 975,537 | 13,752 | 961,785 |
| $1,992,985$ | $1,884,760$ | 108,225 |
| $1,400,000$ | 196,226 | $1,203,774$ |
| $1,150,000$ | 704,419 | 445,581 |
| $1,150,000$ | - | $1,150,000$ |
| $1,209,920$ | $1,089,602$ | 120,318 |
| 750,000 | 300,456 | 750,000 |
| 750,000 | 261,758 | 449,544 |
| $1,500,000$ | 319,137 | $1,238,242$ |
| $2,000,000$ | 102,871 | $1,680,863$ |
| $1,000,000$ | $5,496,386$ | 897,129 |
| $16,723,549$ |  | $11,227,163$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | $(5,478,905)$ |
|  |  |  |


| $(63,378)$ |  | - |  | 63,378 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(63,378)$ |  | - |  | 63,378 |
| - |  | $(5,478,905)$ |  | $(5,478,905)$ |
| 13,439,795 |  | 13,439,795 |  | - |
| \$ 13,439,795 | \$ | 7,960,890 | \$ | $(5,478,905)$ |

## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

## DEBT SERVICE FUNDS

These funds are used to account for taxes levied and interest earned thereon for the payment of principal and interest on general obligation bonds and Certificates of Obligation issues of the County.

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
UNLIMITED TAX BONDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | BUDGET |  | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAX REVENUE | \$ | - | \$ | 1,446 | \$ | 1,446 |
| MISCELLANEOUS |  | 17,096 |  | 19,623 |  | 2,527 |
| TOTAL REVENUES: |  | 17,096 |  | 21,069 |  | 3,973 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 ROAD BONDS |  | 125,725 |  | 125,725 |  | - |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES: |  | 125,725 |  | 125,725 |  | - |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(108,629)$ |  | $(104,656)$ |  | 3,973 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfer Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | $(108,629)$ |  | $(104,656)$ |  | 3,973 |
| Fund Balance October 1, 2015 |  | 444,163 |  | 444,163 |  | - |
| FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | 335,534 | \$ | 339,507 | \$ | 3,973 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE
BUDGET AND ACTUAL
LIMITED TAX BONDS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

REVENUES
TAX REVENUE
MISCELLANEOUS
TOTAL REVENUES:

EXPENDITURES
CO'S/ REFUNDING 2011
CO'S SERIES 2011
2012 REFUNDING CO'S
2014 Certificates of Obligatio
2014 Refunding CO's
2015 Refunding CO's
CO'S, SERIES 2008
LEASED EQUIPMENT
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:

Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures

## OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)

Transfer In
Transfer Out
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)

Net Change in Fund Balance

Fund Balance October 1, 2015
FUND BALANCE SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

| BUDGET | ACTUAL |  | VARIANCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 7,637,952 | \$ | 7,646,139 | \$ | 8,187 |
| - |  | 227,398 |  | 227,398 |
| 7,637,952 |  | 7,873,537 |  | 235,585 |
| 498,738 |  | 498,737 |  | 1 |
| 1,587,884 |  | 1,587,884 |  | - |
| 566,050 |  | 565,300 |  | 750 |
| 1,200,644 |  | 1,200,394 |  | 250 |
| 2,191,775 |  | 2,191,775 |  | - |
| 297,318 |  | 297,318 |  | - |
| 323,792 |  | 323,791 |  | 1 |
| 1,255,952 |  | 1,185,401 |  | 70,551 |
| 7,922,153 |  | 7,850,600 |  | 71,553 |
| $(284,201)$ |  | 22,937 |  | 307,138 |



## NON-MAJOR ENTERPRISE FUNDS

Enterprise Funds are established to account for operations which are self-supporting through user charges to the general public. On this basis, Cameron County, Texas operates the following Non-Major Enterprise Funds:


#### Abstract

Airport System - To account for the financial position and the operations of the Cameron County Airport, located north of Bayview, Texas.


Jail Commissary - To account for the financial position and the operations of the Cameron County Jail Commissary.

## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS

## COMBINING STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

## NON-MAJOR ENTERPRISE FUNDS

## SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

| ASSETS | AIRPORT SYSTEM |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { JAIL } \\ \text { COMMISSARY } \end{gathered}$ |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | \$ | 17,100 | \$ | 1,108,350 | \$ | 1,125,450 |
| Accounts Receivable |  | 13,919 |  | 14,335 |  | 28,254 |
| Due from other funds |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due from other governments |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Prepaid |  | 4,274 |  | - |  | 4,274 |
| Total Current Assets |  | 35,293 |  | 1,122,685 |  | 1,157,978 |
| Depreciable Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings |  | 1,327,095 |  | - |  | 1,327,095 |
| Improvements other than buildings |  | 11,020,356 |  | 13,917 |  | 11,034,273 |
| Equipment |  | 558,760 |  | 263,115 |  | 821,875 |
| Accumulated depreciation |  | (9,742,845) |  | $(230,670)$ |  | $(9,973,515)$ |
| Net depreciable assets |  | 3,163,366 |  | 46,362 |  | 3,209,728 |
| Construction in Progress |  | 6,582 |  | - |  | 6,582 |
| Land |  | 308,000 |  | - |  | 308,000 |
| Total Property and equipment |  | 3,477,948 |  | 46,362 |  | 3,524,310 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 3,513,241 | \$ | 1,169,047 | \$ | 4,682,288 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Payable from Current Assets): |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 1,257 | \$ | 51,662 | \$ | 52,919 |
| Accrued compensated absences and salary payable |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due to other funds |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Leasehold deposits |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Current Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Payable from Current Assets) |  | 1,257 |  | 51,662 |  | 52,919 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES |  | 1,257 |  | 51,662 |  | 52,919 |
| NET POSITION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Invested in capital assets, net of related debt |  | 3,477,498 |  | 46,362 |  | 3,523,860 |
| Unrestricted |  | 34,486 |  | 1,071,023 |  | 1,105,509 |
| TOTAL NET POSITION | \$ | 3,511,984 | \$ | 1,117,385 | \$ | 4,629,369 |

## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS <br> COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND <br> CHANGES IN FUND NET POSITION <br> NON-MAJOR ENTERPRISE FUNDS <br> FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

| OPERATING REVENUES | SYSTE |  | COMSSARY |  | , |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rental income | \$ | 36,601 | \$ | 1,006,103 | \$ | 1,042,704 |
| Miscellaneous |  | 15,120 |  | 14,242 |  | 29,362 |
| TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES |  | 51,721 |  | 1,020,345 |  | 1,072,066 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salary, wages and fringe benefits |  | 7,505 |  | 128,599 |  | 136,104 |
| Supplies |  | - |  | 15,666 |  | 15,666 |
| Repairs and maintenance |  | 28,708 |  | 10,563 |  | 39,271 |
| Medical Claims |  | - |  | 14,201 |  | 14,201 |
| Travel |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Insurance |  | 6,553 |  |  |  | 6,553 |
| Utilities |  | 17,305 |  |  |  | 17,305 |
| Depreciation |  | 195,589 |  | 24,661 |  | 220,250 |
| Miscellaneous |  | - |  | 64,972 |  | 64,972 |
| Administrative Fees |  | 488 |  | 6,252 |  | 6,740 |
| Contractual services |  | - |  | 548,658 |  | 548,658 |
| TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES |  | 256,148 |  | 813,572 |  | 1,069,720 |
| OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) |  | $(204,427)$ |  | 206,773 |  | 2,346 |
| NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income |  | 36 |  | 1,486 |  | 1,522 |
| Contributions from Other Entities |  | 1,226,557 |  | - |  | 1,226,557 |
| Gain on sale of capital asset |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Insurance proceeds |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Interest expense |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Grant \& Program Expenses |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES) |  | 1,226,593 |  | 1,486 |  | 1,228,079 |
| Income (Loss) before transfers |  | 1,022,166 |  | 208,259 |  | 1,230,425 |
| Grant \& Program Revenue |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfers (out) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfers in |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| CHANGE IN NET POSITION |  | 1,022,166 |  | 208,259 |  | 1,230,425 |
| Total Net Position - Beginning of year |  | 2,489,818 |  | 909,126 |  | 3,398,944 |
| Prior Period Adjustment |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Net Position - End of year | \$ | 3,511,984 | \$ | 1,117,385 | \$ | 4,629,369 |

## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS <br> COMBINING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS <br> NON-MAJOR ENTERPRISE FUNDS

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | AIRPORT SYSTEM |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { JAIL } \\ \text { COMMISSARY } \end{gathered}$ |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash received from customers | \$ | - | \$ | 1,019,531 | \$ | 1,019,531 |
| Cash received from other operating activities |  | 38,909 |  | - |  | 38,909 |
| Cash payments for goods and services |  | $(55,679)$ |  | $(642,555)$ |  | $(698,234)$ |
| Cash payments to employees |  | $(7,505)$ |  | $(128,599)$ |  | $(136,104)$ |
| Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities |  | $(24,275)$ |  | 248,377 |  | 224,102 |
| Cash Flows From Non-Capital Financing Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aid from Other Governments |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfers In |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfers Out |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Insurance Proceeds |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Cash Provided by Non-Capital Financing Activities |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Cash Flows From Capital and Related Financing Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payments for capital acquisitions |  | $(1,209,054)$ |  | $(15,411)$ |  | (1,224,465) |
| Proceeds from sale of capital assets |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Capital Contributions-Other Entities |  | 1,226,557 |  | - |  | 1,226,557 |
| Cash (Used) for Capital and Related Financing Activities |  | 17,503 |  | $(15,411)$ |  | 2,092 |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receipts of interest |  | 36 |  | 1,486 |  | 1,522 |
| Cash Provided by Investing Activities |  | 36 |  | 1,486 |  | 1,522 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents |  | $(6,736)$ |  | 234,452 |  | 227,716 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, October 1, 2015 |  | 23,836 |  | 873,898 |  | 897,734 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | \$ | 17,100 | \$ | 1,108,350 | \$ | 1,125,450 |
| Reconciliation of Operating Income (Loss) to Net Cash |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provided (Used) by Operating Activities: Operating income (Loss) | \$ | $(204,427)$ | \$ | 206,773 |  | \$2,346 |
| Adjustments to Reconcile Operating Income (Loss) to |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities: |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Depreciation |  | 195,589 |  | 24,661 |  | 220,250 |
| Decrease (Increase) in accounts receivable |  | $(12,812)$ |  | (814) |  | $(13,626)$ |
| Decrease (Increase) in prepaids and other assets |  | 1,375 |  | 14,970 |  | 16,345 |
| (Decrease) in wages and fringe payable |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Increase (Decrease) in Due to Other Funds |  | $(3,275)$ |  | - |  | $(3,275)$ |
| (Decrease) in accounts payable |  | (725) |  | 2,787 |  | 2,062 |
| Increase (Decrease) in retainage payable |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| NET CASH PROVIDED (USED) BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES | \$ | $\underline{(24,275)}$ | \$ | 248,377 | \$ | 224,102 |

## FIDUCIARY FUNDS

These funds are used to report assets held in a trustee or agency capacity for others and cannot be used to support the County's own programs. Fiduciary funds include pension trust funds, investment trust funds, private purpose trust funds and agency funds.

The fiduciary fund types currently used by the county are private purpose trust funds and agency funds.

## PRIVATE PURPOSE TRUST FUNDS

These funds have been established by Cameron County to account for all trust arrangements under which principal and income benefit individuals, private organizations, or other governments.

## AGENCY FUNDS

These funds have been established by Cameron County to account for assets held in a custodial capacity for individuals, other funds and other governments and do not involve measurement of operations.

## PRIVATE PURPOSE TRUST FUNDS

| County Clerk's/District Clerk's Trust | To account for monies held in trust for various individuals under court instruction. |
| :---: | :---: |
| District Clerk Child Support Trust Fund | To account for funds collected for child support. |
| AGENCY FUNDS |  |
| Sheriff's Fee Account Fund | To account for deposits on fees collected from various individuals. |
| Sheriff's Inmate Release Account Fund | To account for monies confiscated from individuals upon incarceration. |
| County Clerk's/District Clerk's Fee Accounts' Funds | To account for deposits on fees collected from various individuals. |
| Justice of the Peace Collections Account Funds | To account for monies collected by the Justices of the Peace for various fines. |
| Cameron County Health Clinics' Funds | To account for monies collected for services. |
| Tax Assessor-Collector's TABC Trust Fund | To account for monies collected for the Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission from various individuals. |
| Tax Assessor-Collector's Vehicle Registration Trust Fund | To account for the collection of vehicle registration payments made by various individuals. |
| Tax Assessor-Collector's Reserve for Bankruptey Fund | To account for the collection of partial payments received against accounts under bankruptcy. |
| Tax Assessor-Collector's Ad valorem Tax Fund | To account for the collection of various ad valorem taxes collected for various agencies. |
| District Attorney's Restitution Trust Fund | To account for the collection of fines payable to victims of crimes. |
| State Motor Vehicle Sales Tax | To account for the collection of sales tax on motor vehicles. |
| Payroll Fund | To account for funds set aside to cover payroll. |
| Occupation Tax Fund | To account for the fees collected on video game machines for the County and the Cities. |


|  |
| :---: |


funds
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COMBINING STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION
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| DEDUCTIONS |
| :--- |
| Judgments |
| Administrative Expenses |
| TOTAL DEDUCTIONS |
| CHANGE IN NET POSITION |
| Net position at the beginning of the year |
| Net position at the end of the year |
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SEPTEMBER 30, 2016


CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
COMBINING STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES
SEPTEMBER 30, 2016
(CONTINUED)


CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
COMBINING STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES
AGENCY FUNDS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016
SHERIFF'S FEE ACCOUNT FUND

| ASSETS | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ \text { 10/01/15 } \end{gathered}$ |  | ADDITIONS |  | DEDUCTIONS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 09 / 30 / 16 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | - | \$ | 83,022 | \$ | 69,274 | \$ | 13,748 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | - | \$ | 83,022 | \$ | 69,274 | \$ | 13,748 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due to other governments | \$ | - | \$ | 83,022 | \$ | 69,274 | \$ | 13,748 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ | - | \$ | 83,022 | \$ | 69,274 | \$ | 13,748 |

## SHERIFF'S INMATE RELEASE ACCOUNT FUND

| ASSETS | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 10 / 01 / 15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | ADDITIONS |  | DEDUCTIONS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 09 / 30 / 16 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 379,826 | \$ | 1,463,804 | \$ | 1,663,356 | \$ | 180,274 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 379,826 | \$ | 1,463,804 | \$ | 1,663,356 | \$ | 180,274 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | \$ | 43,629 | \$ | 1,158 | \$ | 974 | \$ | 43,813 |
| Accounts payable |  | 336,197 |  | 1,462,646 |  | 1,662,382 |  | 136,461 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ | 379,826 | \$ | 1,463,804 | \$ | 1,663,356 | \$ | 180,274 |

## COUNTY CLERK'S FEE ACCOUNT FUND

| ASSETS | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 10 / 01 / 15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | ADDITIONS |  | DEDUCTIONS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 09 / 30 / 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 1,498,425 | \$ | 4,009,502 | \$ | 3,960,163 | \$ | 1,547,764 |
| Accts. Rec. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 1,498,425 | \$ | 4,009,502 | \$ | 3,960,163 | \$ | 1,547,764 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fees payable | \$ | 1,498,425 | \$ | 4,009,502 | \$ | 3,960,163 | \$ | 1,547,764 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ | 1,498,425 | \$ | 4,009,502 | \$ | 3,960,163 | \$ | 1,547,764 |

## DISTRICT CLERK'S FEE ACCOUNT FUND

| ASSETS | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 10 / 01 / 15 \end{gathered}$ |  | ADDITIONS |  | DEDUCTIONS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 09 / 30 / 16 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 1,449,752 | \$ | 2,931,203 | \$ | 2,923,863 | \$ | 1,457,092 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 1,449,752 | \$ | 2,931,203 | \$ | 2,923,863 | \$ | 1,457,092 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 1,449,752 | \$ | 2,931,203 | \$ | 2,923,863 | \$ | 1,457,092 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ | 1,449,752 | \$ | 2,931,203 | \$ | 2,923,863 | \$ | 1,457,092 |

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE COLLECTIONS' ACCOUNT FUND


CAMERON COUNTY HEALTH CLINICS' FUNDS

| ASSETS | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 10 / 01 / 15 \end{gathered}$ |  | ADDITIONS |  | DEDUCTIONS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 09 / 30 / 16 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | - | \$ | 256,277 | \$ | 235,301 | \$ | 20,976 |
| Acct. Rec. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | - | \$ | 256,277 | \$ | 235,301 | \$ | 20,976 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | - | \$ | 256,277 | \$ | 235,301 | \$ | 20,976 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ | - | \$ | 256,277 | \$ | 235,301 | \$ | 20,976 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
COMBINING STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES
AGENCY FUNDS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016
(CONTINUED)
TAX ASSESSOR-COLLECTOR'S TABC FUND

| ASSETS | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 10 / 01 / 15 \end{gathered}$ |  | ADDITIONS |  | DEDUCTIONS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 09 / 30 / 16 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 15,817 | \$ | 130,722 | \$ | 126,093 | \$ | 20,446 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 15,817 | \$ | 130,722 | \$ | 126,093 | \$ | 20,446 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due to other governments | \$ | 15,817 | \$ | 130,722 | \$ | 126,093 | \$ | 20,446 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ | 15,817 | \$ | 130,722 | \$ | 126,093 | \$ | 20,446 |

TAX ASSESSOR-COLLECTOR'S VEHICLE REGISTRATION TRUST FUND

| ASSETS | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 10 / 01 / 15 \end{gathered}$ |  | ADDITIONS |  | DEDUCTIONS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 09 / 30 / 16 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 3,077,938 | \$ | 79,004,960 | \$ | 79,243,787 | \$ | 2,839,111 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 3,077,938 | \$ | 79,004,960 | \$ | 79,243,787 | \$ | 2,839,111 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due to other governments | \$ | 3,077,938 | \$ | 79,004,960 | \$ | 79,243,787 | \$ | 2,839,111 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ | 3,077,938 | \$ | 79,004,960 | \$ | 79,243,787 | \$ | 2,839,111 |

TAX ASSESSOR-COLLECTOR'S RESERVE FOR BANKRUPTCY FUND

| ASSETS | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 10 / 01 / 15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | ADDITIONS |  | DEDUCTIONS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 09 / 30 / 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 85,741 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 85,741 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 85,741 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 85,741 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due to other governments | \$ | 85,741 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 85,741 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ | 85,741 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 85,741 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
COMBINING STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES
AGENCY FUNDS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

## (CONTINUED)

TAX ASSESSOR-COLLECTOR'S AD VALOREM TAX FUND

| ASSETS | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 10 / 01 / 15 \end{gathered}$ |  | ADDITIONS |  | DEDUCTIONS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 09 / 30 / 16 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 1,851,787 | \$ | 291,096,606 | \$ | 291,499,344 | \$ | 1,449,049 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 1,851,787 | \$ | 291,096,606 | \$ | 291,499,344 | \$ | 1,449,049 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due to other governments | \$ | 1,851,787 | \$ | 291,096,606 | \$ | 291,499,344 | \$ | 1,449,049 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ | 1,851,787 | \$ | 291,096,606 | \$ | 291,499,344 | \$ | 1,449,049 |

## DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S RESTITUTION FUND

| ASSETS | BALANCE <br> 10/01/15 |  | ADDITIONS |  | DEDUCTIONS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 09 / 30 / 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 188,600 | \$ | 120,440 | \$ | 109,489 | \$ | 199,551 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 188,600 | \$ | 120,440 | \$ | 109,489 | \$ | 199,551 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 188,600 | \$ | 120,440 | \$ | 109,489 | \$ | 199,551 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ | 188,600 | \$ | 120,440 | \$ | 109,489 | \$ | 199,551 |

## STATE MOTOR VEHICLE SALES TAX

| ASSETS | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 10 / 01 / 15 \end{gathered}$ |  | ADDITIONS |  | DEDUCTIONS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 09 / 30 / 16 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 2,446,220 | \$ | 49,620,659 | \$ | 47,682,488 | \$ | 4,384,391 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 2,446,220 | \$ | 49,620,659 | \$ | 47,682,488 | \$ | 4,384,391 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due to other governments | \$ | 2,446,220 | \$ | 49,620,659 | \$ | 47,682,488 | \$ | 4,384,391 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ | 2,446,220 | \$ | 49,620,659 | \$ | 47,682,488 | \$ | 4,384,391 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
COMBINING STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES
AGENCY FUNDS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016
(CONTINUED)

PAYROLL FUND

| ASSETS | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ \text { 10/01/15 } \end{gathered}$ |  | ADDITIONS |  | DEDUCTIONS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 09 / 30 / 16 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 24,173 | \$ | 64,076,164 | \$ | 64,075,704 | \$ | 24,633 |
| Accts. Rec. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 24,173 | \$ | 64,076,164 | \$ | 64,075,704 | \$ | 24,633 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 24,173 | \$ | 64,076,164 | \$ | 64,075,704 | \$ | 24,633 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ | 24,173 | \$ | 64,076,164 | \$ | 64,075,704 | \$ | 24,633 |

## OCCUPATION TAX

| ASSETS | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 10 / 01 / 15 \end{gathered}$ |  | ADDITIONS |  | DEDUCTIONS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 09 / 30 / 16 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 90 | \$ | 26,447 | \$ | 26,162 | \$ | 375 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 90 | \$ | 26,447 | \$ | 26,162 | \$ | 375 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 90 | \$ | 26,447 | \$ | 26,162 | \$ | 375 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ | 90 | \$ | 26,447 | \$ | 26,162 | \$ | 375 |

## ALL AGENCY FUNDS

| ASSETS | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 10 / 01 / 15 \end{gathered}$ |  | ADDITIONS |  | DEDUCTIONS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BALANCE } \\ 09 / 30 / 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 11,658,935 | \$ | 499,087,657 | \$ | 498,078,318 | \$ | 12,668,274 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 11,658,935 | \$ | 499,087,657 | \$ | 498,078,318 | \$ | 12,668,274 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 2,639,378 | \$ | 75,141,028 | \$ | 75,496,195 | \$ | 2,284,211 |
| Deposits |  | 43,629 |  | 1,158 |  | 974 |  | 43,813 |
| Due to other governments |  | 7,477,503 |  | 419,935,969 |  | 418,620,986 |  | 8,792,486 |
| Fees payable |  | 1,498,425 |  | 4,009,502 |  | 3,960,163 |  | 1,547,764 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$ | 11,658,935 | \$ | 499,087,657 | \$ | 498,078,318 | \$ | 12,668,274 |

## CAPITAL ASSETS

## USED IN THE OPERATION

## OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
CAPITAL ASSETS USED IN THE OPERATION OF GOVERNMENT FUNDS COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE BY SOURCE

September 30, 2016

| GENERAL FIXED ASSETS |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Buildings | $\mathbf{1 0 1 , 1 4 6 , 1 5 2}$ |
| Improvements Other than Buildings | $\mathbf{6 , 1 3 2 , 1 5 3}$ |
| Other structures | $\mathbf{1 4 , 3 0 3 , 4 3 4}$ |
| Equipment | $\mathbf{4 3 , 7 8 4 , 6 1 2}$ |
| Land | $\mathbf{3 0 5 , 6 7 , 6 1 7}$ |
| Infrastructure | $\mathbf{1 4 , 6 6 2 , 4 3 2}$ |
| Construction Work in Progress | $\mathbf{\$ 4 9 1 , 5 4 5 , 5 7 6}$ |
| TOTAL GENERAL FIXED ASSETS | $\mathbf{~}$ |

INVESTMENTS IN GENERAL FIXED ASSETS BY SOURCE

| General fund | $\mathbf{2 0 , 7 8 2 , 3 5 8}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Special Revenue funds | $\mathbf{1 5 7 , 8 7 3 , 0 2 3}$ |
| Capital Project Funds | $\mathbf{3 1 2 , 8 9 0 , 1 9 5}$ |
| Other sources |  |
| TOTAL INVESTMENTS IN GENERAL FIXED ASSETS |  |

$$
\overrightarrow{\overrightarrow{3}} \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \underset{c}{\underset{\sim}{f}} \mid
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FUNCTION AND ACTIVITY } \\
& \text { Balance of Real Property 9/30/2015 }
\end{aligned}
$$

Health
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Adjustments
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\]

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS } \\
\text { SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN GENERAL FIXED ASSETS } \\
\text { BY FUNCTION AND ACTIVITY } \\
\text { AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, } 2016
\end{gathered}
$$
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& \begin{array}{l}
\text { General Government } \\
\text { Law Enforcement and Public Safet }
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\end{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { BY FUNCTION } \\
& \text { FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SE }
\end{aligned}
$$

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN GENERAL FIXED ASSETS
BY FUNCTION
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016
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& 63,411 \\
& \hline 29,515
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## COMPONENT UNITS

Discretely Presented Component Units are legally separate organizations that, because of the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government, are included in the financial reporting entity but shown separately from the primary government's financial activities.

Emergency Services District \#1 - The District is a separate political subdivision organized under Article III, Section 48-e, of the Texas Constitution, as proposed by S.J.R. No. 27, Act of the $70_{\text {th }}$ Legislature, Regular Session, 1987, and adopted by the voters to supply the rural areas with fire and ambulance services. The Commissioners' Court appoints the District's board members; however, the County exercises no management responsibility over operations, nor does the County significantly influence operations.

Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority - The Authority was created by the State of Texas on September 30, 2004. The CCRMA is authorized to construct, maintain, repair and operate turnpike projects at locations authorized by the State Department of Transportation. The CCRMA receives its revenues from tolls, fees and rents from operation of turnpike projects. The CCRMA was formed through the efforts of Cameron County, Texas. The Commissioners' Court appoints board members with the Governor appointing the Chair.

Cameron County Health Care Funding District - Cameron County Health Care Funding District was created by Cameron County Commissioners Court on July 2, 2013 pursuant to V.T.C.A., Health and Safety Code, Section 288.002, per Senate Bill 1623. This district is governed by the five (5) members of commissioners court. The purpose of this District is to generate revenue from a mandatory payment required by the District to provide the nonfederal share of a Medicaid supplemental payment program; mandatory payments are based on Hospital Net Patient Revenue. Revenue generated in this fund may only be used to: fund intergovernmental transfers to the state to provide the nonfederal share of a Medicaid supplemental payment program, subsidize indigent programs, administrative expenses of the district, refund a mandatory payment collected in error and refund the paying hospitals the proportionate share of money received from Health and Human Services Commission that is not used. The Cameron County Health Care Funding District is a component of county government and is not a separate political subdivision of the State. Commissioners Court as the "Directors" of this district can influence operations of the CCHCFD.

Cameron County Spaceport Development Corporation - The Spaceport Development Corporation was created to facilitate the development of the space exploration plans and to expand the economic growth in Cameron County. The Development Corporation was created by the Cameron County Commissioner's Court on January 17, 2013 pursuant to Local Government Code Section 507.003. Commissioner's Court appointed seven board members on February 14, 2013 to oversee the Spaceport Development Corporation. The Corporation goal is to attract economic opportunities for the Cameron County by developing an infrastructure for space exploration and expand the opportunities for engineers in the field.

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
COMBINING STATEMENT OF NET POSITION-GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS-
COMPONENT UNITS
SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

|  | Governmental Funds |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | \$ | 11,201,523 | \$ | 11,201,523 |
| Investments |  | - |  | - |
| Restricted-Cash trustee fund |  | 4,344,730 |  | 4,344,730 |
| Restricted-Cash debt service reserve |  | 2,268,628 |  | 2,268,628 |
| Restricted-Cash debt service |  | 463,122 |  | 463,122 |
| Receivables: |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes, net of allowance |  | 649,289 |  | 649,289 |
| Accounts Receivable-Net |  | 556,581 |  | 556,581 |
| Due from Other Funds |  | - |  | - |
| Due from Other Agencies |  | 2,018,887 |  | 2,018,887 |
| Prepaids and Other Asssets |  | 7,616 |  | 7,616 |
| Non-current Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Capital assets, net |  | - |  |  |
| Redevelopment assets |  | - |  | - |
| Equipment |  | 68,187,067 |  | 68,187,067 |
| Accumulated Depreciation |  | - |  | - |
| Capial Work in process |  | 22,230,768 |  | 22,230,768 |
| Other Assets |  | 46,621,328 |  | 46,621,328 |
| Unamortized bond issuance costs |  | 118,890 |  | 118,890 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 158,668,429 |  | 158,668,429 |
| DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred charge on refunding |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred resources Outflows for Pensions |  | 92,323 |  | 92,323 |
| Total deferred outflows of resources |  | 92,323 |  | 92,323 |
| Total Assets plus Deferred Outflows of Resources | \$ | 158,760,752 |  | 58,760,752 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts Payable | \$ | 1,435,263 |  | 1,435,263 |
| Other |  | - |  | - |
| Deferred Revenue |  | - |  | - |
| Interest Payable |  | 450,790 |  | 450,790 |
| Current Maturities of Bonds |  | 1,705,000 |  | 1,705,000 |
| Due to Other Funds |  | - |  | - |
| Due to Other Governments |  | 4,925,658 |  | 4,925,658 |
| Total current liabilities |  | 8,516,711 |  | 8,516,711 |
| Long-Term Bond Payable |  | 77,361,730 |  | 77,361,730 |
| Due to Other Governments |  | 14,091,646 |  | 14,091,646 |
| Due to Texas Department of Transportation |  | 41,395,770 |  | 41,395,770 |
| Total Long-Term Liabilities |  | 132,849,146 |  | 132,849,146 |
| Total Liabilities |  | 141,365,857 |  | 141,365,857 |
| DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |
| Unavailable revenue-property taxes |  | 602,048 |  | 602,048 |
| Deferred inflow of resources |  | 31,341 |  | 31,341 |
| Total deferred inflows of resources |  | 633,389 |  | 633,389 |
| Total Liabilities plus Deferred Inflows of Resources | \$ | 142,002,362 |  | 142,002,362 |
| NET POSITION |  |  |  |  |
| Net investment in capital assets |  | 436,845 |  | 436,845 |
| Restricted |  | 14,073,648 |  | 14,073,648 |
| Unrestricted |  | 2,158,139 |  | 2,158,139 |
| Total Net Position | \$ | 16,668,632 | \$ | 16,668,632 |

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES-COMPONE
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMB
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## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS

## COMBINING STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, <br> AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION <br> GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS-COMPONENT UNITS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

REVENUES:
Taxes-Net
Intergovernmental
Interest
User Fees and Other
$\quad$ Total Operating Revenues

EXPENDITURES:
Current Operating
Administrative Expenditures
Program Services
Contractual Services
Other Administrative Expenditures
Repairs and Maintenance
Miscellaneous
Professional Services
Depreciation
Debt Interest
Bond issuance costs
Total Operating Expenditures

Over (Under) Expenditures
Capital contributions
Transfers in
Transfers out
Change in net position
Total net position - beginning
Fund balance
Prior period adjustment
Refund due to Providers
Total net position - ending

| Cameron County Regional Mobility Authority | Cameron County Health Care Funding District | Emergency Services District \#1 | Cameron County Spaceport Dev. Corporation | TOTAL <br> GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS <br> (Memorandum Only) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 426,261 | \$ | \$3,085,918 | \$ - | 3,512,179 |
| - | - | - | 15,375 | 15,375 |
| 17,330 | 25,524 | 999 | - | 43,853 |
| 4,717,997 | 10,862,457 | - | - | 15,580,454 |
| 5,161,588 | 10,887,981 | 3,086,917 | 15,375 | 19,151,861 |



## STATISTICAL SECTION

This part of Cameron County's comprehensive annual financial report presents detailed information as a context for understanding what the information in the financial statements, note disclosures, and required supplementary information says about the County's overall financial health.

## Contents

## Financial Trends

These schedules contain trend information to help the reader understand how the County's financial performance and well-being have changed over time.

## Revenue Capacity

These schedules contain information to help the reader assess the County's most significant local revenue source, the property tax.

## Debt Capacity

These schedules present information to help the reader assess the affordability of the County's current levels of outstanding debt and the County's ability to issue additional debt in the future.

## Demographic and Economic Information

These schedules offer demographic and economic indicators to help the reader understand the environment within which the County's financial activities take place.

## Operating Information

These schedules contain service and infrastructure data to help the reader understand how the information in the County's financial report relates to the services the County provides and the activities it performs.
CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
Net Position by Component
For the last ten fiscal years Fiscal
Year
2016
$\begin{array}{r}\$ 160,249,902 \\ 21,417,422 \\ (7,582,323) \\ \hline \$ 174,085,001 \\ \hline\end{array}$




 $\begin{array}{r}\text { Fiscal } \\ \text { Year } \\ 2014 \\ \hline\end{array}$
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| :---: |
| Year |
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| Year |
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| Year | Year | Year |
| 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |











$\infty$
$\infty$
$\infty$
$\infty$
$\infty$
$\infty$
$\infty$

 | Fiscal |
| :---: |
| Year |
| 2007 |




Governmental Activities
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt
Restricted
Unrestricted
Total governmental activities net position
Business-type activities
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt
Restricted
Unrestricted
Total business-typ
Primary government
$\quad$ Invested in capital assets, net of related debt
Restricted
Unrestricted
Total primary government net position








 Business-type activities - Expenses
Operational Expeses
Total Business-type activities - Expenses Net (expense)/revenue Business-type activities Total primary government net expense General Revenues

Governmental activies:
Taxes Levied for General Purposes Taxes Levied for Debt Service
Unrestricted Investment Income
Miscellaneous Miscellaneous
Gain on sale of capital assets Transfers
Total governmental activities Business-type activities Transfers
Micellaneous
Gain on sale of capital assets Unrestricted Investment Income
Total Business-type activities Total Primary government Change in Net Position Governmental activities Total Change in Net Position

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { General Fund } \\
& \text { Reserved } \\
& \text { Unreserved } \\
& \text { Nonspendable } \\
& \text { Committed } \\
& \text { Assigned } \\
& \text { Unassigned } \\
& \text { Total General Fund } \\
& \text { All Other Governmental Funds } \\
& \text { Restricted } \\
& \text { Special revenue funds } \\
& \text { Capital project funds } \\
& \text { Debt service funds } \\
& \text { Unrestricted, reported in: } \\
& \text { Special revenue funds } \\
& \text { Unassigned } \\
& \text { Total all other governmental funds } \\
& \text { * Implementation of GASB } 54
\end{aligned}
$$

CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$
$\stackrel{+}{\infty}$
$\stackrel{+}{\infty}$
$\stackrel{+}{\infty}$
$\infty$





REVENUES Taxes
Licenses and permits
Intergovernmental
Charges for services
Fines and forfeitures
Miscellaneous
SAnnanay trlol


OTHER FNANCING SOURCES (USES)


OTHER CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES


RATIO OF TOTAL DEBT SERVICE EXPENDITURES TO TOTAL NONCAPITAL EXPENDITURES
TOTAL CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES

$7.90 \%$

# CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS 

ASSESSED AND ESTIMATED ACTUAL VALUE OF TAXABLE PROPERTY
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

| FISCAL YEAR | REAL PROPERTY |  | PERSONAL PROPERTY |  | TOTAL |  | TOTAL ACTUAL DIRECT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASSESSED } \\ \text { VALUE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ACTUAL <br> VALUE | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASSESSED } \\ \text { VALUE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ESTIMATED } \\ \text { ACTUAL VALUE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ASSESSED } \\ \text { VALUE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ESTIMATED } \\ \text { ACTUAL VALUE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\qquad$ |
| 2007 | 11,286,379,619 | 11,286,379,619 | 1,756,438,254 | 1,756,438,254 | 13,042,817,873 | 15,452,256,615 | 0.343191 |
| 2008 | 14,381,842,729 | 14,381,842,729 | 1,899,975,515 | 1,899,975,515 | 16,281,818,244 | 16,517,058,876 | 0.353191 |
| 2009 | 13,204,529,501 | 13,204,529,501 | 2,010,578,769 | 2,010,578,769 | 15,215,108,270 | 16,585,529,813 | 0.363191 |
| 2010 | 13,239,230,314 | 13,239,230,314 | 1,986,632,268 | 1,986,632,268 | 15,225,862,582 | 16,848,439,405 | 0.363191 |
| 2011 | 13,553,114,084 | 13,553,114,084 | 1,898,434,059 | 1,898,434,059 | 15,451,548,143 | 17,076,062,843 | 0.364291 |
| 2012 | 13,645,505,968 | 13,645,505,968 | 1,905,704,557 | 1,905,704,557 | 15,551,210,525 | 17,478,232,156 | 0.384291 |
| 2013 | 14,043,301,354 | 14,043,301,354 | 1,955,207,914 | 1,955,207,914 | 15,998,509,268 | 18,314,560,292 | 0.384291 |
| 2014 | 14,205,159,853 | 14,205,159,853 | 2,266,353,976 | 2,266,353,976 | 16,471,513,829 | 18,845,591,442 | 0.384291 |
| 2015 | 14,346,198,697 | 14,346,198,697 | 2,217,707,514 | 2,217,707,514 | 16,563,906,211 | 19,043,609,983 | 0.399291 |
| 2016 | 14,463,233,754 | 14,463,233,754 | 2,300,252,936 | 2,300,252,936 | 16,763,486,690 | 19,293,616,651 | 0.399291 |

RATIO OF NET GENERAL BONDED DEBT TO ASSESSED VALUE AND NET BONDED DEBT PER CAPITA

LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

(1) Last official Federal census
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| cameron county, texas TEN PRINCIPAL EMPLOYERS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Estimated }}^{2016}$ |
| No | EMPLOYER | TYPE OF ACTIVITY No. of Emmiloyeed |  |
| 1 | Brownsville ISD | Education | 7,670 |
| 2 | Valley Bappist Medical Center | Education | 3,971 |
| 3 | Harringen CISD | Education | 3,321 |
| 4 | Cameron County | Govermment | 1.950 |
| 5 | UTRGV | Education | 1,734 |
| 7 | San Benito CIISD | Education | 1,675 |
| 6 | Amfels | Manufactur | 1,650 |
| 8 | Wal-Mart Asssciates Inc. | Retail | 1,413 |
| 9 | Abundant Life Home Health | Mcedica/Healthare | 1,300 |
| 10 | City of Brownsille | Govermment | 1,227 |
|  |  |  |  |


CAMERON COUNTY TEXAS
TEN RRINCIPAN TTXXPAERER
FISCAL YEAR 2016 AND 2007

| No. | TAXPAYER | TYPE OF ACtivity | FISCAL YEAR2016 ASSESSED VALUE |  | PERCENTAGE OF ASSESSED VALUATION |  | FISCAL YEAR 2007 ASSESSED value | PERCENTAGE OF ASSESSED VALUATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\overline{\text { AEP Texas Central Co. }}$ | $\overline{\text { Electrical utility }}$ | \$ | 164,105,469 | $1.03 \%$ |  | 88,204,300 | 0.91 |
| 2 | Panasonic Automotive Electronics | Manufacturing |  | 103,190,524 | 0.65\% |  | 26,034,212 | 0.27\% |
| 3 | vHS Hatingen Hospita Company | Healtcare |  | 73,853,442 | 0.46\% |  |  | 0.00\% |
| 4 | GLH LP |  |  | 58,007,000 | 0.36\% |  |  | 0.00\% |
| 5 | Union Pacific RR | Rail Road |  | $50,722,644$ | 0.32\% |  |  | 0.00\% |
| 6 | Los Vientos Windpower LLC | Electrical utility |  | 48,414,790 | 0.30\% |  |  | 0.00\% |
| 7 | Cross Valley Project Entity |  |  | 46,485,990 | 0.29\% |  |  | 0.00\% |
| 8 | CBL / Sunrise Commons, LP | Retail Mall |  | 43,425,055 | 0.27\% |  | 38,922,610 | 0.40\% |
| 10 | Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. TRICO PRODUCTS | ${ }_{\text {M }} \begin{aligned} & \text { Retail } \\ & \text { Manucauting }\end{aligned}$ |  | $33,554,499$ <br> 3,3659 | ${ }_{\substack{0.21 \% \\ 0.21 \%}}^{0.29}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}37,286,260 \\ 36.442 .904 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $0.38 \%$ <br> $0.37 \%$ |
|  | total Assessed valuation |  | s | 655.125.078 | 4.09\% | S | 226.890.286 | 2.33\% |

## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS

## COMPUTATION OF LEGAL DEBT MARGIN

SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

| Assessed value of real property |  |  | \$ | 19,263,524,057 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Debt limit - $25 \%$ of assessed value of real property (Article 3, Section 52, Constitution |  |  |  |  |
| State of Texas) |  |  |  | 4,815,881,014 |
| Amount of debt applicable to debt limit: |  |  |  |  |
| Total Bonded Debt | \$ | 122,449,553 |  |  |
| Less: Unlimited Tax Bonds |  | 235,000 |  |  |
| Less: Self-Supporting Debt |  | 41,968,186 |  |  |
| Less: Enterprise fund Debt Service assets |  | 5,373,451 |  |  |
| Less: Debt Service Funds' assets |  | 6,479,285 |  | 68,393,631 |
| LEGAL DEBT MARGIN |  |  | \$ | 4,747,487,383 |

RATIO OF ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE EXPENDITURES FOR GENERAL BONDED DEBT TO TOTAL GENERAL EXPENDITURES (1) LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

| $\begin{gathered} \text { FISCAL } \\ \text { YEAR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { TOTAL DEBT } \\ \text { SERVICE } \end{array} \\ \hline 8,426,828 \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL <br> GENERAL <br> EXPENDITURES <br> $105,143,881$ | RATIO OF DEBT SERVICE TO TOTAL GENERAL $\frac{\text { EXPENDITURES }}{8.01 \%}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 8.01\% |
| 2008 | 8,935,401 | 112,276,332 | 7.96\% |
| 2009 | 9,574,725 | 114,895,179 | 8.33\% |
| 2010 | 10,024,515 | 135,725,356 | 7.39\% |
| 2011 | 9,082,576 | 131,216,864 | 6.92\% |
| 2012 | 7,008,809 | 129,167,076 | 5.43\% |
| 2013 | 7,359,485 | 120,372,264 | 6.11\% |
| 2014 | 7,533,445 | 117,075,170 | 6.43\% |
| 2015 | 9,422,211 | 124,983,691 | 7.54\% |
| 2016 | 8,680,018 | 143,781,815 | 6.04\% |

(1) Includes General, Special Revenue and Debt Service Funds
CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
RATIO OF OUTSTANDING DEBT BY TYPE
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

RATIOS OF GENERAL BONDED DEBT OUTSTANDING LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

(1)This is general bonded debt of both governmental and business-type activities, net of original issuance discounts and premiums
(2) This is the amount restricted for debt service payments



```
CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
REVENUE BOND COVERAGE
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS
```


## PARK SYSTEM



INTERNATIONAL TOLL BRIDGE SYSTEM

| $\begin{gathered} \text { FISCAL } \\ \text { YEAR } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | OPERATING <br> AND NONOPERATING REVENUE | (1) <br> DIRECT <br> OPERATING EXPENSES | NET REVENUE AVAILABLE FOR DEBT SERVICE | DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS |  |  | COVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | PRINCIPAL | INTEREST | TOTAL |  |
| 2007 | 18,548,820 | 3,975,700 | 14,573,120 | 1,218,084 | 1,184,330 | 2,402,414 | 6.07 |
| 2008 | 16,960,507 | 3,924,622 | 13,035,885 | 1,449,361 | 1,371,838 | 2,821,199 | 4.62 |
| 2009 | 14,427,784 | 4,817,878 | 9,609,906 | 1,785,277 | 553,933 | 2,339,210 | 4.11 |
| 2010 | 14,418,612 | 4,700,937 | 9,717,675 | 1,818,109 | 1,387,914 | 3,206,023 | 3.03 |
| 2011 | 17,691,103 | 3,853,478 | 13,837,625 | 1,325,949 | 1,699,970 | 3,025,919 | 4.57 |
| 2012 | 15,689,701 | 3,533,203 | 12,156,498 | 2,177,750 | 1,388,880 | 3,566,630 | 3.41 |
| 2013 | 15,234,660 | 3,771,545 | 11,463,115 | 1,825,624 | 1,062,473 | 2,888,097 | 3.97 |
| 2014 | 16,062,979 | 3,554,233 | 12,508,746 | 1,645,392 | 833,155 | 2,478,547 | 5.05 |
| 2015 | 16,389,519 | 3,930,168 | 12,459,351 | 1,573,782 | 600,022 | 2,173,804 | 5.73 |
| 2016 | 18,381,954 | 4,190,452 | 14,191,502 | 1,604,382 | 643,040 | 2,247,422 | 6.31 |

[^9]CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
DEMOGRAPHIC STATISTICS
LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

| FISCAL YEAR | (1) <br> POPULATION | (1) <br> PER CAPITA INCOME | $(1)$ MEDIAN AGE | $\begin{gathered} \text { (3) } \\ \text { SCHOOL } \\ \text { ENROLLMENT } \end{gathered}$ | (4) UNEMPLOYMENT RATE | (4) <br> PERSONAL INCOME |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | 387,717 | 13,293 | 29.6 | 93,180 | 5.8\% | 18,250 |
| 2008 | 387,717 | 12,511 | 28.8 | 99,713 | 7.5\% | 18,200 |
| 2009 | 387,717 | 13,377 | 29 | 100,165 | 9.9\% | 18,275 |
| 2010 | 406,220 | 13,474 | 29.1 | 101,832 | 11.1\% | 18,550 |
| 2011 | 406,220 | 13,474 | 29.1 | 101,832 | 11.9\% | 18,550 |
| 2012 | 406,220 | 14,183 | 30.6 | 101,477 | 10.2\% | 23,236 |
| 2013 | 415,557 | 14,405 | 30.6 | 103,585 | 10.5\% | 23,236 |
| 2014 | 417,296 | 14,405 | 30.6 | 103,585 | 8.5\% | 24,802 |
| 2015 | 420,392 | 14,898 | 31 | 103,585 | 6.9\% | 25,211 |
| 2016 | 422,156 | 15,105 | 31.2 | 101,992 | 6.9\% | 26,826 |
| (1) | U. S. Census estimates <br> U.S. Department of Commerce - Bureau of Economic Analysis Region One U.S. Department of Labor - Bureau of Labor Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## CONSTRUCTION, BANK DEPOSITS AND PROPERTY VALUE LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

| FISCAL <br> YEAR | (2) <br> VALUE OF |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | CONSTRUCTION |
| 2008 | $458,248,721,935$ |
| 2009 | $175,372,689$ |
| 2010 | $268,935,173$ |
| 2011 | $290,734,838$ |
| 2012 | $290,066,497$ |
| 2013 | $262,557,326$ |
| 2014 | $274,053,597$ |
| 2015 | $287,115,979$ |
| 2016 | $278,990,784$ |


| (2) | TAXABLE |
| :---: | :---: |
| BANK DEPOSITS | PROPERTY |
| IN THOUSANDS | VALUE |
| 46,685,695 | 14,381,842,729 |
| 46,508,495 | 15,395,422,558 |
| 46,180,543 | 15,439,718,056 |
| 41,695,980 | 15,656,732,850 |
| 41,695,980 | 15,798,895,960 |
| 42,940,000 | 16,237,631,953 |
| 41,134,310 | 16,471,513,829 |
| 41,500,720 | 16,563,906,211 |
| 42,820,100 | 16,763,486,690 |
| 44,296,490 | 17,676,273,532 |

## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS

Full-time Equivalent County Government Employees by Function Last Ten Years

| Category | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 15-16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 14-15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \mathbf{1 3 - 1 4} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \mathbf{1 2 - 1 3} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \mathbf{1 1 - 1 2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \mathbf{1 1 - 1 2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \mathbf{1 0 - 1 1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \mathbf{1 0 - 1 1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 09-10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 08-09 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNTY GOVERNMENT | 1,694.0 | 1,678.0 | 1,673.0 | 1,649.0 | 1,635.0 | 1,632.0 | 1,572.0 | 1,585.0 | 1,581.0 | 1,526.5 |
| General government | 215.0 | 210.0 | 210.0 | 209.0 | 207.0 | 203.0 | 202.0 | 209.0 | 207.0 | 199.0 |
| General administration | 72.0 | 71.0 | 71.0 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 69.0 | 62.0 | 63.0 |
| Financial Administration | 26.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 23.0 | 22.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 |
| Tax Administration | 67.0 | 67.0 | 67.0 | 67.0 | 67.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 | 56.0 |
| Facilities Management | 42.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Election Administration | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Justice System | 431.0 | 427.0 | 425.0 | 422.0 | 418.0 | 437.0 | 437.0 | 451.0 | 451.0 | 405.5 |
| Criminal Justice | 270.0 | 267.0 | 267.0 | 264.0 | 260.0 | 275.0 | 275.0 | 286.0 | 286.0 | 241.5 |
| Civil Justice | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Juvenile Services | 157.0 | 156.0 | 154.0 | 154.0 | 154.0 | 154.0 | 154.0 | 157.0 | 157.0 | 156.0 |
| Public Safety | 208.0 | 206.0 | 203.0 | 200.0 | 204.0 | 183.0 | 183.0 | 185.0 | 185.0 | 181.0 |
| Law Enforcement | 196.0 | 194.0 | 191.0 | 188.0 | 194.0 | 173.0 | 173.0 | 173.0 | 173.0 | 169.0 |
| Emergency Management | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Corrections \& Rehabilitation | 365.0 | 365.0 | 365.0 | 348.0 | 348.0 | 348.0 | 289.0 | 281.0 | 281.0 | 281.0 |
| Housing \& Booking | 365.0 | 365.0 | 365.0 | 348.0 | 348.0 | 348.0 | 289.0 | 281.0 | 281.0 | 281.0 |
| Supervison | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Health \& Human Services | 181.0 | 181.0 | 181.0 | 181.0 | 181.0 | 181.0 | 181.0 | 183.0 | 183.0 | 186.0 |
| Health Care | 181.0 | 181.0 | 181.0 | 181.0 | 181.0 | 181.0 | 181.0 | 183.0 | 183.0 | 186.0 |
| Community \& Economic Development | 79.0 | 76.0 | 76.0 | 76.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 |
| Economic Development | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Housing \& Urban Development | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Parks \& Recreation | 67.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 |
| Libraries | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Culture \& Education | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 |
| Infrastructure \& Environmental Services | 215.0 | 213.0 | 213.0 | 213.0 | 213.0 | 216.0 | 216.0 | 208.0 | 206.0 | 206.0 |
| Roads, Bridges \& Toll Facilities | 192.0 | 190.0 | 190.0 | 190.0 | 190.0 | 190.0 | 190.0 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 188.0 |
| Public Transportation | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Drainage | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Development \& Regulation | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| Sanitation | - | - | - | - | - | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | - | - |

The County Clerk's Department has a component of Judicial function and general government function relating to its record keeping and vital statistics duties.

## CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS

OPERATING INDICATORS


SINGLE AUDIT
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS AND

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARDS

# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS 

The Honorable County Judge and<br>Commissioners' Court<br>Cameron County, Texas

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Cameron County, Texas (County) as of and for the year ended September 30, 2016, and related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated March 28, 2017.

## Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

## Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

## Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the County's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.


CARR, RIGGS \& INGRAM, LLC

Brownsville, Texas
March 28, 2017

# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE AND THE STATE OF TEXAS SINGLE AUDIT CIRCULAR 

The Honorable County Judge and<br>Commissioners' Court<br>Cameron County, Texas

## Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal/State Program

We have audited Cameron County, Texas (County) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Compliance Supplement and the State of Texas Single Audit Circular that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major federal/state programs for the year ended September 30, 2016. The County's major federal/state programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

## Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal/state programs.

## Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the County's major federal/state programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal/state program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal/state program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination on the County's compliance.

## Opinion on Each Major Federal/State Program

In our opinion, Cameron County, Texas, complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal/state programs for the year ended September 30, 2016.

## Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of Cameron County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the type requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal/state program in order to determine our auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal/state program, and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal/state program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal/state program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal/state program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.


CARR, RIGGS \& INGRAM, LLC

| CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS <br> SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ended September 30, 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Grantor / Passed Through Grantor Program Title | Federal CFDA Number | Pass-Through Grantor's Number | Federal Program Amount or Award | Program or Award Amount | Accrued or (Deferred) Revenue Revenue $9 / 30 / 2015$ | Federal Receipts/ Revenue Realized | Program Revenues | Grantee Contribution | Federal Disburse Expenditures | Grantee Disburse-Expenditures | Reimburse and/or Due to Other Govern- | Increase <br> (Decrease) In Fund Balance | Accrued or (Deferred) Revenue 9/30/2016 |
| U.S. Dept. of Housing \& Urban Development/ Texas Department of Agriculture |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East Rio Hondo Water Well Project | 14.225 | 713107 | 350,000 | 1,756,163 | (503,114) | - | - | 862,690 | 1,361,581 | - | - | 4,223 |  |
| TXCDBG Colonia Construction Fund | 14.225 | 713025 | 500,000 | 52,500 | 96,266 | 426,350 | - | 20,272 | 331,287 | 457 | 18,612 | - | $\checkmark$ |
| TXCDBG Las Palmas Waterlines | 14.225 | 7215060 | 230,870 | 29,946 | - | . | . | - | 1,002 |  | . | . | 1,002 |
| TXCDBG Las Palmas Sewerlines | 14.225 | 7215055 | 480,302 | 569,888 |  | - | - | - | 2,031 | - | - |  | 2,031 |
| Self Help Centers | 14.228 | 712023 | 1,000,000 |  | 974 | 460,232 | . | - | 461,466 |  | - |  | 2,208 |
| Community Development Programs | 14.228 | 713061 | 215,382 | 59,021 | (66) | 335 | $\checkmark$ | 48,079 | 26,236 | 22,244 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | (405,940) | 886,917 | - | 931,041 | 2,183,603 | 22,701 | 18,612 | 4,223 | 5,241 |
| $\frac{\text { U.S. Dept. of Housing \& Urban Development/ }}{\text { Texas Gereral Land Office }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TDRA-DR | 14.228 | 12-361-000-6127 | 7,623,901 | - | $\begin{array}{r} 879,376 \\ \hline 879,376 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,188,544 \\ & \hline 1,188,544 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{49,500}{49,500}$ | $\frac{425,555}{425,555}$ | $\frac{49,500}{49,500}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 116,387 \\ & \hline 116,387 \end{aligned}$ |
| U.S. Department of Justice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed Through Criminal Justice Division - Office of the Governor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mental Health Proscutors 16 | 16.738 | 2,882,601 | 171,970 |  | $\dot{-}$ | $\frac{58,356}{58,356}$ | $\ddot{-}$ | $\div$ | $\frac{115,627}{115,627}$ |  | - | $\div$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57,271 \\ \hline 57,271 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| U.S. Department of Homeland Security: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed Through the State of Texas Governor's Criminal Justice of Emergency Mgmt and the Texas Department of Public Safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation Stonegarden VIII | 97.067 | 3,186,501 | 809,688 |  |  |  | - | $\cdot$ | 258,377 | - | - | - | 258,377 |
| Operation Stonegarden VII | 97.067 | 14-SR-48061-01 | 1,655,782 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{392,248}{392,248} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1,421,223}{1,421,223} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\div$ | $\frac{1,028,975}{1,287,352}$ | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | $\cdots$ | $\square$ | $258,377$ |
| U.S. Department of Justice Law Enforcement Assistance Administration: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Victims Assistance Program | 16.575 | 16741-12 | 218,807 | 54,702 | 48,157 | 48,157 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Domestic Violence Unit | 16.588 | 18449-09 | 148,483 | 79,953 | 30,983 | 30,983 | - | - | - | - | - |  | , |
| Other Victim Assistance Grant OVAG-vCLG | 16.575 | 155517-95 | 42,000 |  | 4,477 | 4,477 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Victims Assistance Program | 16.575 | 1674113 | 242,831 | 60,708 | 12,531 | 174,268 | - | 59,199 | 224,264 | 59,199 | - | - | 62,527 |
| Victims Assistance Program | 16.575 | 1674114 | 457,807 | 114,452 |  |  | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Victims Assistance Program |  | 1844911 | 273,160 | 147,086 |  |  | - |  | 15,735 |  | - | - | 15,735 |
| Domestic Violence Unit | 16.588 | 1844910 | 223,829 | 120,523 | $\frac{10,139}{106287}$ | $\frac{162.681}{42,566}$ | - | $\frac{112,563}{171762}$ | $\frac{198.907}{438006}$ | $\frac{112.563}{171762}$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 46.365 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 106,287 | 420,566 |  | 171,762 | 438,906 | 171,762 |  |  | 124,627 |
| U.S. Department of Justice Office for Victims of Crime |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V.A. FOR Families of Sexual Assault | 16.575 | VA-15-V30-19135-09 | 43,333 | 10,833 | $\frac{1,400}{1,400}$ | $\frac{31,155}{31.155}$ | $\div$ | 10,434 | $\frac{41,913}{41.913}$ | 10,434 | $\div$ | - | 12,158 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1.400 | 31,155 | $\sim$ | 10,434 | 41.913 | 10,434 | - | - | 12.158 |
| US Fish and Wildilie |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bahica Grande Restoration | 15.426 | m11a400043 | 484,234 | - | - | 66,437 | - | - | 70,136 | - | - | - | 3,699 |
| UTB/TSC Port Isabel Birding Palapa | 15.426 | mm11a00167 | 152,464 | - | $\cdots$ | 148,180 | $\cdots$ | - | 148,180 | - | $\cdots$ | . |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 214,617 | $\square$ | $\cdots$ | 218,316 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdot$ | 3,699 |



| CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS <br> SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ended September 30, 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Grantor/Passed Through Grantor Program Title | Federal CFDA Number | Pass-Through Grantor's Number | Federal Program or Award Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grantee } \\ \text { Program } \\ \text { or Award } \\ \text { Amount } \end{gathered}$ | Revenue <br> Accrued or (Deferred) Revenue 9/30/2015 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Federal } \\ & \text { Receipts/ } \\ & \text { Revenue } \\ & \text { Realized } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Program Revenues | Grantee Contributions | Federal Disburse-Expenditures | Grantee Disbursements/ Expen- ditures | Reimburse and/or Due to Other Govern- | Increase (Decrease) In Fund Balance | Accrued or (Deferred) Revenue Revenue 9/30/2016 |
| U.S. Department of Justice: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed Through Office of Justice Programs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Edward Byrne Memorial JAG | 16.738 | 2012-DJ-BX-0299 | 22,511 | - | 818 | 818 | - | - | - | . | - | - |  |
| 2013 Edward Byrne Memorial JAG | 16.738 | 2013-DJ-BX-0437 | 20,000 | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 2014 Edward Byrne Memorial JAG | 16.738 | 2014-DJ-BX-0485 | 12,996 | - |  | 945 |  |  | ${ }_{1}^{1,369}$ |  |  |  | 424 |
| 2015 Edward Byrne Memorial JAG | 16.738 | 2015-DJ-BX-0944 | 10,642 | - | - | 3,475 | - | - | 10,623 | - | - | - | 7,149 |
| 2016 Edward Byrne Memorial JAG | 16.738 | 2016-DJ-BX-0730 | 12,286 | - | 818 |  | - | - | 11.992 | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 818 | $5,237$ |  |  | 11,992 |  |  |  | 7,573 |
| Office of National Drug Control Policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed Through SWBT HIDTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal - South Texas HIDTA | 95.001 | G14SS0003A | 2,721,533 | - | 245,762 | 805,802 | - | 93 | 711,015 |  | - | - | 150,882 |
| Federal - South Texas HIDTA | 95.001 | G16SS0003A |  | - |  | 476,024 | . | - | 853,001 | - | - | . | 376,977 |
| Federal - South Texas HIDTA | 95.001 | G13SS0003A | 2,456,064 | - | 151,224 | 257,301 | - |  | 106,077 | $\square$ | $\cdots$ | $\square$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 606,073 | 3,219,888 | - | 93 | 3,241,347 | - | - | - | 627,439 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Department of Interior U.S. Fish \& Wildlife Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CIAP-ADMINISTRATION | 15.668 | F12AF01175 | 73,663 |  |  | 6,118 | - | . | 6,118 |  |  |  |  |
| NATURE PARK | 15.668 | F12AF01 121 | 628,315 |  | - |  | - | - |  |  |  | - | - |
| OILSPILL PLANNING | 15.668 | F12AF00399 | 70,738 |  | - | 87 | - | - | 87 | - |  | - |  |
| ISLA DE CARMEN | 15.668 | F12AF00754 | 25,000 |  | - | 8,993 | - | - | 8,993 |  |  | - | - |
| NATIVE PLANT CENTER | 15.668 | F12AF01074 | 160,000 |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| BAHIA GRANDE RESTORATION - 3 | 15.668 | 13-071-000-7081 | 575,000 |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| ADOLPH THOMAE SHORELINE | 15.668 | F12AFO1070 | 270,000 |  |  | 92 | - | - | 14,469 | - | $\cdot$ | - | 14,377 |
| PUBLIC BEACH ACCESS 4 ENHANCEMENTS | 15.668 15.605 | 15-036-000-8380 F16AFO0477 | 96,000 666405 |  | - | - |  |  | 96,000 |  |  |  | 96,000 |
| ADOLPH THOMAE JR. PARK BOAT RAMP | 15.605 | F16AF00477 | 666,405 |  | $\cdots$ | 15,290 | - | - | 125,667 | - | - | - | 110,377 |
| Federal Emergency Management Agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Passed Through TxDPS-Emergency Management }}{}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hazard Mitigation Grant | 97-039 | DR-1999-010 | 61,000 | - | $\begin{array}{r} 12,065 \\ \hline 12,065 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\dot{-}$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\div$ | $\cdots$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \frac{12,065}{12,065} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Federal Highway Administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed Through Texas Department of Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cty Transportation Infrastructure Program | 20.205 | 0921-06-241 | 3,860,106 | 608,632 | 1,082,838 | 3,766,239 | - | $576,518$ |  | $576,518$ | - | - | 37,901 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $1,082,838$ | $3,766,239$ | - | 576.518 | $2.721,302$ | $576.518$ |  |  | 37,901 |
| U.S. General Services Administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Donation of Federal Surplus Personal Property | 39.003 |  | - | - | $\because$ | 20,025 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\frac{20,025}{20,025}$ | $\div$ | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20,025 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Federal A wards |  |  | 42,513,999 |  | 3,715,207 | 17,087,784 | 77,883 | 1.973,406 | 17,071,784 | 1,110,912 | 18,612 | 4.223 | 2.781 .665 |



# NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL/STATE AWARDS 

For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

## Note 1 - Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal/state awards includes the federal and state grant activity of Cameron County, Texas as the primary government, and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). and the State of Texas Single Audit Circular. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used, in preparation of, the general purpose financial statements.

## Sub-recipients

During the year ended September 30, 2016 the Cameron County, Texas had no subrecipients.

## Indirect Cost Rate

The Uniform Guidance allows an organization to elect a $10 \%$ de minimums indirect cost rate. For the year ended September 30, 2016, the County did not elect to use this rate.

# CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS <br> SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - <br> FEDERAL/STATE AWARDS 

Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2016

## I. SUMMARY OF INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S RESULTS

## Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued: Unmodified
Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weakness(es) identified?
- Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?

| Yes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | X | None Reported |
| Yes | X | No |

## Federal/State Awards

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weakness(es) identified? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$
- Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses? $\qquad$ Yes X None Reported

Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified

- Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 200.516(a) of the Uniform Guidance? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No

Identification of major programs:

## CFDA Numbers

Name of Federal/State Program or Cluster
Federal -
95.001
20.205
97.067

South Texas High Intensity Drug Taskforce Area Program

Highway planning and Construction
Operation Stone Garden

## I. SUMMARY OF INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S RESULTS - CONTINUED

State -
TJJD-B
TJJD-A
TJJD-P
TJJD-R
TJJD-M
TJJD-S
TJJD-E

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B federal programs

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?
Dollar threshold used to distinguish type A and type B state programs

Border Project
Juvenile Probation State Aid
Juvenile Justice Alternative Ed Program
Regional Diversion Alternatives
Special Need/MHMB
Prevention and Intervention Demo
Title IV- E Program Reimbursement
\$750,000
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No \$750,000

Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2016

## II. FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

None noted which were required to be reported.
III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL/STATE AWARDS

None noted which were required to be reported.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ http://www.txcip.org/tac/census/profile
    ${ }^{2}$ Twin Plant News, January 2010.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Texas Workforce Commission, Dec. 15.
    ${ }^{4}$ Texas Workforce Commission,
    ${ }^{5}$ Cameron County Appraisal District 7/19/16
    ${ }^{6}$ Brownsville Navigation District 5/8/15 "Monthly Cargo Statistics for Dec. 2015 (12 month-period)"
    ${ }^{7}$ http://www.bea.gov/newsreleases/regional/mpi/mpi newsrelease.htm, U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, Prepared by Empire State Development, State Data Center, Nov 2016 http://www.txcip.org/tac/census/profile.php?FIPS=48061, The Texas Association of Counties, "County Information Project."(512) 4788753

[^2]:    ${ }^{8}$ Compass Bank-Texas , "Monthly Depository Securities Pledged Report," 10/3/2016 (Cameron County)

[^3]:    The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement

[^4]:    *Note: Adjustment of $\$ 673,779$ is due to an equipment adjustment that was reported in the deletions column rather than the adjustments column in the prior year. This is a prior period adjustment, see Note 16.

[^5]:    The annual premium is $\$ 168,408$ for Automobile Liability and $\$ 62,599$ for automobile physical damage for the period July 1,2016 through July 1, 2017. Insurance premium provides coverage for 622 vehicles including utility trailers and enclosed trailers. No settlements exceeded insurance coverage during the past three years.

[^6]:    CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
    COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
    FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,

[^7]:    $\frac{\text { LIABILITIES }}{\text { Accounts payable }}$
    Accounts payable
    Deposits
    Due to other governments
    Fees payable
    Judgments
    total LiAbilities

[^8]:    Component units:
    General government
    Health
    Health
    Economic Developm
    Total component units

[^9]:    (1) The operating expenses' figure does not include depreciation.

